VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 151 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, JUDGE IGNACIO PEREZ, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, PLAINTIFFS, NO. C-16-3396 YGR MONDAY, MAY 6, 2019 VS. RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES,) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA JURY TRIAL) DEFENDANT. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS APPEARANCES: FOR PLAINTIFFS: BURSOR FISHER, P.A. 1990 N. CALIFORNIA BLVD., STE. 940 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 BY: TIMOTHY FISHER, ESQUIRE YEREMEY O. KRIVOSHEY, ESQUIRE BLAIR REED, ESQUIRE BURSOR & FISHER 2665 S. BAYSHORE DR. MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133 BY: SCOTT A. BURSOR, ESQUIRE FOR DEFENDANT: ELLIS LAW GROUP LLP 1425 RIVER PARK DRIVE, STE. 400 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95815 BY: MARK E. ELLIS, ESQUIRE ANTHONY P.J. VALENTI, ESQUIRE LARRY IGLESIAS, ESQUIRE REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1			
2	INDEX		
3		PAGE	VOL.
4	JURY SELECTION	12	1
5	OPENING STATEMENT - MR. BURSOR	104	1
6	OPENING STATEMENT - MR. ELLIS	118	1
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

MONDAY, MAY 6, 2019 8:00 A.M. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS.) 4 5 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. MR. ELLIS: GOOD MORNING. 6 7 MR. BURSOR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. THE CLERK: ALL RIGHT. CALLING CIVIL ACTION 16-3396 8 IGNACIO PEREZ VERSUS RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES. COUNSEL, 9 PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES. 10 11 MR. BURSOR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. SCOTT BURSOR 12 FROM BURSOR AND FISHER. WITH ME TODAY, THOUGH THEY ARE NOT --13 THEY ARE COMING FROM THE ATTORNEY LOUNGE, ARE MY PARTNERS TIM 14 FISHER AND YEREMEY KRIVOSHEY AND OUR ASSOCIATE, BLAIR REED. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD MORNING. 16 MR. ELLIS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. MARK ELLIS, 17 ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP, ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, RASH CURTIS. 18 AND WITH ME, AS ALWAYS, IS MR. VALENTI, ONE OF MY ASSOCIATES, 19 AND MR. IGLESIAS, ONE OF MY ASSOCIATES, AND ROBERT KEITH, WHO WILL BE THE REPRESENTATIVE. HE'S THE VICE PRESIDENT OF 20 21 OPERATIONS AT RASH CURTIS. AND, WHILE NO ONE ELSE IS IN THE 22 COURTROOM, WE ALSO HAVE ADAM WILLIAMS, WHO WILL BE HERE DURING 23 THE TRIAL. HE IS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE INSURANCE COMPANY. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. GOOD ENOUGH. GOOD 24 25 MORNING.

IN TERMS OF THIS MORNING, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR 1 ISSUES THAT YOU WISH TO DISCUSS? I WAS IN PRETTY EARLY, SO 2 3 I'M ASSUMING THAT THERE WAS A LINE OUTSIDE. I DIDN'T SEE IT WHEN I CAME IN. 4 5 THE CLERK: THEY ARE ALL IN NOW. THE COURT: I AM ASSUMING OUR JURORS ARE ALL IN, 6 7 GETTING PROCESSED AND WATCHING VIDEOS AND DOING THE 8 QUESTIONNAIRES. 9 BUT IN THE SHORT ORDER, ARE THERE QUESTIONS OR ISSUES TO 10 DISCUSS? I THINK I MENTIONED THIS BEFORE, MR. ELLIS YOU NEED 11 TO BE AT THE MIC BUT I WILL ALWAYS ASK FIRST THING IN THE 12 MORNING FOR THE LIST. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE ARGUMENT, I JUST 13 WANT THE LIST FIRST. 14 SO, WE'LL START WITH PLAINTIFFS ALWAYS. SIR. 15 MR. BURSOR: SO, YOUR HONOR, FOR THE PLAINTIFFS WE --16 OUR ONLY QUESTION IS IF THE COURT HAS A VERDICT FORM AND IF WE 17 WILL SEE THAT BEFORE WE GIVE THE OPENING STATEMENT. 18 THE COURT: PROBABLY NOT. MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 19 20 THE COURT: YOU SENT IT TO ME THIS WEEKEND. ONE OF 21 THE THINGS WE HAVE TO DO IS TRY TO MAINTAIN OUR DOCKET WHILE 22 WE ARE IN TRIAL, AND I DON'T HAVE TO GET TO THE VERDICT FORM 23 UNTIL THE END OF THE WEEK. SO I WILL GET IT TO YOU SOON AND

RESPOND BUT, FRANKLY, I WAS DEALING WITH MY OTHER CASES

BECAUSE I'M GOING TO BE DEALING WITH YOUR CASE MOST OF THE

24

25

WEEK. 1 2 MR. BURSOR: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. SO THE 3 DEFENDANT MADE A SUBMISSION YESTERDAY THAT THE COURT DID NOT INVITE. 4 5 THE COURT: OKAY. SO VERDICT FORM IS ONE THING. ANYTHING ELSE TO TALK ABOUT? 6 7 MR. BURSOR: NOPE. THE COURT: HOW ABOUT FROM THE DEFENSE? 8 9 MR. ELLIS: THE ONLY THING WE HAVE SEEN THE LIST OF 10 WITNESSES. WE GOT THAT THIS MORNING. AND THERE SEEMED TO 11 BE -- THERE MAY BE AN ISSUE WITH TWO OF THE WITNESSES, 12 MR. KIZER AND MR. KEITH. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT 13 PLAINTIFFS INTEND TOMORROW TO PLAY THEIR VIDEOTAPED OR 14 EXCERPTS FROM THEIR VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION. NEITHER ONE OF 15 THOSE FOLKS ARE PARTIES AND I HAVE NOT SEEN ANYTHING THAT 16 WOULD INDICATE THEY ARE UNAVAILABLE TO TESTIFY THEMSELVES. 17 AND I THINK THIS COMES UP WHEN WE WERE HAVING OUR --THE COURT: WELL, KEITH, IS VP OF OPERATIONS. 18 19 MR. ELLIS: NICK KEITH. BOB KEITH IS VICE PRESIDENT 20 OF OPERATIONS HERE BUT NICK KEITH IS THE IT MANAGER, AND HE 21 WAS NOT DISCLOSED AS A 30B WITNESS. WE WOULD HAVE NO 22 OBJECTION TO THE PLAYING OF THE 30B WITNESS BUT NEITHER 23 MR. KIZER NOR MR. KEITH -- I CAN SAY FOR SURE WITH NICK KEITH, 24 HE IS NOT UNAVAILABLE. SO --25 THE COURT: OKAY. SO LET'S -- ANY OTHER ISSUES?

MR. ELLIS: NO. NO, MA'AM. 1 2 THE COURT: SO WITH RESPECT TO THE VERDICT FORM, I 3 DON'T KNOW WHAT HE SENT ME. ALL I KNOW IS I GOT SOMETHING. REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT WAS INVITED OR NOT, WHATEVER I WAS 4 5 GOING TO PRESENT TO YOU, I WAS GOING TO PRESENT TO YOU. I DON'T EVER GIVE VERDICT FORMS OR JURY INSTRUCTIONS WITHOUT 6 7 TALKING TO THE PARTIES. 8 SO IF I -- IF HE SENT IT NOW, HE WAS GOING TO BE ABLE TO 9 SEND IT SOME OTHER TIME. SO, ANYWAY, I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT 10 ULTIMATELY MATTERS. PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO GET PREPARED AND 11 DEALING WITH THINGS. SO I WILL LOOK AT IT AND DEAL WITH IT 12 AND, OBVIOUSLY, INVITE YOUR COMMENTS AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. 13 I DON'T KNOW THAT RIGHT THIS SECOND IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME, 14 GIVEN THAT I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT. 15 SECOND, NOW WITH RESPECT TO THE WITNESSES, YOUR COMMENT IN 16 RESPONSE? 17 MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, WE DESIGNATED THE VIDEOTAPED 18 TESTIMONY, I BELIEVE IT WAS IN JANUARY, AND THERE WERE NO 19 OBJECTIONS TO IT AT THAT TIME. SO WE INTENDED TO PLAY THE VIDEO OF THESE THREE WITNESSES. IT'S ABOUT HOUR AND-A-HALF 20 TOTAL, MAYBE AN HOUR, 45, AND THIS WAS DISCUSSED AT A PRIOR 21 HEARING WHERE WE WENT THROUGH THIS. THERE WERE NO OBJECTIONS. 22 23 MR. ELLIS: MAY I RESPOND, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY. 24 25 MR. ELLIS: SO THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONS TO THE CLIPS.

THAT'S WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT. WHAT -- BUT IN TERMS OF 1 2 OTHER PROCEDURAL RULES, I MEAN, IF -- YOU SIMPLY, I DON'T 3 BELIEVE, CAN PLAY A VIDEO CLIP IF THE WITNESS IS AVAILABLE. AND MR. KIZER IS AVAILABLE AND HE WILL BE TESTIFYING BECAUSE 4 5 WE SUBPOENAED HIM FOR FRIDAY MORNING. SO EITHER HE CAN SHOW UP OR, I GUESS, BE HELD IN CONTEMPT. AND MR. NICK KEITH IS ON 6 7 OUR WITNESS LIST. AND HE WILL -- HE WILL BE APPEARING. 8 NOW, THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE NOT SUBPOENAED HIM WITH A RULE 45 9 TRIAL SUBPOENA, BUT MY REPRESENTATION IS THAT HE WILL BE HERE. 10 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS YOU AGREED 11 TO AND IF YOU AGREED TO SOMETHING EXPLICIT. THE RULES ARE THE 12 RULES. AND IN TERMS OF MY OWN RULINGS, I HAVE TO USE THE 13 RULES TO BE FAIR. 14 SO, THE RULES ARE THAT YOU CAN PLAY ANY CLIP OR READ 15 ANYTHING FROM A PARTY OPPONENT, BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE WITH 16 RESPECT TO SOMEONE WHO IS NOT. THERE HAS TO BE AN EVIDENTIARY 17 SHOWING AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THAT SHOULD BE THAT TESTIMONY, 18 WHICH IS NOT THE BEST TESTIMONY AS LIVE TESTIMONY, SHOULD BE 19 USED AS A SUBSTITUTE BECAUSE SOMEONE IS UNAVAILABLE. 20 SO -- AND IF THEY CAN'T, THEN IT'S THERE AND IT IS READY 21 TO GO. BUT YOU HAVE TO MEET THAT EVIDENTIARY HURDLE FIRST. 22 MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, THESE ARE ALL EMPLOYEES OF 23 THE DEFENDANT. WHILE MR. KIZER IS A FORMER EMPLOYEE --24 THE COURT: SO DO YOU HAVE LAW THAT SUPPORTS THAT? 25 MR. BURSOR: WE DIDN'T KNOW THIS WAS GOING TO BE AN

ISSUE. IT WAS RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME TWO MINUTES AGO, SO 1 2 NO. 3 THE COURT: SO, THAT IS WHY WE ARE HERE, THAT IS WHY WE HAVE TIME. YOU MAY WANT TO START RESEARCHING WHETHER THERE 4 5 IS AN EVIDENTIARY BASIS FOR BRINGING IT IN. AND I WILL LOOK AS WELL AND TAKE A LOOK AT THE CASE LAW AND SEE WHAT THE CASE 6 7 LAW SAYS. OTHERWISE, I WILL DEEM HIM TO BE UNAVAILABLE IF HE 8 IS NOT HERE TOMORROW. CAN HE BE HERE TOMORROW? MR KEITH? 9 MR. ELLIS: SURE. HE CAN. THE COURT: OKAY. WHY DON'T WE THEN -- IF THERE IS 10 11 NOTHING ELSE YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT, WE WILL STAND IN RECESS WHILE YOU RESEARCH THE TOPIC. LET ME KNOW IF YOU FIND 12 13 SOMETHING. WHEN I HEAR FROM THE JURY ROOM THAT WE HAVE JURORS 14 READY TO COME IN, I WILL LET YOU KNOW. 15 ANYBODY HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON JURY SELECTION? NO? 16 MR. ELLIS: I GUESS I DO. FOR SOME REASON I THOUGHT 17 I HEARD YOU SAY THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE FOUR PEREMPTORIES? 18 DID I MISHEAR THAT? IS IT GOING TO BE THE NORMAL THREE? 19 THE COURT: NO. I'M SEATING EIGHT. I GIVE YOU FOUR. 20 MR. ELLIS: OH, OKAY. 21 THE COURT: IT IS TYPICALLY THREE, BUT MY VIEW THAT 22 IS THREE OUT OF SIX AND I'M SEATING AN ALTERNATE -- I'M 23 SEATING EIGHT JUST IN CASE AND SO I GIVE YOU AN EXTRA ONE WHEN 24 I SEAT EIGHT. 25 MR. ELLIS: OKAY.

THE COURT: AND THEN -- DO WE HAVE THOSE? HAVE WE 1 2 GIVEN THEM TO THEM OR NOT? 3 WHAT I DECIDED TO DO AS I WENT BACK AND LOOKED AT THE STIPULATED FACTS, IS I'M JUST READING WHAT YOU ALL DON'T 4 5 OBJECT TO. SO, THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT I AM READING. 6 EVERYTHING ELSE, TO THE EXTENT IT IS RELEVANT, YOU CAN PROVE 7 IT. YOU CAN JUST ASK QUESTIONS. BUT IN TERMS OF WHAT I READ 8 TO THEM AND WHAT IS IN THEIR BINDER, IT'S THAT SET OF 9 STIPULATED FACTS. OKAY? SO WE WILL STAND IN RECESS. LET ME KNOW IF YOU 10 11 HAVE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT. 12 MR. BURSOR: CAN I ASK ONE MORE QUESTION? 13 THE COURT: SURE. 14 MR. BURSOR: A VERSION OF THIS IS GOING TO BE 15 PREPARED THAT IS GOING TO GO INTO THE JURY ROOM AT THE END OF 16 THE CASE? 17 THE COURT: WE ARE PUTTING IT STRAIGHT INTO THEIR BINDERS, THAT THEY HAVE THEM. 18 19 MR. BURSOR: ARE THEY GOING TO HAVE BINDERS DURING 20 THE TRIAL? 21 THE COURT: YES. SO THEY WILL HAVE BINDERS. I'LL 22 REVIEW THEM WITH THEM AFTER THEY ARE SEATED. THE BINDERS HAVE 23 NOTEBOOK PAPER. THEY HAVE THE TRIAL SCHEDULE, IN TERMS OF THE 24 DAYS THAT WE ARE IN SESSION WHEN WE TAKE BREAKS. WHEN YOU 25 GIVE ME THEIR PICTURES, THEY NEED TO BE THREE-HOLE PUNCHED SO

THEY CAN GO INTO THE TRIAL BINDER. THEY HAVE A BLANK FORM FOR 1 2 PURPOSES OF ASKING QUESTIONS, IF THEY WANT TO SUBMIT 3 QUESTIONS. AND THEN THEY WILL GET A SECOND -- AND THEN THEY WILL GET 4 5 A BINDER -- ACTUALLY WE JUST GIVE THEM THE SHEETS OF THE CLOSING INSTRUCTIONS. I GIVE THEM HARD COPIES OF CLOSING 6 7 INSTRUCTIONS, NOT OF PRE-INSTRUCTIONS BUT OF CLOSING 8 INSTRUCTIONS. SO THOSE WILL GO INTO THEIR BINDER AT THE END OF THE CASE. THIS THREE-PAGE OF STIPULATED FACTS, WE WILL 9 JUST HAVE A COPY OF THEM IN THEIR BINDER. 10 11 MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, THE VERSION THAT THE JURORS 12 GET WILL NOT HAVE THE STRIKE-THROUGHS ON IT? 13 THE COURT: CORRECT. IT WILL BE A CLEAN VERSION BUT 14 I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE EASIER FOR YOU IF I DID A TRACK CHANGE 15 SO YOU THAT YOU WOULD KNOW EXPLICITLY WHAT I'M NOT READING. 16 MR. BURSOR: THE NUMBERING -- THE REASON I AM ASKING 17 IS BECAUSE I MAY WANT TO REFERENCE OF A NUMBER --18 THE COURT: WE WILL GIVE YOU A CLEAN COPY SO YOU CAN 19 HAVE IT. 20 MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 21 THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE? OKAY. 22 THE CLERK: THEY FILED THEIR STIPULATIONS. 23 THE COURT: TERRIFIC. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL 24 RIGHT. WE WILL STAND IN RECESS. 25 (PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 8:13 A.M.; RESUMED AT 8:14 A.M.)

THE COURT: LET'S GO ON THE RECORD.

WE ARE BACK ON THE RECORD. I RECEIVED A PROPOSED REVISED STATEMENT OF THE CASE. I'M NOT SURE WHERE THIS IS COMING FROM AND WHAT IT SPECIFICALLY RELATES TO.

MR. ELLIS: SO WHEN I WAS GOING BACK THROUGH THIS
WEEKEND AND LOOKING AT THE MATERIALS, I KNEW THAT YOU HAD SAID
THAT YOU WERE PREPARING ONE OR HAD PREPARED ONE. I JUST
WANTED TO PUT SOMETHING IN TO SHOW YOU THAT THAT'S KIND OF
ALONG THE LINES THAT I WAS THINKING ABOUT. THAT IT'S VERY,
VERY SHORT AND VERY PLAIN AND VERY NONARGUMENTATIVE. THAT'S
ALL, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

I'VE ALREADY PREPARED MY COMMENTS AND IT WAS -- AND IT'S

EQUALLY, IF NOT EVEN SHORTER, THAN WHAT YOU'VE PROVIDED.

JUST A REMINDER. IN TERMS OF WHAT IT IS I'M TELLING THE JURY, I HAVE A LOT TO TELL THE JURY WHEN THEY COME IN FOR SELECTION.

MR. ELLIS: YEAH.

THE COURT: THEY -- ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I TELL

THEM TOMORROW TYPICALLY IS THAT THEY REMEMBER ANYTHING THAT I

SAID ON MONDAY AS A MEANS OF REMINDING THEM THEY HAVE TO TAKE

NOTES. USUALLY THEY DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING. THE ONLY

THING -- ALL I WANT THEM TO KNOW IS THIS IS A TCPA CASE AND

THAT THE DEFENDANTS DENY EVERYTHING. OTHERWISE, YOU KNOW, IT

IS NOT A CRIMINAL CASE, IT IS NOT AN ANTITRUST CASE. THEY

```
1
       JUST HAVE TO HAVE THE BASIC UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE ARE DOING
 2
       TODAY. THAT'S REALLY THE WHOLE POINT OF IT. ALL RIGHT?
 3
          OKAY. THANK YOU.
               MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU.
 4
 5
             (RECESS TAKEN AT 8:16 A.M.; RESUMED AT 9:15 A.M.)
 6
           (PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS.)
 7
                THE CLERK: MY NAME IS FRANCES. I'M THE JUDGE'S
 8
       CLERK AND I'M GOING TO REQUEST CALL THE ROLL. SO IF YOU WILL
 9
       RESPOND OUT LOUD, RAISE YOUR HAND AND WE'LL START.
10
          CARLOS ARAGON?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
12
                THE CLERK: CLAUS BLEM?
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
14
                THE CLERK: DAVID BRADY?
15
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
16
                THE CLERK: ROBERT CAMP?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
18
                THE CLERK: ROBERT CARRIGAN.
19
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
20
                THE CLERK: SARABJIT CHAHAL?
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
                THE CLERK: ALL RIGHT.
22
23
          MARIANNE COOPER?
24
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
25
                THE CLERK: SAMANEH GHASEMIGOHAR?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
2	THE CLERK: LOV KUMAR GOEL?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
4	THE CLERK: KELLY GUIDI?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
6	THE CLERK: CHRISTIAN HINDERLIE?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
8	THE CLERK: MATTHEW HUIZINGH? I'M SORRY, I LOOKED
9	DOWN AT THE NAME. THANK YOU.
10	SORRY.
11	CATHY JEW?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
13	THE CLERK: NANCY MOWBRAY.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
15	THE CLERK: DANIEL NICHOLAS?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
17	THE CLERK: GERTRUDIS OCHOA?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
19	THE CLERK: MARISA ONG?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
21	THE CLERK: GARY OTTO?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
23	THE CLERK: FREESIA RAFELLO?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
25	THE CLERK: BEN RAMOS?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
2	THE CLERK: JOSEPH ROUSSEU?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
4	THE CLERK: BELARMINO RUSTIA?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
6	THE CLERK: THOMAS SYLVIA? YES?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM DAVID THOMAS SYLVIA?
8	THE CLERK: IS IT SYLVA?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LAST NAME IS SYLVIA.
10	THE CLERK: SYLVIA. THANK YOU. I DIDN'T HEAR IT.
11	SORRY.
12	AARON SKILKEN?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
14	THE CLERK: NOAM SMOOHA?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
16	THE CLERK: ELISARAH SUDHARMADJI?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
18	THE CLERK: ALL RIGHT. CHRISTOPHER TENNYSON?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
20	THE CLERK: GINA VELEZ?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
22	THE CLERK: JULIA WATLEY?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.
24	THE CLERK: CHRISTOPHER WHITE?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE.

1 THE CLERK: GINA WILKINS? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE. 3 THE CLERK: WILLIAM WILSON? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE. 4 5 THE CLERK: THERESA WOO? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE. 6 7 THE CLERK: AND YUN XU? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. I'M GOING TO CALL UP YOUR NAME. 10 WILL CALL UP 18 PEOPLE TO SIT IN THE BOX. THE FIRST PERSON, I 11 WILL COME AND SHOW YOU WHERE YOU ARE GOING TO SIT. THE FIRST 12 PERSON COMES AND SITS HERE AND WE MOVE DOWN THE ROW. I'LL DIRECT YOU. SO THE FIRST PERSON COMES AND SITS IN THIS CHAIR 13 14 (INDICATING). 15 CLAUS BLEM, B-L-E-M. 16 ROBERT CAMP. 17 MARIANNE COOPER. SAMANEH GHASEMIGOHAR. LAST NAME IS 18 G-H-A-S-E-M-I-G-O-H-A-R. THAT'S FOR COUNSEL AND MY BENEFIT. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S ME. 20 THE CLERK: ALL RIGHT. 21 22 KUMAR GOEL, G-O-E-L. 23 KELLY GUIDI, G-U-I-D-I. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT IS PRONOUNCED GUIDI. 25 THE CLERK: CHRISTIAN HINDERLIE, H-I-N-D-E-R-L-I-E.

```
MATTHEW HUIZINGH, H-U-I-Z-I-N-G-H.
 1
 2
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HUIZINGH.
 3
                THE CLERK: CATHY JEW, J-E-W.
           OKAY. THE NEXT NAME THAT I CALL IS GOING TO COME WAY IN
 4
 5
       THE BACK ROW, WAY OVER ON THE RIGHT WAY ON THE END. NOT
      MS. JEW BUT THE NEXT PERSON.
 6
 7
           DANIEL NICHOLAS, N-I-C-H-O-L-A-S. SO YOU GO WAY DOWN ON
 8
       THE END IN THE BACK THERE.
 9
           MARISA ONG, O-N-G.
10
           FREESIA RAFELLO, R-A-F-E-L-L-O.
11
           JOSEPH ROUSSEU, R-O-U-S-S-E-U.
12
           GINA VELEZ, V-E-L-E-Z.
13
           CHRISTOPHER WHITE, W-H-I-T-E.
14
           WILLIAM WILSON, W-I-L-S-O-N.
15
           THERESA WOO, W-O-O.
16
           AND YUN XU, X-U.
17
           SORRY, CAN YOU GET AROUND THE SCREEN THERE?
           GREAT. I'LL GO AND GET THE JUDGE.
18
19
                        (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
20
                THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.
21
           EVERYONE: GOOD MORNING.
22
                THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED.
23
                THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN CALLED
24
      AS PROSPECTIVE JURORS IN THE CASE OF IGNACIO PEREZ VERSUS RASH
25
       CURTIS & ASSOCIATES, CASE NUMBER 16-3396.
```

IF YOU ALL PLEASE RISE AGAIN AND YOU WILL BE SWORN IN. 1 2 (PROSPECTIVE JURORS SWORN.) 3 THE COURT: WELL, I CAN TELL BY YOUR LUKEWARM RESPONSE TO MY EXCITED GOOD MORNING THAT NO ONE IS HAPPY TO BE 4 5 HERE. MAYBE A COUPLE OF YOU. I UNDERSTAND. LET ME START OFF BY THANKING YOU FOR HONORING YOUR 6 7 CITIZENSHIP TODAY. THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY IS GUARANTEED 8 TO EACH AND EVERY ONE OF US BY THE CONSTITUTION. IT'S 9 GUARANTEED. BUT THAT RIGHT CANNOT BE EFFECTUATED IN 10 COURTROOMS ACROSS THE UNITED STATES UNLESS INDIVIDUALS LIKE 11 EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY AND APPEAR. SO, 12 AGAIN, I THANK YOU. 13 BEFORE I CONTINUE, CAN EVERYONE HEAR ME? IF NOT, WE HAVE 14 ASSISTED LISTENING DEVICES. RAISE YOUR HAND AND WE CAN BRING 15 YOU ONE. 16 ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 17 SO I WOULD LIKE TO THINK THAT WE CAN ALL AGREE AT A 18 MINIMUM THAT A RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY IS ONE OF OUR MOST 19 CHERISHED RIGHTS. IT IS GUARANTEED TO US IN THE SIXTH AND 20 SEVENTH AMENDMENTS. I HAVE HAD THIS OLD COPY OF THE 21 CONSTITUTION WITH ME SINCE LAW SCHOOL. 22 BUT, FRANKLY, IF YOU PICK UP THE NEWSPAPER ON ANY GIVEN 23 DAY AND YOU LOOK AT THE WORLD SECTION, YOU WILL PROBABLY FIND THAT THAT RIGHT IS NOT GUARANTEED TO PEOPLE ACROSS THE GLOBE. 24 25 SO, IN THOSE PLACES DEMOCRACY DOESN'T GOVERN. ONCE A YEAR

MAYBE YOU GET ASKED TO COME AND ENGAGE IN YOUR DEMOCRACY. I
UNDERSTAND DEMOCRACY IS NOT CONVENIENT. IT REALLY ISN'T.

BUT WE REAP THE BENEFITS OF A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY AND ONCE
IN A WHILE THAT MEANS THAT WE HAVE TO TAKE SOME TIME OUT OF
OUR DAY TO PARTICIPATE IN OUR DEMOCRACY. THE RIGHT TO SERVE
AS A TRIAL JUROR IS GUARANTEED TO EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU.
AND THAT RIGHT CANNOT BE DENIED TO YOU ON THE BASIS OF YOUR
GENDER, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, RELIGION, ECONOMIC STATUS,
AND, FRANKLY, IT WAS NOT THAT LONG AGO IN OUR COUNTRY'S
HISTORY WHEN I COULD NOT SAY THAT. IN FACT, IT WAS NOT THAT
LONG AGO IN OUR COUNTRY'S HISTORY WHEN MANY OF US IN THIS
COURTROOM DID NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO SERVE AS JURORS. MYSELF
INCLUDED.

FOR THOSE WOMEN IN THE ROOM, WE ARE CELEBRATING THE
ANNIVERSARY OF THE RIGHT GIVEN TO WOMEN TO VOTE, THE 20TH
AMENDMENT. SO JUST REMEMBER, THERE WAS A TIME IN OUR
COUNTRY'S HISTORY WHEN THE RULE OF LAW WAS BEING DECIDED BY A
SELECT GROUP OF PEOPLE, MANY OF YOU WERE NOT INVITED, MUCH
LESS GIVEN THE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE.

SO LET ME SHARE WITH YOU JUST A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS

CASE. THIS IS A CIVIL CASE AND I'LL TELL YOU ABOUT IT AND

INTRODUCE THE PARTIES IN A MOMENT. BUT IT IS THE DUTY OF

JURORS TO DETERMINE THE FACTS OF THE CASE. THAT IS WHAT WE -
THAT IS WHAT THIS PROCESS IS ABOUT. EVIDENCE IS PRESENTED.

THE PARTIES CAN'T AGREE ON WHAT THE FACTS ARE, AND WE ASK

JURORS TO DECIDE IN THEIR COLLECTIVE WISDOM WHAT THE FACTS

ARE. JURORS ARE THE JUDGES OF THE WEIGHT AND THE EVIDENCE AND

THE CREDIBILITY OF THE WITNESSES. BUT THERE'S AN IMPORTANT

LIMITATION AND RESTRICTION AND THAT IS THAT JURORS HAVE TO

DECIDE THE CASE BASED UPON THE RULE OF LAW. AND THAT'S WHERE

A JUDGE COMES IN. IT IS MY JOB, NOT TO BE AN ADVOCATE, BUT TO

SAY WHAT THE RULES OF THE LAW ARE AND WHAT THE LAW PROVIDES

AND TO INSTRUCT THE JURY ON THE LAW.

AND IT IS THE JURORS' OBLIGATION TO ACCEPT THOSE

INSTRUCTIONS WITHOUT RESERVATION. AND THAT IS BECAUSE I DON'T

MAKE THE LAW, I JUST TELL YOU WHAT THE LAW IS. THAT -- THOSE

LAWS ARE DETERMINED BY OUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES AND BY THE

COURTS OF APPEAL WHO DECIDE WHAT THE LAW IS WHEN THERE IS

AMBIGUITY. SO WE WANT THE JURORS TO DECIDE THE CASE BASED

UPON THE LAW, NOT BASED UPON THEIR OWN PERSONAL OPINIONS, BUT

ON THE LAW.

NOW, THE ROLE OF THE LAWYERS IS SEPARATE. THEY HAVE A DISTINCT DUTY TO ADVOCATE FOR THEIR CLIENTS AND IT IS THEIR JOB TO DO THAT PIECE. SO WHEN WE ARE ALL IN THE COURTROOM EACH DOING OUR OWN JOB, WE BELIEVE THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET TO THE RIGHT RESULT. AND I AM A TRUE BELIEVER IN JURIES. I THINK THE JURIES GET TO THE RIGHT RESULT.

OKAY. THAT RESULT IS SHOWN IN WHAT WE CALL A VERDICT, AND YOU MAY HAVE HEARD OF THAT. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT JURORS ALWAYS KEEP AN OPEN MIND. I'VE TRIED ENOUGH CASES TO KNOW

WHEN YOU HEAR ONE SIDE'S VERSION, YOU THINK, OH, THAT IS

GREAT, THEY MUST BE RIGHT. UNTIL YOU HEAR THE OTHER SIDE'S

VERSION, YOU ARE REALLY NOT QUITE SURE. SO, IT IS IMPORTANT

TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND UNTIL ALL OF THE PIECES OF THE PUZZLE

HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO YOU AND, WHOEVER IS CHOSEN, I'LL HAVE

SOME MORE INSTRUCTIONS FOR YOU ON THAT.

BUT LET'S SHIFT GEARS AND, AGAIN, I'M GOING TO PAUSE.

DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY LANGUAGE ISSUES WHERE THEY CANNOT

UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING, RAISE YOUR HAND? OKAY. NONE ARE

RAISED.

SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THIS CASE. FIRST SOME INTRODUCTIONS. I WILL HAVE THE PARTIES INTRODUCE THEMSELVES. MR. BURSOR, IF WILL YOU INTRODUCE YOURSELF FOR THE PLAINTIFFS AND YOUR TEAM, PLEASE.

MR. BURSOR: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. MY NAME IS

SCOTT BURSOR. I AM FROM THE LAW FIRM OF BURSOR & FISHER AND

SEVERAL OF THE LAWYERS FROM MY FIRM THAT WILL BE WORKING WITH

ME DURING THE TRIAL ARE ALSO HERE. MY PARTNER TIM FISHER,

BLAIR REED FROM OUR FIRM AND YEREMEY KRIVOSHEY FROM OUR FIRM

CAME IN A COUPLE OF MINUTES LATE AND WITH HIM IS OUR CLIENT,

IGNACIO PEREZ, WHO'S SITTING IN THE BACK WITH MR. KRIVOSHEY.

HE WILL PROBABLY COME UP HERE LATER BUT THEY DIDN'T WANT TO

DISTURB YOUR HONOR'S PRESENTATION.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. ELLIS.

MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING,

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. MY NAME IS MARK ELLIS, AND I'M FROM A
LAW FIRM, ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP. AND I'LL BE THE LEAD TRIAL
ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENSE. AND WITH ME IS ANTHONY VALENTI, ONE
OF MY ASSOCIATES. HE'S A SENIOR ASSOCIATE. LARRY IGLESIAS,
ANOTHER ASSOCIATE. HE'S A JUNIOR ASSOCIATE SITTING IN HIS
FIRST TRIAL. AND WITH ME RIGHT HERE IS ROBERT KEITH AND HE IS
THE REPRESENTATIVE OF RASH CURTIS, WHO IS THE DEFENDANT IN
THIS CASE, AND HE'S THE VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS THERE.
GOOD MORNING.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. OKAY. SO I'D LIKE YOU ALL TO LOOK AROUND THE ROOM. ALL THE JURORS SEEM TO HAVE COME ON THIS SIDE. I'M LOOKING FOR EIGHT JURORS. THAT'S ALL I'M LOOKING FOR, EIGHT JURORS. SO MOST OF YOU WILL NOT BE WITH US.

TIMING. THIS IS ACTUALLY A VERY SHORT CASE AS FAR AS

FEDERAL CASES GO. A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO AT THIS POINT IN

TIME, I WAS PICKING A JURY FOR A FOUR-MONTH CRIMINAL TRIAL.

THAT IS NOT THIS CASE. AND YOU JUST RANDOMLY HAPPENED TO GET

SELECTED TO BE ON A SHORT CASE. I EXPECT THIS CASE TO BE

FINISHED BY NEXT WEEK, SO IT IS AS SHORT AS THEY COME FOR

FEDERAL CASES.

OUR TRIAL DAY IS AS FOLLOWS: I OPERATE FROM 8:30 TO

1:30 WITH MY TRIAL DAYS. I ACTUALLY START WITH THE LAWYERS

EARLIER BUT EVIDENCE IS PRESENTED BETWEEN 8:30 AND 1:30. WE

DO NOT TAKE LUNCH. WE TAKE TWO 15-MINUTE BREAKS AT

10:00 O'CLOCK AND 11:45 IN CASE YOU HAVE CONFERENCE CALLS TO SCHEDULE AND THEN TAKE LUNCH AFTERWARDS.

I DO THIS FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. ONE, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE TRAVELING WHO ARE NOT LOCAL TO OAKLAND, YOU GET BACK ON THE ROAD BEFORE THERE IS ANY TRAFFIC AND IT MAKES IT MUCH FASTER.

TWO, IN FEDERAL COURT OUR CASES DON'T GO AWAY WHEN WE ARE IN TRIAL. I HAVE 300 OTHER CASES. SO WHEN YOU LEAVE, I DEAL WITH MY OTHER CASES. THEY DON'T GO AWAY. PEOPLE ARE SITTING IN THE COURTROOM. I HAVE HEARINGS AFTER YOU GO. SO I CAN FEEL YOUR PAIN. WHEN I AM IN TRIAL, I STILL HAVE WORK TO DO TOO, SO I UNDERSTAND.

BUT, I CAN PROMISE YOU, I'M ONE OF THE MORE EFFICIENT

TRIAL JUDGES AROUND. WE DO NOT WASTE TIME. I MAKE THE

PARTIES WORK LATE AND COME IN EARLY SO WHEN YOU ARE HERE, ALL

YOU ARE DOING IS HEARING EVIDENCE.

NOW, ONCE THE CASE IS SUBMITTED TO YOU FOR DELIBERATION, I
TRY TO GET IT OVER WITH, SO I HAVE YOU DELIBERATE ALL DAY. SO
YOU CAN BE DELIBERATING AND I CAN BE WORKING IN HERE, SO THAT
WORKS FOR ME. BUT THAT WAY IT ALSO GETS YOU DONE SOONER. SO,
THIS IS LIKE I SAID A PRETTY SHORT TRIAL.

NOW, WITH RESPECT TO HARDSHIPS, AND I HAVE SEEN SOME
PEOPLE HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY HAVE GOT SOME HARDSHIPS AND WE
WILL TALK ABOUT THAT. ECONOMIC HARDSHIP IS NOT A REASON IN
AND OF ITSELF TO BE EXCUSED. NOR ARE GENERAL TRAVEL PLANS, IF

YOU LIKE TO TRAVEL, BUT THERE ARE SOME SITUATIONS WHERE I WOULD GRANT A HARDSHIP. THEY ARE NARROWLY GRANTED, NARROWLY GRANTED.

SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE YOU TO DO IS LOOK TO YOUR NEIGHBOR.

WHEN YOU ARE ASKING FOR A HARDSHIP, THE QUESTION I'M GOING TO HAVE FOR YOU IS WHAT MAKES YOU SO SPECIAL THAT YOUR NEIGHBOR SHOULD SIT BUT NOT YOU. THAT'S THE QUESTION. NOW, IF YOU ARE TAKING CARE OF AN ELDERLY PARENT WHO HAS NO -- CANNOT MOVE, CANNOT FEED THEMSELVES, YEAH, I WOULD SAY THAT IS A HARDSHIP.

SOMETIMES I LET OUT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS BECAUSE I
THINK THAT CHILDREN IN SCHOOLS SHOULD NOT BE SITTING THERE
WATCHING VIDEOS BECAUSE OUR SCHOOL DISTRICTS CAN'T AFFORD TO
PAY SUBSTITUTES TO COME IN AND TEACH THEM. BUT IT'S GOT TO BE
SOMETHING SPECIAL.

NOW, THAT DOESN'T MEAN YOU WILL GET SELECTED. LOOK

AROUND. ONLY LOOKING FOR EIGHT. IF YOU ARE ASKING FOR A

HARDSHIP, THAT'S DIFFERENT. THAT'S ME SAYING THAT YOU'RE

SPECIAL AND YOU SHOULDN'T SERVE BUT YOUR NEIGHBOR SHOULD.

SO LET ME TELL YOU A LITTLE MORE ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC CASE.

THIS IS WHAT WE CALL A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT. PLAINTIFF,

MR. PEREZ, AND THE CLASS MEMBERS ALLEGE THAT THE DEFENDANT,

RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES, WHICH IS A DEBT COLLECTOR, CALLED

PEOPLE ON THEIR CELLULAR TELEPHONES AND THAT RASH CURTIS

OBTAINED THEIR NUMBER THROUGH A PROCESS CALLED SKIP-TRACING.

THE PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS MEMBERS ALLEGE THAT ALL OF THOSE

CALLS VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT. THIS IS
IN TITLE 47 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AT SECTION 227. AND
PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS MEMBERS HAVE THE BURDEN TO PROVE THEIR
CLAIMS. RASH CURTIS DENIES ALL OF THE CLAIMS AND DENIES THAT
THERE WAS A SKIP-TRACING THAT CAN BE PROVED.

NOW, I HAD THE LAWYERS AND THEIR PARTIES INTRODUCE

THEMSELVES. LET ME INTRODUCE MY STAFF. HERE IS MY COURTROOM

DEPUTY, FRANCES STONE. SHE IS THE PERSON WITH WHOM YOU WOULD

HAVE THE MOST INTERACTION. AND MY COURT REPORTER, DIANE

SKILLMAN. NOW, DIANE IS THE PERSON I SAY WHO IS IN THE

COURTROOM WHO WORKS 100 PERCENT OF THE TIME. WHEN THE LAWYERS

ARE ASKING QUESTIONS, I COULD BE DOZING OFF. I DON'T BUT I

COULD BE. BUT DIANE, SHE HAS TO TYPE DOWN EVERY SINGLE THING

THAT IS SAID. AND SHE'S VERY PATIENT WITH ME BECAUSE I DON'T

LIKE TO TAKE BREAKS. BUT, ONCE IN A WHILE I DO HAVE TO TAKE A

BREAK BECAUSE, IF I DON'T, SHE DOESN'T GET A BREAK AND SHE HAS

TO TYPE EVERYTHING THAT EVERYONE SAYS.

ALL RIGHT. NOW, I'VE GIVEN YOU SOME BACKGROUND AND WE ARE GOING TO START THE PROCESS OF VOIR DIRE. I SAW IN THE QUESTIONNAIRES THERE IS A TEXAN OR SOMEONE WHO WAS IN TEXAS, WHO WENT TO SCHOOL IN TEXAS. IF YOU ARE IN TEXAS, WHERE I WENT TO LAW SCHOOL, THEY CALL IT VOIR DIRE. VOIR DIRE, SAME AS VOIR DIRE, BUT VOIR DIRE IS ACTUALLY FRENCH, IT'S NOT TEXAN. IT COMES FROM THE 1600S AND THAT WORD IS AN ANGLO-FRENCH WORD, WHICH LITERALLY MEANS TO SPEAK THE TRUTH.

SO THIS PROCESS OF VOIR DIRE IS A PROCESS BY WHICH WE ASK 1 2 YOU TO SPEAK THE TRUTH IN RESPONSE TO OUR QUESTIONS. IF AT 3 ANY TIME -- AND I DON'T -- BECAUSE THIS ISN'T A SENSITIVE CASE, IT'S NOT A CRIMINAL CASE. FREQUENTLY, I HAVE TO TALK TO 4 5 PEOPLE ABOUT SOMETHING THAT IS PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE. I'M 6 HAPPY TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A MEASURE OF PRIVACY. IF THERE IS 7 SOMETHING YOU NEED TO TELL ME THAT YOU DON'T WANT ANYONE ELSE 8 TO HEAR, THAT'S FINE. I CAN GIVE YOU THAT PRIVACY BUT JUST 9 LET ME KNOW. AND DON'T NOT ANSWER THE QUESTION, JUST TELL ME 10 YOU WOULD LIKE SOME PRIVACY AND I CAN PROVIDE THAT. 11 OKAY. NOW, WE'RE GOING TO GO AND REVIEW YOUR 12 QUESTIONNAIRES. AND I THINK AS YOU MAY BE ABLE TO TELL AT 13 THIS POINT, THE QUESTIONNAIRES WERE GEARED TOWARD THAT. SO, 14 IF WE HAVE THE MIC, WE WILL START OFF. 15 THE CLERK: AND THEN YOU NEED TO HOLD THE MIC MUCH IN 16 FRONT LIKE THIS. IF YOU HOLD IT DOWN HERE, WE CAN'T HEAR IT. 17 THERE YOU ARE. THE COURT: MR. BLEM? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 20 THE COURT: DID I SAY THAT RIGHT? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 22 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. THE COURT: SO IN TERMS OF YOUR -- YOU'RE CURRENTLY 24 25 EMPLOYED AT KAISER, RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT. 1 2 THE COURT: SO WE DON'T HAVE AN ISSUE WITH RESPECT 3 TO -- KAISER IS ONE OF THESE INSTITUTIONS THAT ACTUALLY COMPENSATES YOU --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I'M GETTING PAID VERY WELL 5 RIGHT NOW, SO.... 6 7 THE COURT: OKAY. 8 CAN YOU TELL ME -- YOU CHECKED THE BOX ABOUT HAVING FAMILY 9 MEMBERS OR SELF-EXPERIENCE WITH DEBT COLLECTORS. CAN YOU JUST GIVE ME A LITTLE MORE INFORMATION ON THAT TOPIC. YOU SAID 10 11 THERE WAS A BANKRUPTCY --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY DAUGHTER GOT INTO TROUBLE WITH 13 A DEBT COLLECTION AND WAS SENT TO DEBT COLLECTORS. AND I 14 THINK SHE ULTIMATELY PAID THEM. AT ONE POINT, I THINK ABOUT 30 YEARS AGO, I HAD TO DECLARE 15 16 BANKRUPTCY. SO, I'M FAMILIAR WITH DEBT COLLECTORS AS WELL. 17 THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL ME ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR 18 EXPERIENCE? WHAT I AM INTERESTED IN HERE -- WHAT WE ARE 19 LOOKING FOR ARE JURORS WHO CAN BE FAIR. RIGHT? 20 SO, DID YOU HAVE A PARTICULARLY BAD OR GOOD OR JUST 21 NEUTRAL EXPERIENCE WITH THE DEBT COLLECTORS? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, THEY ARE JUST DOING THEIR 23 JOB. SO, I OWED MONEY, THEY WERE ASKING FOR THE MONEY. SO --24 THE COURT: OKAY. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S UNDERSTANDABLE.

THE COURT: AND THERE'S NOTHING -- DO YOU HARBOR ANY 1 2 ILL FEELINGS TOWARD DEBT COLLECTORS THAT PERHAPS THE 3 DEFENDANTS SHOULD KNOW ABOUT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WISH I DIDN'T HAVE TO PAY THE 6 7 MONEY BACK THEN, BUT.... 8 THE COURT: NOW, YOU SAID YOU STRONGLY AGREE THERE 9 ARE TOO MANY LAWSUITS. TELL ME YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT FRONT. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHAT'S THAT QUOTE THAT THE UNITED 10 11 STATES HAS 90 PERCENT OF THE WORLD'S ATTORNEYS? 12 THE COURT: THEY DON'T ALL LITIGATE. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, THEY DON'T ALL LITIGATE. BUT 14 IT IS AN OVERWHELMING PROPORTION. 15 THE COURT: AND, IN TERMS OF YOUR OPINIONS WITH 16 RESPECT TO OUR LEGAL SYSTEM, MOST OF THAT WAS -- YOUR COMMENT 17 WAS RELATED TO CRIMINAL? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT. 18 19 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY WITH RESPECT 20 TO THE CIVIL SIDE? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 22 23 OKAY. THANK YOU. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU. DO I PASS IT? 24 25 THE COURT: YES, PLEASE.

1	MR. CAMP?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
3	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, SIR.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HI.
5	THE COURT: SO, MR. CAMP, CAN YOU DID YOU GET A
6	DEGREE AT BERKELEY IN ELECTRONICS
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
8	THE COURT: OR DID YOU JUST TAKE COURSES?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UNDERGRADUATE. I NEVER GOT THE
10	DEGREE. JUST STUDIED VARIOUS COURSES.
11	THE COURT: I COULDN'T QUITE TELL. THE NAME OF THE
12	COMPANY YOU ARE AN INVENTORY MANAGER, IS THAT IT?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
14	THE COURT: WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE COMPANY, SIR?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ANDERSON HONDA.
16	THE COURT: ANDERSON HONDA.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
18	THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE BEEN ON A JURY THREE TIMES.
19	WERE THEY ALL CRIMINAL CASES IN STATE COURT?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ONE WAS DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, ONE
21	WAS DUI AND ONE WAS ROBBERY.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, IN EACH OF THOSE CASES
23	LET'S START WITH THE DV. DID THE JURY REACH A VERDICT?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHICH ONE WAS THAT?
25	THE COURT: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WERE SPLIT ON THAT ONE.
2	THE COURT: SO YOU HUNG? THE JURY HUNG?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (NODS HEAD.)
4	THE COURT: WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ON THAT ONE, NO.
6	THE COURT: HOW ABOUT THE DUI? WAS THERE A VERDICT
7	IN THE DUI?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, THERE WAS.
9	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THAT VERDICT?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GUILTY.
11	THE COURT: GUILTY. WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON THERE?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS.
13	THE COURT: AND THEN WITH RESPECT TO THE ROBBERY
14	CASE, WAS THERE A VERDICT?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GUILTY VERDICT.
16	THE COURT: GUILTY? WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON AGAIN?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
18	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WAS THAT IN SAN FRANCISCO
19	SUPERIOR COURT?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I SAY ONE WAS OAKLAND, ONE WAS
21	SANTA CLARA, AND ONE WAS SAN FRANCISCO.
22	THE COURT: YOU'VE MOVED AROUND A LOT THEN?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE HAD A FEW HOUSES.
24	THE COURT: NOW, IN TERMS OF YOU CHECKED THE BOX
25	THAT YOU TESTIFIED IN A TRIAL; IS THAT RIGHT?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
2	THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR
3	EXPERIENCE TESTIFYING?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST HAD TO VERIFY THAT THERE
5	WERE RECKLESS DRIVING GOING ON, ON A STREET IN FRONT OF MY
6	HOUSE. SPEEDING AND THAT TYPE OF STUFF.
7	THE COURT: OKAY.
8	AND THEN YOU'VE ALSO HAD TO BE INVOLVED IN A LAWSUIT WITH
9	RESPECT TO TRYING TO GET BACK RENT FROM A TENANT. CAN YOU
10	TELL US ABOUT THAT?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. THEY WERE ALMOST A YEAR IN
12	ARREARS.
13	THE COURT: WE'RE GETTING A LOT OF BACK
14	THE CLERK: YOU KNOW WHAT? IF I TURN IT DOWN, WE
15	CAN'T HEAR HIM. THE ONLY WAY I CAN DO IT IS TO TURN THAT DOWN
16	AND TRY TO GET THAT OUT.
17	THE COURT: LET'S HOLD OFF THEN.
18	SO JUST HOLD THE MIC A LITTLE BIT LOWER.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LITTLE LOWER?
20	THE COURT: LET'S SEE IF THAT WORKS.
21	WERE YOU ABLE TO GET YOUR CASE RESOLVED IN STATE COURT?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO PAY ME BUT
23	THEY NEVER DID.
24	THE COURT: BUT YOU WERE ABLE TO GET A JUDGMENT?
2.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I GOT A JUDGMENT.

THE COURT: WERE YOU ABLE TO EVICT THE PERSON? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS. 3 THE COURT: NOW, YOU NOTED THAT YOU THOUGHT DAMAGES AWARDS FROM CIVIL LAWSUITS WERE TOO HIGH. CAN YOU TELL ME 4 5 YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT TOPIC? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST THINK, IN GENERAL, THEY 6 7 SEEM EXCESSIVE. 8 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR THAT 9 LEADS YOU TO THAT CONCLUSION? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST MY EXPERIENCES. 10 11 THE COURT: YOUR EXPERIENCES? OKAY. 12 ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. CAMP. 13 MS. COOPER? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 15 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. 17 THE COURT: SO, CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT YOUR ROLE IS AT 18 STANFORD? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE. I'M A SOCIOLOGIST AT A 20 RESEARCH INSTITUTE THAT FOCUSES ON GENDER. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU'RE DOING RESEARCH? YOU 22 NOTED YOU WERE A MANAGER, SO I WAS TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM. I HAVE ONE RESEARCHER WHO 24 REPORTS TO ME, THAT I DO RESEARCH AND WRITING. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN YOU'VE ALSO -- YOU WERE

ON A DUI CASE; IS THAT RIGHT? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 3 THE COURT: AND WAS THERE A VERDICT IN THAT --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. GUILTY. 4 5 THE COURT: WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (SHAKES HEAD.) 6 7 THE COURT: NO? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THE COURT: I HAVE TO MAKE SURE. YOU NOD YOUR HEAD, 9 I HAVE WORDS SO WE CAN NOTE IT ON THE TRANSCRIPT. 10 11 OKAY. AND THEN YOU KNOW SOMEONE WHO IS ON YOUR RESEARCH 12 PROJECT WHO HAD PROBLEMS WITH A DEBT COLLECTOR MAYBE? COULD 13 YOU GIVE US --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WROTE A BOOK ON FINANCIAL 15 INSECURITY AND ONE -- FOR THE RESEARCH, I FOLLOWED AROUND 16 SEVERAL FAMILIES AND I INTERVIEWED HUNDRED FAMILIES, AND ONE 17 OF THE FAMILIES I FOCUSED ON IN MY BOOK IS A FAMILY WHO WAS 18 EXPERIENCING DOWNWARD MOBILITY AND WAS RECEIVING PHONE CALLS 19 FROM DEBT COLLECTORS FREQUENTLY. AND SO I WAS THERE TO SEE 20 THOSE CALLS AND I WRITE ABOUT IT IN MY BOOK, THE IMPACT OF THOSE CALLS. 21 22 THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE -- AS 23 PART OF YOUR RESEARCH, DID YOU EVER ENCOUNTER THE DEFENDANT? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NOT THAT I KNOW OF. 25 THE COURT: AND IN THOSE CALLS WITH RESPECT TO THOSE

FAMILIES, WAS THERE EVER AN ALLEGATION THAT THE DEBT COLLECTOR 1 2 DIDN'T HAVE CONSENT TO CALL THE INDIVIDUALS? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT DIDN'T COME UP IN MY OBSERVATIONS. 4 5 THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT WAS YOUR CONCLUSION? IF --TO THE EXTENT THERE WAS ONE? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO, THIS IS BASED ON BOTH THE 8 RESEARCH THAT I'VE DONE AND MY EXPERTISE AND SORT OF ECONOMIC 9 INSECURITY AND BANKRUPTCY MORE BROADLY IS THAT OFTENTIMES THE TACTICS ARE QUITE AGGRESSIVE. 10 11 THE COURT: OKAY. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHAT I SAW, IT WAS CAUSING --13 THERE WERE MANY THINGS GOING WRONG FOR THIS FAMILY. THIS IS 14 ONE OF THEM BUT IT WAS A PARTICULAR POIGNANT MOMENT AS I HEARD 15 THE PERSON I WAS RESEARCHING EXPLAIN WHY SHE COULDN'T PAY IT 16 BACK AND --17 THE COURT: RIGHT. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. 18 19 THE COURT: AND WHEN WAS THAT BOOK PUBLISHED? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2014. 20 THE COURT: NOW, THERE ISN'T ANY -- IN THIS CASE, 21 22 IT'S A DIFFERENT KIND OF CASE WITH RESPECT TO THE CLAIMS ARE 23 THAT THE TELEPHONE CALLS WERE MADE WITHOUT CONSENT. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 25 THE COURT: SO THERE ISN'T AN ALLEGATION OF

HARASSMENT OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. DO YOU THINK, BASED UPON 1 2 YOUR EXPERIENCE, THAT YOU WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO TREAT THE 3 DEFENDANT FAIRLY IN THIS CASE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD HOPE I CAN. I MEAN, THAT 4 5 WOULD BE MY EXPECTATION OF MYSELF. BUT I DO JUST WANT TO BE 6 VERY UPFRONT THAT I KNOW PROBABLY MORE THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON 7 ABOUT BANKRUPTCY AND ECONOMIC INSECURITY AND THE CAUSES AND 8 EXPERIENCES. SO I THINK I CAN BE A REASONABLE AND IMPARTIAL 9 JUROR, BUT OTHER PEOPLE SHOULD JUST KNOW THAT THAT'S WHERE I COME FROM. 10 11 THE COURT: RIGHT. DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY OCCASION TO 12 LEARN ABOUT THE SPECIFIC TELEPHONE SYSTEMS THAT THEY USE IN 13 THESE KINDS OF OPERATIONS? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I JUST KNOW MORE ABOUT THE EMPLOYEE SIDE OF IT AS WELL. THERE IS A FAIR AMOUNT OF 15 16 RESEARCH ABOUT PEOPLE WHO WORK IN THE ACTUAL JOB OF A DEBT 17 COLLECTOR AND WHAT THAT IS LIKE AS WELL. SO, THAT IS -- TO THE DEGREE THAT I WOULD KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT, IT'S FROM THAT 18 19 LENS. 20 THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, YOUR HUSBAND IS -- OR YOUR 21 SPOUSE, I SHOULD SAY, IS IN BIOTECH? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. 23 THE COURT: THAT IS YES? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 25 THE COURT: AND THAT'S -- WHERE IS THE BIOTECH

1	COMPANY LOCATED?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO.
3	THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS YOU SAW, OR AS YOU HEARD, IF
4	YOU WERE SELECTED TO BE AS A JUROR, YOU WOULD BE DONE BY
5	1:30 IN THE AFTERNOON
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
7	THE COURT: WHICH WOULD GET YOU BACK TO THE SOUTH
8	BAY
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
10	THE COURT: WELL BEFORE 5:00 O'CLOCK.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HARD PART WOULD BE THE MORNINGS
12	TO GET HERE BY 8:30.
13	THE COURT: IS THERE SOME REASON YOUR HUSBAND CAN'T
14	TAKE YOUR KIDS TO SCHOOL?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MOST DAYS HE CAN. IT IS JUST IF
16	HE ENDS UP NEEDING TO TRAVEL FOR WORK. ASSUMING THIS CASE IS
17	A SHORTER CASE, I THINK THIS IS POSSIBLE.
18	THE COURT: OKAY.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM FLAGGING THAT IT WOULD START
20	TO NOT BE POSSIBLE AT A CERTAIN POINT.
21	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
22	OKAY. NOW, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO TELL ME HOW TO
23	PRONOUNCE YOUR LAST NAME.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GHASEMIGOHAR. YOU CAN CALL ME
2.5	GOHAR. IF IT IS SHORTER.

1	THE COURT: GOHAR?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
3	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
4	SO YOU SAID YOU HAVE WHEN DID YOU COME FROM IRAN?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2010.
6	THE COURT: AND YOU SAID YOU HAD SOME COLLEGE. WAS
7	IT IN IRAN OR HERE IN THE STATES?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HERE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS
9	ANGELES.
10	THE COURT: WHAT KINDS OF CLASSES DID YOU TAKE?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUSINESS ADMINISTRATIVE.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT
13	OCCUPATION?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M A STAY-AT-HOME MOM.
15	THE COURT: OKAY. AND ARE YOU AND YOU ARE MARRIED
16	AND SAME KINDS OF QUESTIONS. YOUR HUSBAND IS A SOFTWARE
17	ENGINEER FOR WHOM?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MACYS.COM.
19	THE COURT: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MACY'S.
21	THE COURT: OH, MACY'S. AND WHERE IS HIS HOME BASE?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT IS HERE IN DOWNTOWN
23	SAN FRANCISCO BUT HE HAS TO TRAVEL A LOT BECAUSE OF HIS JOB.
24	AND SOMETIMES BECAUSE OF THE TRAINING PURPOSES AND RIGHT NOW
25	HE IS OUT OF TOWN. OUT OF THE STATE ACTUALLY.

THE COURT: DOES ANYONE ELSE LIVE WITH YOU? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. RIGHT NOW MY NEIGHBOR IS 3 WATCHING MY THREE YEAR OLD. THE COURT: AND DOES YOUR CHILD GO TO DAYCARE? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE'S TAKING ONLY TWO DAYS AND FOR THREE HOURS, SO IT IS VERY PART TIME THAT I HAVE TO DROP HIM 6 7 OFF AND PICK HIM UP. THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU KNOW YOUR HUSBAND'S 8 9 SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT WEEK? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE WILL COME, I THINK, NEXT 10 11 THURSDAY. I MEAN, I KNOW HE IS COMING BACK NEXT THURSDAY. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. AND DID YOU HAVE ANY OPINIONS 13 ON -- IT LOOKS LIKE YOU DON'T HAVE ANY OPINIONS ON WHETHER 14 THERE ARE TOO MANY LAWSUITS OR DAMAGES ARE TOO HIGH, ANYTHING 15 LIKE THAT? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL -- YES, NO OPINION. NO 17 EXPERIENCE. THE COURT: AND NO FAMILY IN THE AREA? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 20 21 MR. GOEL? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: DID I SAY THAT RIGHT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S RIGHT. 24 25 THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE YOUR JD.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
2	THE COURT: HERE IS YOUR CHANCE TO BE A JUROR.
3	ARE YOU PRACTICING LAW OR YOU ARE DOING BUSINESS STRATEGY
4	WORK?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WORK FOR A STARTUP AT THE
6	MOMENT. I WAS AN ATTORNEY LITIGATING FOR FIVE YEARS.
7	THE COURT: WHEN DID YOU GET YOUR JD?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2010.
9	THE COURT: AND SO YOU WENT IN-HOUSE AFTER BEING AT
10	KIRKLAND AND DAVIS, RIGHT?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, MA'AM.
12	THE COURT: AND WERE YOU LITIGATING WHEN YOU WERE AT
13	THE FIRMS?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, MA'AM.
15	THE COURT: AND NOW YOU'RE DOING WHAT? YOU DIDN'T
16	NOTE THAT YOU ARE A GC.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT. I HEAD UP BUSINESS
18	DEVELOPMENT. I DO SOME MOST OF THE LEGAL WORK FOR THE
19	COMPANY AS WELL BUT NOT IN A FORMAL TITLE CAPACITY.
20	THE WITNESS: OF A GENERAL COUNSEL.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT IS 20-PERSON COMPANY, SO WE
22	WEAR A LOT OF HATS.
23	THE COURT: YES.
24	OKAY. DO YOU HAVE YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT TOO MANY
25	LAWSUITS, GIVEN THAT YOU WERE LITIGATING THOSE LAWSUITS? WHAT

1	ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT TOPIC?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY PRACTICE IS PRIMARILY
3	HIGH-TECH PATENT LITIGATION DEFENSE. SO I THINK IT'S
4	REASONABLE THAT I WOULD THINK THERE ARE A LOT OF LAWSUITS
5	AGAINST MY CLIENTS AND FORMER CLIENTS
6	THE COURT: I WOULD AGREE.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND THE DAMAGES AGAINST THEM
8	THAT WERE AWARDED WERE TOO HIGH.
9	THE COURT: ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SITTING ON THIS CASE?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ABSOLUTELY NOT. I WOULD MERELY
11	ASK THAT I'M SCHEDULED TO GO TO SMALL CLAIMS COURT NEXT
12	FRIDAY, IF YOU COULD PROVIDE LEAVE TO HAVE THAT PUSHED BACK
13	SOMEHOW.
14	THE COURT: I THINK WE WILL PROBABLY BE DONE BY THEN.
15	THANK YOU, SIR.
16	MS. GUIDI? HOW DO I SAY IT?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GUIDI. GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
19	OKAY. SO DID YOU GET YOUR DEGREE FROM WELL, I GUESS
20	YOU DID. YOU RECEIVED A BUSINESS FINANCE DEGREE FROM BOSTON
21	UNIVERSITY?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S RIGHT.
23	THE COURT: AND YOU SAY YOU ARE AN INDEPENDENT
24	CONTRACTOR. CAN YOU TELL ME MORE ABOUT WHAT YOU DO?
2.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SHRE. I WORK FOR CISCO AS AN

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR. IT'S -- WITH INTERNET SECURITY. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I NORMALLY WORK FROM HOME AND I'M -- RIGHT NOW I HAVE TWO KIDS IN COLLEGE, ONE OF THEM GOING 4 5 TO JUNIOR COLLEGE AND I'M TRYING TO KEEP CLOSE TABS ON HIM AND MAKING SURE THAT HE IS ACTUALLY GOING TO CLASS. SO THAT IS 6 7 ONE OF MY CONCERNS WITH BEING HERE. 8 THE OTHER THING IS THAT, IF I'M NOT WORKING, I'M NOT 9 GETTING PAID. BUT I DO FEEL THAT I HAVE -- I RESPECT MY RIGHT TO PERFORM MY CIVIC DUTY AS A JUROR BUT I'M A LITTLE WORRIED 10 11 ABOUT IT. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. 13 WELL, I APPRECIATE UNDERSTANDING YOUR PERSPECTIVE AND I 14 THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE PARTIES TO AS WELL. IT SAYS YOU 15 WERE A JUROR IN A STATE COURT CRIMINAL CASE? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (NODS HEAD.) 17 THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT THAT ONE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ACTUALLY I WASN'T. I WAS ALMOST 18 SELECTED AND THEN I WASN'T. THEN I REALIZED THAT DIDN'T 19 20 COUNT. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. NOW, YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH 22 DEBT COLLECTORS TO THE EXTENT -- OR FAMILY, CAN YOU TELL ME 23 ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. I HAD A CLAIM AGAINST ME 24 25 ONCE. THEY HAD MY ADDRESS WRONG, SO I WASN'T GETTING THE

BILLS. AND THEN SO WHEN IT WENT TO DEBT COLLECTION, THEY 1 2 CONTACTED ME. MIRACULOUSLY, THEY WERE ABLE TO FIND MY 3 ADDRESS. AND THEN I JUST PAID THE BILL AND IT WAS DONE. THE COURT: ANYTHING ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE THAT MIGHT 4 5 LEAD YOU TO HARBOR ANY ILL FEELINGS AGAINST THE DEFENDANT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE ONLY THING THAT I WAS UPSET 6 7 ABOUT, ACTUALLY, WAS THAT I DIDN'T FEEL LIKE ANY OF THIS --8 ANY OF WHAT HAPPENED WAS ANYTHING THAT I HAD DONE ON MY PART. 9 I FELT LIKE IT WAS INFORMATION THAT WAS TAKEN DOWN WRONG AND SO I NEVER RECEIVED THE INFORMATION. AND I TRIED TO GET IT 10 11 CLEARED AND I COULDN'T GET IT CLEARED. THEY SAID TOO BAD. SO 12 I WAS A LITTLE RESENTFUL ABOUT THAT BECAUSE NOW I HAVE THIS ON 13 MY RECORD. OTHERWISE I HAVE A PERFECT RECORD, SO.... 14 THE COURT: IS THAT WITH THE CREDIT AGENCIES THAT YOU 15 COULDN'T GET IT CLEARED AS OPPOSED TO THE DEBT COLLECTORS --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS -- WELL, IT WAS THE DEBT 17 COLLECTOR. THE COURT: OKAY. WAS IT THIS PARTICULAR COMPANY, 18 19 RASH CURTIS? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, IT WAS NOT. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU THINK YOU CAN BE FAIR TO 22 THEM, GIVEN THAT IT IS NOT THE COMPANY THAT TREATED YOU NOT 23 IDEALLY? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T GROUP THEM ALL TOGETHER. 25 I THINK EVERYBODY IS INDEPENDENT AND, YOU KNOW, EVERY

SITUATION. 1 2 THE COURT: YOU WERE INVOLVED IN A LAWSUIT. CAN YOU 3 TELL ME A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. THAT WAS A REALLY LONG 4 5 TIME AGO. I JUST -- I WENT TO A RESTAURANT AND IMMEDIATELY 6 CAME DOWN WITH SALMONELLA. AND, AT THE TIME, I WAS REALLY 7 YOUNG, JUST GRADUATED FROM COLLEGE, JUST STARTED A NEW JOB AND 8 I COULDN'T WORK. AND I WAS ALMOST AT THE POINT WHERE THEY 9 WEREN'T GOING TO KEEP ME HIRED ON ANYMORE BECAUSE I HAD JUST STARTED AND I THOUGHT I WAS GOING TO DIE. 10 11 AND SO I JUST SUED THEM TO COMPENSATE MY LOSS OF INCOME 12 BECAUSE I WASN'T GETTING PAID ANY SICK TIME AND THAT WAS IT. 13 THE COURT: DID IT RESOLVE IN A WAY THAT WAS 14 SATISFACTORY? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. YES. 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. 17 SIR, IF YOU CAN TELL ME HOW TO PRONOUNCE YOUR NAME. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CHRISTIAN HINDERLIE. GOOD 19 MORNING. THE COURT: HINDERLIE. 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HINDERLIE. 22 THE COURT: WHERE DID YOU GO TO HIGH SCHOOL? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IRVINGTON HIGH SCHOOL IN FREMONT. 24 THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE BEEN LOCAL HERE FOR A WHILE? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.

THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT THE LAWSUIT THAT 1 2 YOU WERE INVOLVED IN WITH RESPECT TO YOUR BACK INJURY? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE. I SUSTAINED A BACK INJURY WHILE AT WORK. WENT HOME, SAW THE DOCTOR, AND IT WAS 4 5 DETERMINED IT WAS PART OF, YOU KNOW, WORKERS COMPENSATION. AND SO WHAT HAPPENED WAS I HAD MADE AN APPOINTMENT WITH THE 6 7 DOCTOR AND THE COMPANY THAT I WORKED FOR GOT INVOLVED AND 8 CANCELED THAT APPOINTMENT. SO, BASICALLY, MY HANDS WERE TIED. 9 I WAS LAID UP COMPLETELY, BASICALLY CRIPPLED, COULDN'T WALK, 10 COULDN'T SIT, AND SO IT WAS SOMETHING, UNFORTUNATELY, I HAD TO 11 DO. 12 THE COURT: SO -- IS IT -- IT IS STILL THE SAME 13 COMPANY YOU ARE WORKING FOR? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT. 15 THE COURT: DID IT RESOLVE ITSELF? HOW LONG AGO WAS 16 IT? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. THANKFULLY. BODY IS AMAZING, HEALS ITSELF, AND I WAS ABLE TO CONTINUE ON AND WORK 18 19 FOR THAT COMPANY. 20 THE COURT: WHEN DID THIS HAPPEN? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS IN 2015. 22 THE COURT: DID YOU ACTUALLY HAVE TO FILE SUIT? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WERE ABLE TO, YOU KNOW, SETTLE 24 OUT OF COURT. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS

1	ABOUT SITTING ON THE JURY?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO CONCERNS.
3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU, MR. HINDERLIE.
4	OKAY.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HUIZINGH.
6	THE COURT: HUIZINGH. GOOD MORNING, SIR.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
8	THE WITNESS: SO, WHY DOES SOMEONE COME TO CALIFORNIA
9	FROM HAWAII? HAWAII SEEMS PRETTY GOOD.
_0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I KEEP ASKING MYSELF THAT EVERY
L1	DAY.
2	THE COURT: SO YOU CAME TO CALIFORNIA ABOUT NINE
L3	YEARS AGO?
L 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, THAT'S CORRECT.
L5	THE COURT: AND YOU'RE IN TERMS OF BEING AN
_6	ACCOUNTANT, I GUESS WE ARE LUCKY BECAUSE IT IS PAST
.7	APRIL 15TH.
L 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I HAD TO GET A POSTPONEMENT
L 9	FOR THIS ONE.
20	THE COURT: ARE YOU IN YOUR OWN COMPANY? I MEAN,
21	YOU'RE SELF-EMPLOYED?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT IS CORRECT.
23	THE COURT: LET'S SEE. CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT YOUR
24	EXPERIENCE WITH DEBT COLLECTORS?
> 5	PROSPECTIVE TUROR: THIST MAINLY HUPAID BILLS YEAH

JUST RECENTLY HAD ONE, SO IT IS KIND OF FRESH FOR ME. 1 2 THE COURT: ANY INTERACTION WITH THE DEFENDANT IN 3 THIS CASE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT -- I'M NOT SURE. I DID WORK 4 5 WITH DEBT COLLECTORS ON IT BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF 6 THEM. 7 THE COURT: OKAY. IN TERMS OF THAT INTERACTION WITH 8 THE DEBT COLLECTORS, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE 9 THAT WOULD LEAD YOU TO NOT TREAT THE DEFENDANT HERE FAIRLY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO. THEY WERE 10 11 JUST -- I WASN'T A BIG FAN OF THE WHOLE PROCESS BUT I DON'T 12 THINK THAT WOULD AFFECT MY JUDGMENT HERE. 13 THE COURT: DID THE PEOPLE WHO WERE CALLING YOU --14 WELL, YOU ACKNOWLEDGED YOU HAD DEBT. IT WASN'T -- THESE 15 WEREN'T PHONE CALLS THAT WERE JUST OUT OF THE BLUE, RANDOM 16 PHONE CALLS, I TAKE IT? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY WERE RANDOM FOR ME, JUST BECAUSE I DIDN'T GET THE BILL AND THEY SENT IT TO ME ABOUT A 18 19 YEAR LATER AND THEN I WAS ALREADY IN COLLECTIONS AT THAT TIME. 20 THE COURT: I SEE. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. 22 THE COURT: AND WERE YOU ABLE TO RESOLVE IT? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I RESOLVED IT WITH -- IT WAS WITH A HOSPITAL, MEDICAL BILLS. SO I JUST RESOLVED IT WITH THEM 24 25 BECAUSE I COULDN'T GET IT FIGURED OUT WITH THE DEBT

1	COLLECTORS.
2	THE COURT: AND WHICH HOSPITAL WAS IT?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SAINT JOSEPH.
4	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, YOU SAY THAT YOU BELIEVE
5	THERE ARE TOO MANY LAWSUITS. WHERE DOES THAT BELIEF COME
6	FROM?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST BELIEVE IN DOING THE RIGHT
8	THING. IF YOU DO THE RIGHT THING, YOU PROBABLY WON'T SEE
9	THESE LAWSUITS.
10	THE COURT: ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SERVING ON THE JURY?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOME OF THE NAMES BECAUSE I
12	PREPARE TAX RETURNS FOR A FIRM IN SAN RAFAEL. SOME OF THE
13	NAMES LOOK FAMILIAR BUT I DON'T KNOW ANY OF THEM, I DON'T
14	BELIEVE. BUT WE DEAL WITH A LOT OF INDIVIDUAL RETURNS SO SOME
15	OF THOSE LAST NAMES LOOK KIND OF FAMILIAR.
16	THE COURT: PRIMARILY PEOPLE FROM SAN RAFAEL.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THEY ARE MAINLY FROM THE
18	CITY, OAKLAND, SAN FRANCISCO.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
20	MS. JEW? MUCH EASIER NAME THAN SOME OF THE NAMES.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S TRUE.
22	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
24	THE COURT: NOW, I SAW YOUR NOTE HERE ABOUT NEEDING
25	TO RE OUT OF TOWN ON THE 18TH WE WILL DEFINITELY BE DONE BY

THEN, SO THAT IS NOT A CONCERN. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY CONCERN WAS THE FRIDAY --3 THE COURT: WE SHOULD BE DONE. WE SHOULD BE DONE. OKAY. YOU'VE NEVER SERVED ON A JURY, SO THIS IS YOUR 4 5 OPPORTUNITY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT. 6 7 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS GIVEN THE TOPICS 8 WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT ABOUT SERVING ON THIS JURY? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THE COURT: NO? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HUH-UH. THE COURT: ANYTHING TO -- ANYTHING THAT YOU THINK 12 13 THE PARTIES MIGHT WANT TO KNOW ABOUT YOU IN TERMS OF YOUR 14 ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I'VE HAD TO DELIBERATE SIX 16 CHILDREN THAT I RAISED. 17 THE COURT: WELL, I TELL YOU, BEING A PARENT IS AN 18 IMPORTANT SKILL. I TAKE IT -- YOU KNOW, BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE 19 GOING TO DISAGREE ABOUT FACTS. AND I'M SURE -- HAVE YOU EVER HAD A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE YOU HAD ONE CHILD SAY ONE THING AND 20 21 THE OTHER CHILD SAY THE OTHER THING, RIGHT? 22 LIKE MOST OF US YOU HAVE TO WAIT TO GET ALL THE 23 INFORMATION BEFORE MAKING A DECISION. DO YOU WORK WELL IN 24 GROUPS? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I BELIEVE SO.

THE COURT: AND AS A REGISTERED NURSE, DID YOU HAVE 1 2 TO WORK IN TEAMS? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LONG -- YES, WHEN I DID. THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. TERRIFIC. WE ARE 4 5 GOING TO PASS IT ALL THE WAY OVER HERE, BACK TO MR. NICHOLAS. 6 GOOD MORNING, SIR. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. THE COURT: SO WHAT KIND OF ENGINEERING WORK DO YOU 8 9 DO FOR E & M? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION, TECH 10 11 SUPPORT. 12 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, INDUSTRIAL 13 AUTOMATION? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ANYTHING THAT MOVES IN 15 MANUFACTURING OR WATER TREATMENT, FOOD PROCESSING. IT'S ALL 16 CONTROLLED BY CONTROL SYSTEMS, AND WE SELL THE HARDWARE AND 17 SOFTWARE THAT RUNS THOSE. THE COURT: OKAY. AND -- DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS 18 19 ABOUT SERVING ON THE JURY? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I DON'T. 21 THE COURT: IT LOOKS LIKE YOU MANAGE INDIVIDUALS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A FEW, YEAH. 22 23 THE COURT: SO, AS AN ENGINEER, SOMETIMES ENGINEERS 24 ARE VERY ISOLATED IN THEIR WORK VERSUS WORKING IN GROUPS. YOU 25 KNOW, KIND OF GOT BOTH TYPES. WHAT TYPE ARE YOU?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN DO BOTH. RIGHT NOW, ME AND
2	MY TEAM, WE WORK INDIVIDUALLY BUT WE'RE WORKING WITH CUSTOMERS
3	OR GROUPS OF CUSTOMERS ALL THE TIME.
4	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I TAKE IT YOU MUST BE PRETTY
5	DETAIL-ORIENTED IF YOU ARE AN ENGINEER?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FAIRLY.
7	THE COURT: I HAVE A SON WHO IS AN AERONAUTICAL
8	ENGINEER AND HE IS VERY DETAIL-ORIENTED.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HOPE SO.
10	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. NICHOLAS, ANY CONCERNS?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NONE.
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
14	THE COURT: MS. ONG, GOOD MORNING.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
16	THE COURT: SO DID YOU TAKE CLASSES AT STANFORD OR
17	DID YOU GET A DEGREE FROM STANFORD? WHERE DID THE STANFORD
18	LINGUISTICS PIECE, HOW DID THAT WORK?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I GOT A DEGREE AT STANFORD IN
20	LINGUISTICS, A BACHELOR'S.
21	THE COURT: OH, YOU DID GET A BACHELOR'S. YOU DIDN'T
22	WRITE THAT DOWN.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SORRY.
24	THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. WHAT IS YOUR ROLE AS A
25	PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE TECHNOLOGY COMPANY HERE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MERCENARY TECHNOLOGY IS A SMALL 1 2 FIRM THAT SPECIALIZES IN ENGINEERING FOR VIDEO GAMES. SO AS A 3 PROJECT MANAGER, I'M PRETTY MUCH IN CHARGE OF, YOU KNOW, WHATEVER IT TAKES. SO MANAGING QA TESTERS, SCHEDULING, 4 5 COMMUNICATING WITH THE CLIENTS, DOCUMENTATION. 6 THE COURT: SO HOW DOES ONE GET FROM LINGUISTICS TO 7 VIDEO GAMES? I MEAN, IT IS FASCINATING. HOW DID YOU DO THAT? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, WHEN I GRADUATED IN 9 LINGUISTICS, THERE WERE ONLY THREE REAL AVENUES, OBVIOUSLY, AT THE TIME. THERE WAS LIKE THE CIA, THE FOREIGN SERVICE -- YOU 10 11 KNOW, THE STATE DEPARTMENT, AND LIKE A TRANSLATOR. BUT I'VE 12 ALWAYS BEEN FASCINATED BY GAMES AND BY COMPUTERS, SO I MANAGED 13 TO LUCK INTO THE INDUSTRY, SO.... 14 THE COURT: THAT'S GREAT. IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAD TO 15 GIVE A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL CASE. CAN YOU TELL ME ABOUT 16 THAT? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS A SMALL STARTUP COMPANY 18 AND, ESSENTIALLY, WAS ABOUT CONTROL OF THE COMPANY. THERE WERE THREE CO-FOUNDERS AND, ESSENTIALLY, TWO OF THEM WERE 19 TRYING TO OUST THE THIRD. 20 21 THE COURT: PATENT CASE, IP CASE? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. JUST, YOU KNOW, CONTRACTUAL. 23 THE COURT: OKAY. DID YOU EVER HAVE TO TESTIFY IN 24 COURT? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.

1	THE COURT: DID THEY VIDEOTAPE YOUR DEPOSITION?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
3	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SERVING?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NONE THAT I CAN THINK OF.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MS. ONG.
6	MS. RAFELLO?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
8	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
10	THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF EVENT MANAGING DO YOU DO?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I PLAN EVENTS FOR CORPORATIONS.
12	THE COURT: CAN YOU GIVE ME A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I PLAN EVENTS FOR GOOGLE,
14	BUSINESS-RELATED EVENTS.
15	THE COURT: SO YOU PLAN THE DINNER OR THE CONFERENCE?
16	WHAT IS IT YOU ARE PLANNING?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ALL OF THE ABOVE.
18	THE COURT: AND IT LOOKS LIKE YOU'VE BEEN DOING THIS
19	NOW AT A MINIMUM FOR FOUR YEARS OR SO?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
21	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT
22	SERVING ON THE JURY?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST THAT I HAVE AN EVENT ON
24	MONDAY AFTERNOON BUT I THINK, IF WE'RE OUT BY 1:30, I CAN MAKE
25	IT.

THE COURT: OKAY. 1 2 THIS COMING MONDAY? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. THE COURT: THAT'S A YES? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHAT WAS THAT? THE COURT: IS THAT A YES? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SORRY. YES. THIS COMING MONDAY 8 AND TUESDAY I HAVE AN EVENT -- TWO EVENTS IN THE AFTERNOON. 9 THE COURT: WHAT TIME DO THEY START? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY START AT FIVE BUT I SHOULD 10 11 BE THERE BY THREE FOR SETUP. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN SAN FRANCISCO AS WELL. 14 THE COURT: IN SAN FRANCISCO? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 16 THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S HELPFUL TO KNOW. 17 GIVEN THE TOPICS WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING, DO YOU THINK THE PARTIES WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT YOU IN TERMS OF YOUR 18 19 ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I WOULD SAY I'M PRETTY EASY 20 21 GOING, UNBIASED OPINION HERE. THE COURT: IF YOU ARE DOING EVENTS, YOU MUST LIKE TO 22 23 WORK WITH PEOPLE? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, LOVE WORKING WITH PEOPLE AND 25 GROUPS.

THE COURT: GOOD. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU. 3 THE COURT: MR. ROUSSEU? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. 4 5 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. DID I SAY THAT RIGHT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEP. 6 7 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 8 SO WHEN DID YOU GET YOUR BUSINESS DEGREE, SIR? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS WHILE WORKING, I'D SAY, 2002. 10 11 THE COURT: AS A SALES ENGINEER, FOR DIGITAL REALTY, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I SUPPORT OUR SALES ON MAKING 14 SURE IT'S A TECHNICALLY SOUND SOLUTION FOR OUR CUSTOMERS. 15 THE COURT: CAN YOU GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: DIGITAL REALTY IS A DATA CENTER 17 PROVIDER. SO IN DATA CENTERS, YOU NEED TO PROPERLY ACCOUNT 18 FOR POWER COOLING AND SECURITY FOR -- TO MAKE THEIR 19 ENVIRONMENT SECURE. THE COURT: OKAY. SO IT IS NOT A REAL ESTATE 20 21 COMPANY, IT IS A DATA COMPANY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S A LITTLE BIT OF BOTH. 22 23 THE COURT: IN WHAT WAY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE'S MASSIVE LAND ACQUISITIONS 24 25 TO BE HAD. SO IT STARTS OFF AS DIRT AND, AT THE END RESULT,

YOU WILL HAVE AN OPERATING DATA CENTER. 1 2 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THE CLIENTELE? CAN 3 YOU GENERALIZE SO WE HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THINK OF YOUR TOP SOCIAL MEDIA 4 5 PROVIDERS, TOP SOFTWARE PROVIDERS. SO FACEBOOK, GOOGLE, 6 TWITTER, MICROSOFT ORACLE, AND THE LIKE. 7 THE COURT: AND YOU -- SO TAKE ANYONE OF THOSE, YOU 8 SUPPORT THEM AND GIVE -- YOU DO WHAT? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO WE HAVE TERRITORIES BROKEN UP AND SO I SUPPORT THE WEST. SO WE HAVE ACCOUNTS, BUILDINGS, 10 11 WHICH ARE TECHNICALLY DATA CENTERS AND SALES REPS THAT I AM 12 RESPONSIBLE FOR. 13 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF DATA ARE THEY MANAGING? ALL 14 KINDS OF DATA OR IS THERE A PARTICULAR --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MORE OR LESS, THEY MAY HAVE LIKE 16 A CERTAIN DATA CENTER REQUIREMENT. IT IS IMPORTANT TO 17 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE REQUIREMENT IS AND BUILD TO THAT, FINDING 18 THE RIGHT LOCATION AND CONNECTIVITY AND POWER REQUIREMENTS. 19 THE COURT: I SEE. SO YOU ARE FINDING THEM DATA 20 CENTER PLACES? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN CERTAIN -- WITH CERTAIN 21 22 COMPANIES, THEY NEED LIKE A BIG LAND GRAB, OTHERS MAY HAVE A 23 SMALLER APPLICATION AND THROUGHOUT OUR PORTFOLIO WE TRY TO 24 FIND THE RIGHT FIT. 25 THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.

1	ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SERVING?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST THAT I'M A SINGLE FATHER AND
3	THE SCHEDULING IN THE MORNING FROM SAN RAMON TO HERE IS
4	CHALLENGING.
5	THE COURT: AND HOW DID YOU GET YOUR KIDS TO SCHOOL
6	TODAY?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THIS WAS NOT A DAY THAT FELL ON
8	MY DAY. SO I'LL HAVE WEDNESDAY THROUGH FRIDAY OR ACTUALLY
9	WEDNESDAY THROUGH SUNDAY, AND MONDAY MORNING IS MY DAYS. SO
10	THIS IS THE ONE WEEKEND THAT IS NOT A WEEKEND, SO IT DOESN'T
11	FALL ON A MONDAY MORNING FOR ME. IT IS EVERY OTHER MONDAY
12	MORNING.
13	THE COURT: DO YOUR KIDS ACTUALLY WHY STAY WITH YOU?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
15	THE COURT: SO YOUR EX-WIFE, I TAKE IT YOU GUYS MUST
16	LIVE RELATIVELY CLOSE IF THE KIDS ARE GOING TO THE SAME
17	SCHOOL?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
19	THE COURT: IS IT THEORETICALLY POSSIBLE THAT SHE CAN
20	HELP YOU GET THEM TO SCHOOL?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE, CONSIDERING THE
22	TIMELINES YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, YES.
23	THE COURT: GREAT. OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.
24	MS. VELEZ, GOOD MORNING.
2.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.

THE COURT: SO YOU DO HAVE YOUR JD? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO. 3 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER WORKED AS A LAWYER? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DID NOT. JUST SOME IN-HOUSE 4 5 STUFF FOR MY DAD'S COMPANY. THE COURT: AND WHAT WAS THAT? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE WAS DOING HAZARDOUS WASTE. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. YOU GOT THROUGH ALL THAT LAW 9 SCHOOL AND THEN DECIDED YOU DIDN'T WANT TO BE A LAWYER? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: EXACTLY. 10 11 THE COURT: SO, NOW YOU ARE WORKING IN THE CHILDCARE 12 INDUSTRY, I GUESS; IS THAT RIGHT? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO. I WORK FOR A 14 INTERGENERATIONAL MONTESSORI PROGRAM. I'M THE SITE DIRECTOR. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. AND IN TERMS OF NEEDING BREAKS, 16 DID YOU SEE THE TIMING THAT I PUT UP? SO WE GO -- WE HAVE 17 15-MINUTE BREAKS AFTER AN HOUR AND A HALF. DOES THAT WORK FOR 18 YOU? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S FINE. 20 THE COURT: THE CHILD CUSTODY CASE THAT YOU WERE --WHERE YOU TESTIFIED, CAN YOU SHARE ANY OF THOSE DETAILS WITH 21 22 US? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS A STUDENT AT OUR SCHOOL 24 AND DAD MISREPRESENTED SOMETHING THAT I HAD SAID, SO MOM 25 CALLED ME BACK AS A REBUTTAL WITNESS.

1	THE COURT: THAT WAS OBVIOUSLY STATE COURT?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
3	THE COURT: AND YOU'VE SERVED ON A JURY IN A CRIMINAL
4	CASE?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. IT WAS A CHILD MOLESTATION
6	CASE.
7	THE COURT: IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU DIDN'T DELIBERATE.
8	YOU WERE AN ALTERNATE?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS AN ALTERNATE.
10	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SERVING?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12	THE COURT: AND YOU ARE IN THE CAMP OF THERE ARE TOO
13	MANY LAWSUITS?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM.
15	THE COURT: DO YOU HOLD IT AGAINST THE PLAINTIFFS IN
16	THIS PARTICULAR CASE FOR HAVING BROUGHT A LAWSUIT?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
18	THE COURT: YOU CAN BE FAIR TO THEM?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE SO.
20	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
21	MR. WHITE, GOOD MORNING.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: SEE, THERE IS AN AEROSPACE ENGINEER. SO,
24	YOU ARE DETAIL-ORIENTED, AREN'T YOU?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT: AND WHAT DO YOU DO FOR INFINERA? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: INFINERA. 3 THE COURT: INFINERA. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ANOTHER MADE-UP WORD, OF COURSE. 4 5 YES. I AM NOT IN THE BUSINESS OF AEROSPACE ENGINEERING ANY 6 LONGER. WE ARE A TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING EQUIPMENT 7 MANUFACTURER. AND MY SOMEWHAT CRYPTIC JOB TITLE THERE WOULD 8 BE BEST DESCRIBED AS SALES ENGINEER. 9 THE COURT: WHAT DOES A SALES ENGINEER DO? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY JOB IS TO WORK WITH OUR 10 11 CUSTOMERS AND POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS TO DESIGN SYSTEMS TO MEET 12 THEIR REQUIREMENTS AND, HOPEFULLY, THAT THEY WOULD PURCHASE. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE -- GIVEN WHAT I'VE SAID 14 ABOUT SCHEDULING, I DON'T THINK WE HAVE AN ISSUE; IS THAT 15 RIGHT? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. YOU CAN IGNORE MY LENGTHY 17 WORDS THERE. THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS 18 19 ABOUT SERVING? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I DON'T. 20 21 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. MR. WHITE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU. 22 23 THE COURT: MR. WILSON? GOOD MORNING. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. 24 25 THE COURT: SEE YOU'RE THE TEXAN.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM.
2	THE COURT: WHERE DID YOU GROW UP?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: DALLAS, TEXAS.
4	THE COURT: I GREW UP IN SAN ANTONIO.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HOW NICE.
6	THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL ME SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR JOB
7	AS A PRODUCT MANAGER?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I MANAGE OUR PRODUCT.
9	THE COURT: WHICH IS WHAT?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S WE DO A SPECIALTY BANKING
11	AND PAYMENT SERVICE THAT SERVICES THE DEBT SETTLEMENT
12	INDUSTRY. AND WE ALSO WE'RE A BIT OF A CUSTODIAN FOR
13	CONSUMERS THAT ARE SAVING MONEY IN ORDER TO WORK OUT DEBT WITH
14	THEIR CREDITORS.
15	THE COURT: IN TERMS OF THIS PARTICULAR AGENCY, HAVE
16	YOU EVER HAD ANY INTERACTIONS WITH THEM?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WORK WITH SO MANY. I DIDN'T
18	IDENTIFY THE NAME WHEN I SAW THE CASE.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY OR HAVE YOU HAD
20	ANY OCCASION TO UNDERSTAND THE TECHNOLOGY THAT IS USED
21	SOMETIMES, THE TELEPHONE PHONE SYSTEMS, DO YOU HAVE ANY KIND
22	OF SPECIAL UNDERSTANDING OR BACKGROUND IN THAT AREA?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULDN'T SAY SPECIAL. I HAVE A
24	GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THOSE SYSTEMS WORK.
25	THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY USE -- THEY SOMEWHAT NEED TO 1 2 IDENTIFY OR TRY TO FIGURE OUT WHERE THE DEBTOR -- OR HOW TO 3 CONTACT THE DEBTOR. THE SYSTEMS THAT THEY USE TYPICALLY PULL OR GATHER INFORMATION FROM VARIOUS SOURCES IN ORDER TO FIGURE 4 5 OUT, OKAY, WHAT IS THE PERSON'S CURRENT TELEPHONE NUMBER, 6 ADDRESS. AND I KNOW IT'S A BIG INDUSTRY, SO THERE ARE SEVERAL 7 VENDORS OF VARYING QUALITY THAT PROVIDE THAT SOFTWARE TO DEBT 8 BUYERS, COLLECTION AGENCIES. 9 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF THE TERM OF SKIP-TRACING? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 12 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT TERM? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT HELPS PEOPLE -- WHEN YOU SAY 14 DEBT COLLECTORS, IT IS A BIGGER INDUSTRY. SO THERE ARE DEBT 15 BUYERS AND WITH ORIGINAL ISSUERS. I THINK IT'S TYPICALLY DEBT 16 COLLECTION AGENCIES THAT USE THAT SOFTWARE. THERE IS NO 17 NEGATIVE. IT SEEMS LIKE USE OF THAT SOFTWARE HAS A NEGATIVE 18 CONNOTATION FROM WHAT I'M HEARING. I'VE NEVER HEARD A 19 NEGATIVE CONNOTATION AROUND IT. 20 THE COURT: ALL I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IS WHETHER 21 OR NOT, GIVEN YOUR JOB, WHETHER YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR 22 UNDERSTANDING OF THESE TERMS SO THAT THE PARTIES KNOW THAT A 23 POTENTIAL JUROR HAS AN UNDERSTANDING. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GENERAL UNDERSTANDING. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, YOU'VE BEEN IN A JURY IN A

CRIMINAL CASE IN FEDERAL COURT. REALLY? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ACTUALLY, IT WAS SAN MATEO COUNTY COURT. I APOLOGIZE. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. SO STATE COURT. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: STATE COURT. THE COURT: I WAS SURPRISED BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WE 6 7 HAVE SO FEW TRIALS RELATIVE TO THE COUNTIES, IT IS ALWAYS 8 INTERESTING IF I SEE SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN IN FEDERAL COURT. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CHECKED THE WRONG BOX. I 10 APOLOGIZE. 11 THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. WAS THERE A VERDICT IN THAT 12 CASE? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE WAS NOT. 14 THE COURT: THE JURY HUNG? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE JURY HUNG. 16 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF A CASE WAS IT? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY 18 WEAPON INVOLVING A MINOR. 19 THE COURT: WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS NOT. 21 THE COURT: AND WHAT WAS THE DEADLY WEAPON? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HARD TO DESCRIBE BUT CHAIN-LINK 23 FENCES. IN ORDER FOR WIND TO NOT DISTURB THE LAWN BEYOND IT, THERE'S THESE VERY THIN WOODEN SLATS THAT KEEP LEAVES FROM 24 25 BLOWING THROUGH. I THINK IT'S BALSA WOOD. THAT WAS THE

1	DEADLY WEAPON.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY CONCERNS ABOUT SERVING OR
3	BEING FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M A BIT SYMPATHETIC TO THE DEBT
5	COLLECTION INDUSTRY, IN THE INTEREST OF FULL DISCLOSURE.
6	THE COURT: NOW, THIS IS REALLY A CASE ABOUT
7	TELEPHONE CALLS AND WHETHER TELEPHONE CALLS WERE MADE WITH
8	CONSENT. IS THE PLAINTIFF AT A DISADVANTAGE WITH YOU, GIVEN
9	THE NATURE OF THEIR CASE?
-0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD HAVE TO SAY NO, THEY ARE
1	NOT AT A DISADVANTAGE.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD ENOUGH, MR. WILSON.
13	THANK YOU.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
.5	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MS. WOO.
_6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
_7	THE COURT: MS. WOO, DO YOU LIVE IN OAKLAND OR
. 8	ORINDA?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LIVE IN OAKLAND.
20	THE COURT: OAKLAND. OKAY. I COULDN'T QUITE TELL.
21	AND IN TERMS OF YOUR JOB, IS IT ARE YOU PROVIDING
22	DIRECT SERVICES OR ARE YOU MORE OF A MANAGER AT THIS POINT?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M A MANAGER OF PEOPLE WHO
24	PROVIDE DIRECT SERVICES.
2.5	THE COURT: OKAY, HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN IN THE

MANAGERIAL POSITION? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE CURRENT ONE, FOUR YEARS BUT 3 PRIOR TO THAT I HAVE BEEN A MANAGER FOR THE STATE 16 YEARS. THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOUR TEAMS HAVE RANGED UP TO A 4 5 HUNDRED? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. 6 7 THE COURT: THAT IS A YES? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 9 THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL US ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE OR WHAT YOU KNOW ABOUT YOUR BROTHER'S EXPERIENCE WITH THE DEBT 10 11 COLLECTOR AND HOW THAT MIGHT IMPACT YOUR ABILITY TO SERVE? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY BROTHER HAS A BRAIN INJURY AND 13 WAS HAVING PROBLEMS MANAGING HIS FINANCES, SO MY FATHER AND I 14 WERE ASSISTING HIM. AND I DON'T THINK HE FULLY UNDERSTOOD 15 WHAT HE WAS -- HE HAS ALWAYS HAD A HISTORY SINCE HIS HEAD 16 INJURY WITH MONEY MANAGEMENT. I STILL TO THIS DAY HELP HIM 17 WITH THAT. HE HAD TO FILE BANKRUPTCY BECAUSE HE WASN'T ABLE 18 TO KEEP UP WITH HIS CREDIT CARD PAYMENTS. THE COURT: DID YOU EVER ENACT -- ENGAGE YOURSELF ON 19 20 HIS BEHALF WITH THE -- WITH ANY DEBT COLLECTORS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT WITH THE DEBT COLLECTORS, NO. 21 THE COURT: DO YOU HARBOR ANY ILL FEELINGS AGAINST 22 23 THE DEFENDANT, GIVEN -- YOU KNOW, THAT PARTICULAR EVENT? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I THINK -- YOU KNOW, PEOPLE 25 DIDN'T UNDERSTAND -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW MUCH ABOUT

PEOPLE WITH HEAD INJURIES, BUT A LOT OF TIMES THEY DON'T KNOW 1 2 WHO TO ADVOCATE FOR THEMSELVES. THEY THINK THEY ARE OKAY, 3 THEY TRY TO PASS AS THEY'RE OKAY, BUT HE REALLY DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO ADVOCATE FOR HIMSELF AND DIDN'T KNOW ASK FOR HELP IN 4 5 THIS SITUATION. SO THEY DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE IMPACT OF HIS 6 COGNITIVE POSSIBILITIES. 7 THE COURT: YOU DIDN'T CIRCLE THAT YOU KNEW THE 8 DEFENDANT HERE. CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE DEFENDANT, DO YOU 9 THINK, IN THIS CASE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO IN MY JOB I WORK WITH PEOPLE 10 11 WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE UNEMPLOYED AND UNDEREMPLOYMENT 12 EMPLOYED. SO WE OFTEN HAVE TO ADVOCATE FOR THEM BECAUSE THEY 13 AREN'T ABLE TO PAY THEIR BILLS A LOT. SO, YOU KNOW, I'VE 14 SPENT MY WHOLE CAREER BEING AN ADVOCATE, SO.... 15 THE COURT: SO MY QUESTION IS --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT SURE TO ANSWER YOUR 17 QUESTION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THE 18 19 LEGAL SYSTEM FOCUSED ON THE CRIMINAL SIDE. ANY PARTICULAR 20 COMMENTS ABOUT THE CIVIL SIDE OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM THAT YOU 21 WOULD LIKE TO SHARE? OR THAT YOU CAN --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T HAVE REALLY ANY 22 23 EXPERIENCE WITH THAT. THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 24 25 IS IT XU OR HOW DO I PRONOUNCE IT?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY LAST NAME?
2	THE COURT: YES.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: XU.
4	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
6	THE COURT: YOU'RE AN ACCOUNTANT FOR WELLS FARGO.
7	WHERE DO YOU COMMUTE FOR PURPOSES OF WORKING WITH WELLS FARGO?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WORK IN THE CITY IN SAN
9	FRANCISCO.
10	THE COURT: OKAY. AND DO YOU JUST WORK GENERALLY AS
11	AN ACCOUNTANT OR IS THERE A PARTICULAR DEPARTMENT?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WORK FOR THE WHOLESALES, MAINLY
13	SUPPORT LIKE COMMERCIAL LOANS, BUSINESS LOANS.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. SO COMMERCIAL LOANS. AND YOU'VE
15	BEEN ON A JURY BEFORE?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH, YES.
17	THE COURT: WHICH COUNTY WAS THAT?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ALAMEDA COUNTY.
19	THE COURT: ALAMEDA?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
21	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THE CASE?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS A CRIMINAL CASE. SEXUAL
23	ASSAULT.
24	THE COURT: OKAY. WAS THERE A VERDICT?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE VERDICT? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VERDICT WAS GUILTY. 3 THE COURT: WERE YOU THE FOREPERSON? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. 4 5 THE COURT: AND WHEN DID YOU COME TO THE UNITED STATES FROM CHINA? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN 2002. THE COURT: 2002. NOW, YOU DIDN'T ANSWER THE 8 9 OUESTION ABOUT WHETHER YOU HAVE ANYONE WHO HAS WORKED IN COLLECTIONS OR HAD TO COLLECT DEBT AGAINST A THIRD PARTY. 10 11 WOULD THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION BE YES OR NO? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. 13 THE COURT: AND THEN IN TERMS OF TIMING, AGAIN, IT 14 LOOKS LIKE YOUR CONFLICTS ARE IN THE END OF MAY, JUNE. SO IS 15 THERE ANY CONCERN WITHIN THE NEXT WEEK AND A HALF? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LIKE, BECAUSE I'M UNDER SOME 17 MEDICAL TREATMENT, THE TREATMENT GOES NEXT THREE MONTHS FROM 18 END OF APRIL TO -- ALL THE WAY TO JULY. 19 THE COURT: WHEN IS YOUR NEXT APPOINTMENT? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NEXT WEEK. 21 THE COURT: WHEN? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK WEDNESDAY. 22 23 THE COURT: AT WHAT TIME? 24 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR:** 10:30. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OKAY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M GOING TO AT THIS POINT ALLOW THE ATTORNEYS TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS. I WANT TO LET YOU KNOW IN ADVANCE THAT THEY ARE ON TIME LIMITS. I GIVE THEM TIME LIMITS. AND BECAUSE OF THAT, IT IS PROBABLY LIKELY THAT ALL OF YOU WON'T GET ASKED OUESTIONS. THEY ARE REALLY GOING TO FOCUS IN ON PEOPLE WHO THEY WANT TO HEAR FROM. SO, I WOULD ASK YOU PLEASE NOT TO HOLD THAT AGAINST THEM. THEY WOULD REALLY LOVE TO KNOW LOTS ABOUT YOU. IF I GAVE THEM THE TIME, THEY WOULD TAKE IT. THEY DON'T HAVE IT, SO YOU CAN HOLD IT AGAINST ME IF YOU HAVE TO HOLD IT AGAINST SOMEONE. BUT I WILL ALLOW THEM SOME TIME TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS AND WE WILL BEGIN WITH YOU, MR. BURSOR. MR. BURSOR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. MR. BURSOR: MAY I START WITH MR. WILSON? CAN WE PASS --THE COURT: PASS HIM THE MIC, PLEASE. MR. BURSOR: MR. WILSON, I'M SCOTT BURSOR. I INTRODUCED MYSELF A FEW MOMENTS AGO. I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT THE SOFTWARE THAT YOU SELL. IT IS SOFTWARE, RIGHT, THAT YOU SELL? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, IT IS -- WE DON'T SELL SOFTWARE PER SE. IT'S A TECHNICAL SOLUTION FOR SPECIALTY BANKING. IN OTHER WORDS, EVERYTHING IS RUN THROUGH SOFTWARE BUT WE ACTUALLY PROVIDE A SERVICE TO THE DEBT SETTLEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDUSTRY. SO THE DEBT SETTLEMENT INDUSTRY, THERE ARE SPECIALTY CONSULTING FIRMS THAT DEAL WITH CONSUMERS IN BAD DEBT SITUATIONS AND LAW FIRMS. WE ACTUALLY HELP SET UP THE BANKING ACCOUNTS THAT CAN BE ADMINISTRATED BY LAWYERS OR BY THOSE DEBT SETTLEMENT CONSULTANTS AND THEN ALSO MAKE DISTRIBUTIONS TO ORIGINAL ISSUERS, DEBT BUYERS AND DEBT COLLECTION AGENCIES ONCE A SETTLEMENT HAS BEEN REACHED. MR. BURSOR: SO, WOULD A DEBT COLLECTION INDUSTRY --SORRY -- A DEBT COLLECTION COMPANY, WOULD THEY BE A POTENTIAL CUSTOMER FOR YOUR SOLUTION? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WOULDN'T HAVE A BILLING RELATIONSHIP WITH A COLLECTION AGENCY. WE DO HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAVE TO INTEGRATE OUR PAYMENT SOLUTION INTO THEIR ACCOUNTING SYSTEM AND, PRIMARILY, THAT IS BECAUSE EACH SETTLEMENT THAT IS REACHED, IT NEEDS TO BE ACCOUNTED FOR AND RECONCILED ON THE OTHER SIDE, SO THE SITUATION GETS RESOLVED. MR. BURSOR: SO YOU SAY YOUR SOLUTION IS NOT SOFTWARE, IT IS SOFTWARE BASED? YOU HAVE TO INTEGRATE THE SOFTWARE WITH THE DEBT COLLECTION AGENCY SOFTWARE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, IT IS MORE ON THE LAW FIRM SIDES AND THE CRM'S ON THE CONSULTANT SIDES. THERE ARE TOUCH POINTS WITH COLLECTION AGENCIES' ACCOUNTING SOFTWARE. SO THERE ARE INTEGRATION POINTS WITH VARIOUS PARTIES THROUGHOUT THE ECOSYSTEM. MR. BURSOR: YOUR ROLE AS THE PRODUCT MANAGER, WOULD

YOU INTERACT WITH THE FOLKS AT THE DEBT COLLECTION AGENCY? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 3 THE COURT: ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FOR THE LARGER COLLECTION 4 5 AGENCIES, NOT DAY-TO-DAY. SO MY OTHER MANAGERS SORT OF -- WE 6 HAVE AS RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT TEAM THAT DOES THE DAY-TO-DAY 7 BUT IF FEATURES ARE REQUESTED, IT BUBBLES UP TO ME AND 8 SOMETIMES I GET ON THE PHONE TO CLARIFY WHAT THE PURPOSE IS. 9 MR. BURSOR: SO DO YOU VIEW THE DEBT COLLECTION AGENCIES THAT YOU WORK WITH AS CLIENTS OF YOURS? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AGAIN, WE DON'T HAVE A BILLING 12 RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM, SO I WOULDN'T CALL THEM CLIENTS. 13 MR. BURSOR: BUT IF THEY HAVE AN ISSUE WITH YOUR 14 PRODUCT, THEY CALL YOU AND YOU HELP THEM TO WORK THROUGH THE 15 USE OF YOUR PRODUCT. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT. 17 MR. BURSOR: ALL RIGHT. AND THANK YOU, MR. WILSON. 18 CAN I TALK TO MR. ROUSSEU? GOOD MORNING, MR. ROUSSEU. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. 20 MR. BURSOR: YOU WORK WITH DATA. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT EXACTLY, NO. 22 MR. BURSOR: OKAY. I TRIED TO MAKE NOTES WHEN 23 EVERYONE WAS TALKING AND IT IS REALLY TOUGH BECAUSE THERE IS 24 18 OF YOU, I THINK. BUT YOU WORK AT A DIGITAL REALTY COMPANY 25 AND WHAT EXACTLY IS YOUR JOB?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO, I'M A SALES ENGINEER THERE 1 2 AND WE WORK TO ENSURE OUR CUSTOMERS HAVE THE RIGHT SOLUTION, 3 GIVEN THE DIFFERENT DATA CENTER PORTFOLIO THAT WE PROVIDE. SO, WE ARE MORE INFRASTRUCTURE ONLY AND OUR CLIENTS THAT WE 4 5 SELL TO -- OUR CUSTOMERS, THEY MANAGE THEIR OWN DATA AND 6 APPLICATIONS AND THEIR DEPLOYMENTS FOR THEIR ENVIRONMENT 7 WITHIN OUR DATA CENTERS. 8 MR. BURSOR: DO YOU SELL SOFTWARE? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT AT ALL. MR. BURSOR: DO YOU MANAGE DATA? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OUR CUSTOMERS MANAGE THEIR OWN 12 DATA. WE MANAGE OUR DATA CENTER PORTFOLIO. 13 MR. BURSOR: HAVE YOU EVER USED MICROSOFT EXCEL? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE. 15 MR. BURSOR: ANYBODY ON THE PANEL WHO HAS NEVER USED 16 MICROSOFT EXCEL? 17 (NO RESPONSE.) MR. BURSOR: OKAY. HAVE YOU EVER USE A PROGRAM 18 19 CALLED STATA? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NEVER HEARD OF IT. 20 21 MR. BURSOR: DO YOU HAVE A CELLPHONE? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. MR. BURSOR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYONE ON THE PANEL 23 24 THAT DOES NOT HAVE A CELLPHONE? 25 (NO RESPONSE.)

1 MR. BURSOR: ALL RIGHT. MR. ROUSSEU, HAVE YOU EVER 2 RECEIVED A PHONE BILL? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. MR. BURSOR: DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN PHONE BILLS USED TO 4 5 HAVE EACH PHONE CALL YOU MADE ON THE BILL? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 6 7 MR. BURSOR: YOU'VE RECEIVED BILLS LIKE THAT? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT HAS BEEN QUITE SOME TIME 9 BECAUSE ALL I HAVE IS A CELLPHONE NOW. BUT, YES. 10 MR. BURSOR: YOU REMEMBER WHEN PHONE BILLS HAD EVERY 11 CALL ON THE BILL? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 13 MR. BURSOR: AND IS THERE ANYONE ON THE PANEL THAT 14 THAT HAS NEVER SEEN A PHONE BILL LIKE THAT? 15 (NO RESPONSE.) 16 MR. BURSOR: PROBABLY BECAUSE YOU ARE TOO YOUNG. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. BECAUSE I JUST MOVED --MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 18 19 MR. WHITE? HI. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HI. MR. BURSOR: GOOD MORNING, MR. WHITE. I'M SCOTT 21 22 BURSOR. 23 DO YOU MANAGE DATA IN YOUR JOB? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN MANY WAYS, I'M SIMILAR TO, I 25 THINK, MR. ROUSSEU, IS IT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. OUR COMPANY IS, 3 ESSENTIALLY, PROVIDING NETWORKING EQUIPMENT THAT OUR CUSTOMERS CAN PASS THEIR DATA OVER. SO, WE ARE -- IN PROBABLY MORE 4 5 SIMPLE TERMS, WE'RE SORT OF PROVIDING THE EQUIPMENT THEY NEED TO BUILD THE BIG FAT PIPES AND THEN THEY PUMP WHATEVER THEIR 6 7 OWN DATA IS ACROSS THOSE. SO WE ARE GIVING THEM THE HARDWARE 8 TO DO THAT AND SOFTWARE THAT ALLOWS THEM TO PROVISION AND 9 CONFIGURE THAT NETWORK, BUT I'M NOT ENCOUNTERING THEIR DATA. IT'S KIND OF AGNOSTIC TO US WHAT THEY ARE PUTTING ACROSS THOSE 10 11 LINES. 12 MR. BURSOR: HAVE YOU EVER MANAGED A LARGE DATABASE 13 OR USED A LARGE DATABASE IN YOUR JOB? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOTHING -- I MEAN, MICROSOFT 15 ACCESS, SMALL DATABASE, BUT NOTHING OF MAJOR SIGNIFICANCE, I 16 GUESS. 17 MR. BURSOR: OKAY. SO YOU'VE USED MICROSOFT ACCESS DATABASE SOFTWARE? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: QUITE SOME TIME AGO. MR. BURSOR: OKAY. YOU'VE ALSO WORKED WITH EXCEL? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. MR. BURSOR: ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE SEEN PHONE BILLS THAT 22 23 HAVE THE PHONE CALLS BROKEN OUT --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST TO CLARIFY. WHEN YOU SAY 25 PHONE BILLS, YOU'RE TALKING A LANDLINE, NOT A MOBILE PHONE?

MR. BURSOR: EITHER WAY. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY. SO, YEAH, I THINK EVEN 3 TODAY MY MOBILE PHONE BILL BREAKS IT OUT THAT WAY. MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 4 5 YOUR HONOR, MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT? THE COURT: YOU MAY. 6 7 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 8 MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, THAT IS ALL I HAVE RIGHT 9 NOW. THE COURT: THANK YOU. 10 11 MR. ELLIS? 12 MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 13 HI. 14 PROSPECTIVE JURORS: HELLO. 15 MR. ELLIS: SO, WHEN THE MICROPHONE GETS PASSED 16 AROUND, TYPICALLY, IF IT GOES UP AND DOWN THE ROWS, AS THE 17 MICROPHONE GETS CLOSE TO SOMEBODY, YOU CAN SEE THE PANIC ON 18 THE FACES OF THE NEXT PERSON UP. 19 MS. COOPER, PROBABLY NOT SURPRISING, YOU KNOW, I'M GOING 20 TO ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. 21 SO, WRITING THAT BOOK, HOW MANY -- THIS IS NOT REALLY A 22 STRICT QUESTION. HOW MANY PAGES IS THAT? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I KNOW. IT IS HAS LIKE SEVEN CHAPTERS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 24 25 MR. ELLIS: IS THAT LIKE AVAILABLE ON AMAZON --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 1 2 MR. ELLIS: -- OR GOOGLE? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. MR. ELLIS: YOU KNOW, THE THING ABOUT VOIR DIRE IS 4 5 THAT YOU HAVE TWO PARTIES IN THE COURTROOM. YOU'VE GOT THIS TABLE THAT'S GOT A PARTY AND THAT TABLE THAT'S GOT A PARTY, 6 7 AND WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, AND WHAT THE COURT IS 8 TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IS WHETHER OR NOT YOU'RE THE RIGHT JUROR 9 FOR THIS CASE. OKAY? AND WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? WELL, IT 10 MEANS THAT YOU'RE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES; THAT IS, STARTING OFF 11 WE'RE EVEN-STEVEN. 12 SO, I'M GOING TO COME BACK TO YOU IN A SECOND. BUT THE 13 QUESTION IS THIS: YOU KNOW, THERE IS PROBABLY THE SCALES OF 14 JUSTICE SOMEPLACE IN THIS COURTROOM. AND AT THE BEGINNING OF 15 A TRIAL, WE ATTORNEYS TRY TO FIGURE OUT, OKAY, WHEN WE START 16 OFF IN THIS CASE, ARE THE SCALES EVEN? RIGHT? 17 THEY ARE NOT TILTED A LITTLE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. AND BY 18 THE WAY, IF IT SOUNDS LIKE I AM MUMBLING, I HAD DENTAL SURGERY 19 A COUPLE WEEKS AGO AND IT IS STILL NOT QUITE RIGHT. IT SOUNDS REALLY FUNNY TO ME. SO, THAT'S WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE 20 21 OUT. EVERYONE -- ARE WE STARTING OFF EVEN? OR BECAUSE OF 22 YOUR LIFE EXPERIENCES, YOU KNOW, THERE'S WHAT WE CALL BIAS. I 23 DON'T MEAN BIAS IN A BAD WAY, BUT BIAS IN THE SENSE THAT, 24 BASED ON YOUR LIFE EXPERIENCES, MY CLIENT WHICH HAS THE OPEN 25 QUOTE, DEBT COLLECTOR, CLOSE QUOTE NAME. FOR SOME PEOPLE THAT

JUST CREATES ALL SORTS OF ISSUES. 1 2 SO NOW LET ME GET BACK TO YOU AND ASK MY QUESTION: 3 HONESTLY, CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MY CLIENT? ARE THEY STARTING OFF EVEN-STEVEN OR ARE THE SCALES OF JUSTICE EQUALLY BALANCED? 4 5 BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T HEARD ANY EVIDENCE YET, HAVE YOU? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. 6 7 MR. ELLIS: NONE. SO, HERE'S THE QUESTION: CAN YOU 8 BE FAIR? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I ALSO HAPPEN TO BE AN EXPERT ON 10 UNCONSCIOUS BIAS. SO WHILE I BELIEVE THAT I CAN BE IMPARTIAL, 11 MY EXPERT OPINION LOOKING AT SOMEONE LIKE ME IS THAT I'M 12 COMING IN WITH A CERTAIN LENS ON THIS INDUSTRY THAT IS NOT --13 NOT AS COMPLETELY IMPARTIAL AS SOMEONE ELSE WHO'S NEVER REALLY 14 THOUGHT ABOUT IT OR BEEN EXPOSED TO THOSE ISSUES. 15 MR. ELLIS: RIGHT. YOU DON'T KNOW IF RASH CURTIS WAS 16 THE PERSON THAT CALLED ANY OF THE SUBJECTS THAT YOU DEALT 17 WITH. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I HAVE A GENERAL 18 UNDERSTANDING OF THE INDUSTRY --19 20 MR. ELLIS: RIGHT. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- AND THE REASONS BEHIND WHY THE INDUSTRY HAS GROWN, WHICH IS A LARGER ECONOMIC EXPLANATION. 22 23 IN ANY CASE --MR. ELLIS: SO YOU THINK YOU ARE GOING TO BRING 24 25 THAT -- THAT LENS TO ALL THE EVIDENCE AND TO YOUR

DELIBERATIONS? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WOULD -- BASED ON EVERYTHING I 3 KNOW ABOUT HOW PEOPLE PROCESS INFORMATION, THAT WOULD BE TRUE. MR. ELLIS: OKAY. LOOK, I REALLY APPRECIATE -- I 4 5 MEAN, THIS WHOLE PROCESS, YOU KNOW, DEPENDS ON PEOPLE BEING 6 OPEN AND HONEST. FROM A DEFENSE VIEWPOINT, AND THAT'S PRETTY 7 MUCH WHAT I DO, I DEFEND COLLECTION AGENCIES AND ATTORNEYS, 8 THE TWO THINGS THAT PEOPLE MAKE THE MOST JOKES ABOUT, RIGHT? 9 YOU KNOW, THE THING THAT WE DON'T WANT IS SOMEONE THAT IS ON THE JURY THAT HAS AN AXE TO GRIND BUT DOESN'T TALK ABOUT IT. 10 11 RIGHT? AND THEN, YOU KNOW, THEY ARE TRYING TO GET BACK AT 12 SOMEBODY OR SOME INDUSTRY OR SOME AGENCY BECAUSE OF THAT. 13 ALL RIGHT? SO LET ME JUST -- YOU'VE BEEN VERY KIND TO LET 14 ME TALK WITH YOU ABOUT THIS. 15 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS THERE ANYONE ELSE THAT CAN RAISE 16 THEIR HAND AND, AFTER LISTENING TO ME, SAY THAT THEY ARE GOING 17 TO HAVE A BIAS GOING INTO THE JURY ROOM? ANYBODY ELSE? 18 (HANDS RAISED.) MR. ELLIS: YES, MA'AM. AND I'M -- YOU KNOW WHAT? 19 20 LET ME GO BACK. MS. WOO? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. MR. ELLIS: START WITH YOU. SO GO AHEAD. LET ME 22 23 HAVE IT. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I THINK SO MANY TIMES WE 25 KNOW NAMES AND THIS NAME DIDN'T PAY A BILL BUT YOU DON'T KNOW

THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF WHY THEY DIDN'T PAY THE BILL AND THE 1 2 PERSON OF WHO THEY ARE, THAT THEY WANTED TO PAY THE BILL BUT 3 THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO BECAUSE THEY WERE UNEMPLOYED OR ON SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS. I CAN GO ON WHY PEOPLE DON'T PAY BILLS. 4 5 MR. ELLIS: NO. I KNOW. SO HOW DOES THAT AFFECT YOU PERSONALLY IN TERMS OF SERVING ON THIS JURY? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST THINK OF A LOT OF 8 CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE PEOPLE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO PAY BILLS AND 9 COLLECTION AGENCIES HAVE GONE AFTER THEM. MR. ELLIS: YEAH. SO IS THAT GOING TO -- I HATE 10 11 LISTENING TO MY OWN VOICE RIGHT NOW. I AM SORRY, LADIES AND 12 GENTLEMEN. BUT IS THAT GOING TO AFFECT YOU AS YOU LISTEN TO 13 THE EVIDENCE, DO YOU THINK, OR WHEN YOU GO BACK INTO THE ROOM 14 AND -- THE JURY ROOM AND HAVE TO BE DELIBERATE? I MEAN, 15 HONESTLY, YES OR NO. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, IT COULD. I DON'T KNOW 17 ANY DETAILS OF THE CASE --18 MR. ELLIS: RIGHT. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- BUT IT COULD BE SIMILAR 20 SITUATIONS. I DON'T KNOW ANY OF THE NAMES OR ANYTHING LIKE 21 THAT, BUT IT COULD BE SIMILAR SITUATIONS THAT I'VE ADVOCATED 22 FOR SOMEBODY. 23 MR. ELLIS: AGAIN, YOU UNDERSTAND MY ANALOGY WHEN I USED THE SCALES OF JUSTICE? EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS THAT, RIGHT? 24 25

I MEAN -- YOU KNOW, WHAT WE WANT IS TO HAVE A LEVEL PLAYING

FIELD AND THEN PIECE BY PIECE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE PUT ON 1 THE SCALES, ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER. UNDERSTAND? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. MR. ELLIS: ALL RIGHT. SO YOU THINK YOU CAN BE FAIR 4 5 BUT YOU HAVE SOME DOUBTS. IS THAT A FAIR --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUST NOT SURE, YEAH, WITHOUT 6 7 KNOWING MORE DETAILS. 8 MR. ELLIS: ALL RIGHT. IT'S MR HUIZINGH? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. 10 MR. ELLIS: IF YOU ACTUALLY GET ON THE JURY PANEL, 11 YOU WILL SEE ME BLOW NAMES ALL THROUGH THE TRIAL. I DON'T GET 12 MY KIDS' NAMES RIGHT. ANYWAY, YOU EXPLAINED A LITTLE BIT 13 ABOUT YOUR ISSUES BUT -- SO TALK TO ME. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK FOR ME IT'S -- I'M JUST NOT A BIG FAN OF THE INDUSTRY ITSELF. IT IS NOT REALLY THE 15 16 PROCESS, IT IS MORE THE BUSINESS MODEL. MAKING MONEY OFF 17 PEOPLE'S DEBT IS KIND OF A WEIRD BUSINESS MODEL IN MY MIND. 18 THAT IS THE ONLY REASON WHY I MENTION THAT. 19 MR. ELLIS: BUT IN RAISING YOUR HAND, YOU'RE --20 BRAVELY BY THE WAY, RATHER THAN JUST SIT THERE SILENTLY. 21 THERE IS ENOUGH CONCERN YOU WANT ME TO KNOW ABOUT IT. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I MEAN, NOT KNOWING ANY OF 23 THE FACTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES, I WOULD SAY I HAVE SOME BIAS ALREADY, BUT I THINK I'M A FAIR PERSON AND FACTS AND 24 25 CIRCUMSTANCES DEFINITELY CHANGES THAT.

MR. ELLIS: OKAY. SO THE OUESTION IS, THIS TRIAL 1 WILL -- WE THINK WILL PRETTY MUCH BE OVER, YOU KNOW, FRIDAY OR 2 3 MONDAY -- FRIDAY OR HOPEFULLY MONDAY AT THE LATEST. THINGS ALWAYS HAPPEN. BUT SO ARE YOU -- WHAT YOU ARE SAYING RIGHT 4 5 NOW IS THAT WE ARE STARTING OFF EVEN-STEVEN OR WE'RE REALLY 6 NOT. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PROBABLY NOT. BUT THE FACTS AND 8 CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT IS WHAT I WOULD NEED TO KNOW MORE ABOUT. 9 MR. ELLIS: OKAY. YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT MY CONCERN WOULD BE WHEN I HEAR A JURY SAY THAT? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. 12 MR. ELLIS: ALL RIGHT. SO LET ME -- I'M ALMOST DONE. 13 SO LET ME MAKE THIS COMMENT. DOES ANYONE DISAGREE THAT IF YOU 14 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT OR, YOU KNOW, YOU INCUR A DEBT, EVEN IF 15 CIRCUMSTANCES ARE BAD, SOMEONE DESERVES TO BE PAID? DOES 16 ANYONE DISAGREE WITH THAT? I MEAN, IF YOU DO, RAISE YOUR 17 HAND. BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THE FACT IS DEBT COLLECTORS, 18 ATTORNEYS, THE PARTS OF OUR SOCIETY THAT PEOPLE MAKE THE JOKES 19 ABOUT YOU KNOW HOW MANY AT THE BOTTOM OF AN OCEAN, GOOD START, 20 BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. 21 THE FACT IS -- DOES ANYONE HERE -- IF YOU DO, I WOULD LIKE 22 TO KNOW. DOES ANYONE DISAGREE THAT DEBT COLLECTION IS A PART 23 OF SOCIETY THAT DOES A SERVICE TO MAKE SURE THAT, YOU KNOW, 24 PEOPLE GET PAID? DOES ANYONE DISAGREE WITH THAT? 25 MR. ELLIS: OKAY.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT FEELS -- I MAY BE ENTERING PHILOSOPHICAL AREA HERE BUT I THINK THE QUESTION IS A MISREPRESENTATION -- I THINK THE QUESTION KIND OF PROVIDES A PRETTY NARROW LENS ON WHAT IS ACTUALLY HAPPENING. SO, DO I THINK WHEN PEOPLE GET IN CAR ACCIDENTS AND COME OUT OF THE HOSPITAL \$150,000 IN DEBT, THAT SOMEONE SHOULD BE PAID FOR THAT? THERE IS LOT OF DIFFERENT LENSES TO LOOK THROUGH THAT. OF COURSE, THE DOCTORS, NURSES, ALL THOSE PEOPLE SHOULD GET PAID. THE OUESTION IS WHO SHOULD PAY AND WHO SHOULD PAY THE CONSEQUENCES OF THAT DEBT. SO, IN GENERAL, I THINK PEOPLE SHOULD BE PAID FOR THE SERVICES THAT THEY PROVIDE. RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYING IS A DIFFERENT QUESTION. DEPENDING ON THE SITUATION WE ARE BRINGING UP, IT HAS A LOT OF MORAL IMPLICATIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE THINK PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE HEALTHCARE. SO I'M GOING TO PUSH BACK ON THE NARROWNESS ON THE QUESTION.

MR. ELLIS: I APPRECIATE THAT AND, YOU KNOW,

MS. COOPER, I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT. I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THAT.

I GUESS THIS IS NOT THE TIME FOR A PHILOSOPHICAL DEBATE

NECESSARILY, BUT THERE ARE ALSO JOE'S LAWN CARE THAT DOESN'T

GET PAID. THERE ARE LOTS OF SMALL BUSINESSES THAT DON'T GET

PAID. WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT HUGE INSTITUTIONS. WE ARE

TALKING ABOUT PEOPLE -- YOU KNOW, THE PIZZA STORE THAT DOESN'T

GET PAID. THOSE ARE ALL PEOPLE THAT HAVE TO MAKE PAYROLLS AND

HAVE PAYCHECKS AND THEIR LIGHTS ARE ON. YOU AGREE WITH THAT,

TOO, I TAKE IT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

MR. ELLIS: ALL RIGHT. SO LET ME JUST KIND OF WRAP
THIS UP AND GO TO ONE OTHER AREA. SO, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
BECAUSE I'M THE DEFENSE, I ALWAYS GO SECOND AND THE COURT TO
ALLUDED TO THIS. BUT HERE IS THE DEAL. YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR
FROM PLAINTIFFS FIRST EVERY SINGLE TIME. OPENING STATEMENTS,
MR. BURSOR GETS TO GO FIRST. WITH EVIDENCE, MR. BURSOR GETS
TO GO FIRST.

IS THERE ANYONE HERE THAT CAN'T WAIT UNTIL I PUT ON MY
EVIDENCE OR I DO MY CROSS-EXAMINATION? IS THERE ANYONE THAT
CAN'T DO THAT FOR ME? ANYBODY? MS. RAFELLO, CAN YOU DO THAT
FOR ME?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

MR. ELLIS: OKAY. BECAUSE I CAN TELL YOU HAVING
TRIED A FEW CASES, IF YOU GO WITH THE FIRST THING YOU HEAR, IT
IS GOING TO CHANGE AT SOME POINT LATER. IT MAY NOT CHANGE
YOUR MIND ULTIMATELY, BUT IT IS GOING TO CHANGE YOUR VIEW OF
WHAT HAPPENED. OKAY? SO ALL OF YOU ALL CAN DO THAT FOR ME?
ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYONE SITTING IN HERE THAT IS BRAVE
ENOUGH WITHOUT ME ASKING A SPECIFIC QUESTION TO SAY, YOU KNOW,
I'M NOT SURE I'M THE RIGHT PERSON FOR THIS CASE, MAYBE THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA, OAKLAND BRANCH CAN
FIND ANOTHER CASE FOR ME. I DON'T WANT TO BE ON THIS CASE.
IS THERE ANYONE HERE THAT FEELS THAT WAY?

1 (NO RESPONSE.) 2 MR. ELLIS: OKAY. I'M GOING TO SIT DOWN NOW. BUT I 3 AM ACTUALLY GOING TO REACH OUT. MS. GUIDI, I HAVE BEEN WATCHING YOU SITTING DOWN HERE AND I FEEL SOME AMBIVALENCE 4 5 FROM YOU. I DON'T THINK IT IS THE PROCESS BUT HOW ARE YOU FEELING ABOUT -- NOT LAWSUITS IN GENERAL BUT ABOUT MY CLIENT? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I FEEL THAT I HAVE TO BE 8 OPEN-MINDED AND NOT TAKE MY OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND PUT IT 9 ON THE CASE AND THAT I NEED TO THINK OF THEM BOTH INDEPENDENTLY AND NOT BE BIASED ABOUT IT --10 11 MR. ELLIS: CORRECT. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: -- AND JUST TAKE THE SITUATION 13 FOR WHAT IT IS AND EVALUATE IT IN THAT SENSE. 14 MR. ELLIS: WELL, THAT IS WHAT I LOVE TO HERE. IS 15 THAT GRUDGING? I MEAN, ARE YOU GOING TO BE FIGHTING YOUR OWN 16 FEELINGS EVERY STEP OF THE WAY? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T BELIEVE SO, BECAUSE I'M A PRETTY STRONG FORCE WHERE THAT IS CONCERNED. 18 19 MR. ELLIS: OKAY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WITH RAISING KIDS AND EVERYTHING 20 ELSE, THAT IS -- PRACTICE WHAT YOU PREACH AND THAT'S WHAT I 21 22 FOCUS ON. 23 MR. ELLIS: WELL, IF YOU HAVE HAD TO DEAL WITH TWO 24 KIDS AND TRIED TO JUDGE CREDIBILITY THE WAY I HAVE DONE WITH 25 MINE, I UNDERSTAND.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YOU HAVE TO BE VERY OPEN-MINDED. 1 2 MR. ELLIS: YOU'RE BRINGING SOME REAL WAR EXPERIENCE 3 HERE. THANK YOU, FOLKS, VERY MUCH. THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. ELLIS. LADIES AND 4 5 GENTLEMEN, WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A BREAK. I NEED TO SPEAK WITH THE LAWYERS AND I HAVE A SERIES OF LITTLE "DO NOT" SLIDES FOR 6 7 YOU, WHICH WE ARE GOING TO GO THROUGH HERE. BUT WHAT IS GOING 8 TO HAPPEN IS, AS SOON AS I GIVE YOU YOUR "DO NOT" 9 ADMONISHMENTS, I WILL HAVE YOU LEAVE THE COURTROOM. YOU CAN GO IF YOU HAVE TIME -- IF YOU THINK YOU HAVE TIME AND CAN GET 10 11 BACK THROUGH SECURITY, YOU CAN GO GRAB A QUICK CUP OF COFFEE 12 OR SOMETHING IF YOU WANT BUT I WOULD LIKE YOU BACK HERE AT 13 11:30. IT IS GOING TO BE 11. I NEED TO SPEAK WITH THE 14 LAWYERS. AND WHAT I WILL HAVE YOU DO IS -- THOSE OF YOU WHO 15 ARE UP HERE, YOU WON'T BE COME BACK TO THESE SEATS. YOU WILL 16 STAY IN THE AUDIENCE. OKAY? 17 SO WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF VOIR DIRE, WHICH MEANS THAT, AS 18 YOU KNOW, AS YOU SAW, WE ARE TRYING TO GET YOUR OPINIONS AND 19 WE ARE ENTITLED TO YOUR OPINION NOT INFLUENCED BY ANYTHING 20 ELSE. SO WHEN YOU LEAVE THIS COURTROOM, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT 21 YOU DO NOT DISCUSS YOUR OPINIONS WITH ANYONE ELSE. 22 WHICH SLIDES DO WE HAVE HERE, FRANCES? ALL RIGHT. YES, 23 WE WANT TO KNOW YOUR OPINION AND ONLY YOUR OPINION, NO ONE 24 ELSE'S. WHAT'S NEXT? NO CELLPHONES, NO TALKING, NO 25 ELECTRONIC MEDIA. THAT MEANS NO TWITTER, NO INSTAGRAM, NO

DOING ANY RESEARCH, NO TALKING ABOUT -- WITH ANYONE WHAT WE ARE DOING IN HERE. YOU HAVE ALL BEEN SWORN. SO I CANNOT AND DO NOT WANT YOU TO DO ANYTHING WITH RESPECT TO THIS CASE.

I DO NOT WANT YOU TO TALK TO ANY OF THE OTHER JURORS, THE ATTORNEYS, THE WITNESSES, THE SPECTATORS, FAMILY, YOUR FRIENDS, YOUR EMPLOYERS. IF YOU ARE SEATED AS A JURORS, I WILL TELL YOU WHAT YOU CAN AND CANNOT SAY. YOU CANNOT NOT DO ANY RESEARCH, ANY INVESTIGATION ON THIS TOPIC OR ANY OF THE TOPICS WE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING. YOU ARE ORDERED, IF YOU START LISTENING TO THE NEWS -- I DON'T KNOW THAT THIS IS GETTING PICKED UP. SOMETIMES IT DOES, SOMETIMES IT DOESN'T. BUT IF YOU HAPPEN TO HEAR ANYTHING, YOU CANNOT LISTEN TO IT, SO JUST TURN THAT OFF.

AND WHILE YOU ARE OUT, GO AHEAD, CHECK YOUR EMAIL, DO SOMETHING THAT'S PERSONAL TO YOU. DO NOT DO ANYTHING RELATIVE TO THIS CASE. DO NOT TALK TO EACH OTHER ABOUT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. WE NEED -- IF WE HAVE FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS, I NEED TO KNOW YOUR OPINION, NOT YOUR OPINION INFLUENCED BY ANY OTHER DISCUSSION.

THE LAWYERS HAVE BEEN INSTRUCTED NOT TO COMMUNICATE WITH YOU IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM. IF YOU ACCIDENTALLY HAPPEN TO ENCOUNTER THEM ON A BREAK, PLEASE JUST IGNORE THEM. THEY WILL NOT THINK YOU'RE RUDE. THEY WILL BE FOLLOWING MY ORDER NOT TO ENGAGE WITH YOU.

WE DO THIS BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE GETS

```
A FAIR TRIAL AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU ARE NOT DOING
 1
 2
      ANYTHING INAPPROPRIATE. IF YOU DO ANY OF THAT STUFF THAT I
 3
      HAVE SAID NOT TO, THAT COULD BE VIEWED AS INAPPROPRIATE.
          OKAY. SO WE WILL SEE YOU BACK HERE AT 11:30. PLEASE WAIT
 4
 5
      OUTSIDE THE COURTROOM AND WE WILL LET YOU IN WHEN IT'S AN
      APPROPRIATE TIME.
 6
 7
           (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE
 8
      JURORS.)
 9
                THE COURT: OKAY. THE RECORD WILL REFLECT THE JURY
      POOL HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM.
10
11
          I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT YOUR NOTES.
12
       I WILL TAKE YOUR CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE, AND THEN WE WILL DO
13
      PEREMPTORIES. IF YOU WANT TO RUN TO THE BATHROOM, YOU'RE
14
      WELCOME TO DO THAT. I WILL BE BACK HERE IN ABOUT FIVE
15
      MINUTES.
16
            (RECESS TAKEN AT 11:03 A.M.; RESUMED AT 11:11 A.M.)
17
           (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
                THE CLERK: REMAIN SEATED. THE COURT IS IN SESSION.
18
19
      I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE EVERYBODY BACK.
20
                THE COURT: BACK ON THE RECORD. AND WE WILL START
21
      WITH PEREMPTORIES -- CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE. I AM SORRY. ANY
22
      FROM THE PLAINTIFF?
23
               MR. BURSOR: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24
                THE COURT: JUST GIVE ME THE LIST FIRST.
25
                MR. BURSOR: MR. WILSON AND -- ONE MOMENT, YOUR
```

```
HONOR.
               MR. WILSON AND MR. GOEL.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: ANY FROM THE DEFENSE?
 3
               MR. ELLIS: YES, YOUR HONOR. MS. COOPER, MS. WOO --
                THE COURT: HOLD ON.
 4
 5
               MR. ELLIS: I'M SORRY.
                THE COURT: OKAY.
 6
 7
               MR. ELLIS: AND MR. HUIZINGH. H-U-I-Z-I-N-G-H.
 8
                THE CLERK: ARE YOU --
 9
                THE COURT: WHAT IS THE ARGUMENT WITH RESPECT TO
10
      MR. GOEL? WHAT IS YOUR CLAIM FOR CAUSE?
11
               MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, MR. GOEL -- THIS IS THE
12
      DEFENSE LAWYER.
13
                THE COURT: YES. WHAT IS YOUR CLAIM FOR CAUSE?
14
               MR. BURSOR: HE APPEARED TO BE SYMPATHETIC WITH THE
15
      DEFENSE. HE THINKS THERE'S TOO MANY LAWSUITS, THAT JUDGMENTS
16
      AGAINST HIS CLIENTS HAVE BEEN TOO HIGH.
17
                THE COURT: THAT'S DENIED. YOU CAN MAKE YOUR RECORD
      ON THESE THINGS LATER. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE MOVING
18
19
      ALONG.
20
          THE COURT IS GOING -- UNLESS YOU WANT TO ARGUE, THE COURT
21
      IS INCLINED TO GRANT WITH RESPECT TO WILSON AND COOPER AND
22
      WITH RESPECT TO WOO. I THINK MR. HUIZINGH, HE'S TRYING TO GET
      OUT OF JURY SERVICE. THAT WAS ALL POST -- SO I WILL NOT GRANT
23
24
      WITH RESPECT TO HIM.
25
               MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
```

THE COURT: AND LIKE I SAID, IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A 1 2 RECORD AFTERWARDS, YOU CAN. 3 ALL RIGHT. AT THIS POINT SINCE YOU ARE GOING TO BE EXERCISING PEREMPTORIES, IF YOU WANT TO BE SIT AT COUNSEL 4 5 TABLE, THAT IS FINE WITH ME. 6 THE CLERK: OKAY --7 THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO TURN OFF YOUR MICS SO 8 THAT YOU CAN TALK TO EACH OTHER. JUST SPEAK UP. 9 MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK ONE QUESTION 10 11 BEFORE WE DO THAT? 12 THE COURT: YES. 13 MR. BURSOR: IF WE PASS AND THEY PASS, YOU SEAT THE 14 JURY? 15 THE COURT: CORRECT. 16 MR. BURSOR: IF WE PASS AND THEY EXERCISE ONE, WE --17 THE COURT: YOU'VE NOT WAIVED YOUR RIGHT. MR. BURSOR: OKAY. THANK YOU. 18 19 THE COURT: SO, CURRENTLY, THE JURY IS VELEZ, WHITE, 20 NICHOLAS, ONG, GHASEMIGOHAR, JEW, GUIDI AND RAFELLO. ALTHOUGH 21 LET ME JUST SAY, ON -- I AM INCLINED ON GHASEMIGOHAR, WHICH IS 22 THE MUCH LONGER ONE -- SHE HAS GOT A THREE-YEAR OLD AND 23 DOESN'T SEEM TO HAVE ANY SUPPORT SYSTEM, ANY PRESCHOOL, 24 ANYTHING TO GET HER HERE. I AM INCLINED TO GRANT A HARDSHIP 25 ON HER.

```
1
          DOES ANYBODY HAVE AN OBJECTION TO THAT?
 2
               MR. ELLIS: NOT FROM DEFENSE, YOUR HONOR.
 3
               MR. BURSOR: NO OBJECTION.
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO SHE'S EXCUSED FOR
 4
 5
      HARDSHIP, WHICH THEN WOULD PUT COOPER INTO THE GROUP OF
 6
      EIGHT -- NO, COOPER IS OUT.
 7
               MR. BURSOR: I THINK YOU GRANTED....
                THE COURT: PUTS CAMP INTO THE GROUP OF EIGHT.
 8
 9
          OKAY. FIRST PEREMPTORY FROM PLAINTIFF.
               MR. BURSOR: PASS.
10
11
                THE COURT: PLAINTIFF PASSES.
12
          FROM DEFENSE?
13
               MR. ELLIS: MS. RAFELLO.
14
                THE COURT: RAFELLO?
15
               MR. ELLIS: YES, YOUR HONOR. R-A-F-E-L-L-O. IT'S
16
      JUROR NO. 8.
17
                THE COURT: OKAY. MS. RAFELLO IS EXCUSED, THAT
18
      BRINGS ONTO THE PANEL XU, X-U.
19
          FROM THE PLAINTIFF?
20
               MR. BURSOR: MR. CAMP.
21
                THE COURT: MR. CAMP IS EXCUSED.
22
          FROM THE DEFENSE?
23
               MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. --
24
                THE COURT: LET ME JUST SAY. NOW, WE HAVE -- RIGHT.
25
      SO, HUIZINGH.
```

```
1
               MR. ELLIS: HE JUST GOT ON AND WE ARE EXCUSING HIM,
 2
       YOUR HONOR.
 3
                THE COURT: OKAY. SO HE IS EXCUSED, WHICH BRINGS ON
      ROUSSEU.
 4
 5
           FROM THE PLAINTIFF?
 6
                MR. BURSOR: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
 7
                        (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
 8
                MR. BURSOR: PLAINTIFF PASSES.
 9
                THE CLERK: I'M SORRY?
                THE COURT: PLAINTIFF PASSES.
10
11
                THE CLERK: OKAY. THANKS.
12
                THE COURT: FROM THE DEFENSE?
13
               MR. ELLIS: YOUR HONOR, DEFENSE WOULD EXCUSE MS. ONG,
14
      O-N-G.
               THAT IS JUROR NO. 4.
15
                THE COURT: YES. SO MS. ONG IS EXCUSED, WHICH BRINGS
16
      ON MR. HINDERLIE.
17
           FROM THE PLAINTIFFS?
18
                MR. BURSOR: PASS.
19
                THE COURT: PLAINTIFF PASSES.
20
           NOW, THIS IS THE LAST PEREMPTORY THAT THE DEFENSE HAS, SO
21
      LET ME JUST GO OVER AGAIN WHO WE HAVE.
22
           WE HAVE VELEZ, WHITE, NICHOLAS, JEW, GUIDI, RAFELLO.
23
               MR. ELLIS: I THINK RAFELLO IS OUT, YOUR HONOR.
24
                THE CLERK: YES.
25
                MR. ELLIS: THAT WAS MY FIRST PEREMPTORY.
```

THE COURT: OH, I AM SORRY. THANK YOU. 1 2 SO THEN THAT WOULD BE XU IS THE NEXT ONE, ROUSSEU AND 3 HINDERLIE. EVERYBODY ON THE SAME PAGE? MR. ELLIS: YES, MA'AM. 4 5 THE COURT: YES? MR. BURSOR: YES. 6 7 THE COURT: MR. ELLIS? 8 MR. ELLIS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: DO YOU WISH TO EXERCISE YOUR LAST? MR. ELLIS: I DO. WE ARE GOING TO THANK AND EXCUSE 10 11 MS. GUIDI, JUROR 7. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENSE HAS NO MORE 12 13 PEREMPTORIES. 14 THE NEXT ONE IS GOEL AND AFTER THAT IS BLEM. 15 PLAINTIFFS? 16 MR. BURSOR: GOEL. EXCUSE GOEL, PLEASE. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT PUTS BLEM AS THE NEXT ONE 18 ON AND DEFENSE HAS NO MORE PEREMPTORIES. 19 DO YOU WISH TO EXERCISE ANOTHER PEREMPTORY? 20 MR. BURSOR: NO, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. WE HAVE OUR JURY. DOES ANYBODY 22 WISH TO PUT ANYTHING ON THE RECORD WITH RESPECT TO THE 23 FOR-CAUSE CHALLENGES? 24 MR. BURSOR: NOT FROM PLAINTIFFS, YOUR HONOR. 25 MR. ELLIS: NOT FROM DEFENSE.

THE COURT: AND WE'LL STAND IN RECESS FOR FIVE 1 2 MINUTES AND THEN WE WILL START CALLING THEM IN. 3 MR. BURSOR: MAY I ASK YOUR HONOR, ARE WE GOING TO COME BACK INTO SESSION AND THEN --4 5 THE COURT: SO THIS IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO. I WILL CALL THEM UP, I WILL BRING THE EIGHT UP. 6 7 AND GIVEN THAT WE HAVE ALREADY JUST TAKEN A BREAK, MY PLAN WOULD BE TO THANK AND EXCUSE THE BALANCE OF THE PANEL. I WILL 8 9 THEN INSTRUCT THE EIGHT JURORS, AND WE WILL START OPENING STATEMENTS IMMEDIATELY AFTER -- AS SOON AS THEY GET BACK IN. 10 11 MR. BURSOR: YOU'RE GOING TO DO THE PRE-EVIDENCE 12 INSTRUCTIONS AND THEN OPENING STATEMENTS? 13 THE COURT: CORRECT. 14 MR. BURSOR: GOT IT. THANK YOU. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 16 DO WE HAVE THE BINDERS? CAN WE PUT THE BINDERS ON THE --17 THE CLERK: YES. THE COURT: -- ON THE CHAIRS? 18 19 MR. BURSOR: MAY I OPEN THE COMPUTER BACK UP JUST TO 20 MAKE SURE IT IS WORKING? 21 THE COURT: SURE. MR. BURSOR: THIS IS WORKING. OKAY. 22 23 THE CLERK: FOUR IN THE FRONT --MR. BURSOR: I AM SORRY FOR HAVING SO MANY QUESTIONS, 24 25 YOUR HONOR, BUT WILL WE BE GIVEN A COPY OF THE SAME BINDER

THAT THE JURORS ARE GETTING? 1 2 THE COURT: NO. BUT YOU CAN LOOK AT IT. THERE IS 3 NOTHING IN IT OTHER THAN PAPER. IT LOOKS PRETTY. YOU'RE WELCOME TO LOOK AT IT. 4 5 WHY DON'T YOU GRAB A COPY AND LET THEM LOOK AT IT? MR. BURSOR: THANK YOU. 6 7 MR. ELLIS: YOUR HONOR, DOES THE COURT WANT THE JURY 8 QUESTIONNAIRES BACK? THE ONES -- I AM SORRY. 9 THE COURT: NO, I AM -- I DON'T SEE ANY REASON FOR YOU TO KEEP THEM. IF YOU NEED TO KEEP THEM FOR APPELLATE 10 11 PURPOSES, LET ME KNOW. BUT, OTHERWISE, IT'S PROBABLY BEST TO 12 GIVE THEM BACK. 13 THE CLERK: ACTUALLY, THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE -- THE 14 QUESTIONNAIRES ARE SUPPOSED TO BE RETURNED TO ME. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, THE ONLY THING OF SUBSTANCE 17 IN HERE IS THE STIPULATED FACTS. 18 THE COURT: CORRECT. 19 MR. BURSOR: AND I INTENDED TO MAKE REFERENCE TO THEM DURING OPENING STATEMENT. THAT'S NOT GOING TO COME AS A 20 21 SURPRISE, RIGHT? 22 THE COURT: NO. YOU TOLD US YOU WERE WHICH IS WHY WE GAVE YOU A COPY OF THEM. AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE --23 24 WHERE DID YOU PUT THEM? 25 LAW CLERK: THEY ARE BEHIND THE SCHEDULE.

THE COURT: I SEE. 1 2 (QUESTIONNAIRES RETURNED TO THE CLERK.) 3 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T HAVE A CLICKER FOR 4 5 THIS COMPUTER AND SO I INTEND TO JUST PUSH A BUTTON ON THE COMPUTER. MAY I SPEAK FROM HERE IF AND WHEN I NEED TO DO 6 7 THAT? 8 THE COURT: YES. 9 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 10 (PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE 11 JURORS.) 12 THE COURT: OKAY. WE ARE BACK ON THE RECORD. THE 13 RECORD WILL REFLECT THE PARTIES ARE PRESENT AND THE JURY PANEL 14 IS PRESENT. 15 IF I COULD HAVE THE FOLLOWING JURORS PLEASE COME BACK UP 16 HERE. MS. VELEZ. MS. VELEZ, IF YOU WILL COME TAKE THE FIRST 17 CHAIR CLOSEST TO ME, PLEASE. MR. WHITE? YOU WILL SIT RIGHT 18 NEXT TO HER, MR. WHITE. 19 MR. NICHOLAS, YOU MAY WANT TO GO THE OTHER WAY. THAT'S 20 OKAY IF YOU ARE GOING TO WAIT FOR HIM. PERFECT. THANK YOU. 21 GO IN THAT WAY. 22 AND MS. JEW, MS. XU. MS. XU, I'M GOING TO HAVE YOU TAKE 23 THE FIRST SEAT IN THE BACK ROW, PLEASE. 24 MR. ROUSSEU, MR. HINDERLIE, AND MR. BLEM. 25 OKAY. IF THE EIGHT OF YOU WILL PLEASE STAND TO BE SWORN.

(JURORS SWORN.) 1 2 THE CLERK: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY BE SEATED. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO FOR THE REST OF THE JURORS IN THE COURTROOM, THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE. YOU ARE 4 5 EXCUSED. WE HAVE OUR JURY. HAVE A WONDERFUL DAY AND THANK YOU FOR COMING IN. 6 7 (PROSPECTIVE JURORS EXIT THE COURTROOM.) 8 THE COURT: OKAY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WELCOME. WE 9 ARE GETTING STARTED RIGHT AWAY. NO TIME TO WASTE, RIGHT? NO TIME BETTER THAN THE PRESENT. 10 11 I HAVE A NUMBER OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR YOU, WHICH I'M GOING 12 TO GIVE YOU. YOU HAVE ON YOUR SEAT A JURY BINDER. LET'S JUST 13 TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT WHAT IS IN THAT BINDER. 14 FIRST OF ALL, THERE IS A DAILY REMINDER. EVERY TIME YOU 15 OPEN IT UP ABOUT SOME INSTRUCTIONS I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU AND 16 THAT INCLUDES MANY OF THOSE "DO NOTS" THAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT 17 BEFORE. THERE IS PAPER. THERE IS A CALENDAR. THERE ARE SOME 18 FACTS THAT I WILL REVIEW WITH YOU. 19 BUT THIS IS YOUR BINDER TO USE FOR THE COURSE OF THE 20 TRIAL, SO THAT YOU CAN TAKE NOTES. WE TAKE PICTURES OF ALL OF 21 THE WITNESSES. WE WILL GIVE YOU A PICTURE SO THAT YOU CAN 22 REMEMBER WHO IT IS THAT IS TESTIFYING. WE DO ALL SORTS OF 23 THINGS TO HELP YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOU'VE HEARD IN COURT SO THAT 24 YOU CAN DO YOUR JOB. ALL RIGHT? 25 SO, NOW THAT YOU HAVE BEEN SEATED AS JURIES -- AS JURORS

IN THIS CASE, IT IS MY DUTY TO INSTRUCT YOU ON THE LAW. THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE PRELIMINARY TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THE PRINCIPLES THAT APPLY TO CIVIL CASES AND TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THE EVIDENCE AS YOU LISTEN TO IT.

THESE INSTRUCTIONS ARE NOT GIVEN TO YOU PHYSICALLY, SO

JUST PAY ATTENTION. AT THE END I HAVE ANOTHER SET OF

INSTRUCTIONS. THOSE I WILL GIVE YOU PHYSICALLY FOR YOUR

REVIEW DURING DELIBERATIONS, BUT THESE ARE MORE BASIC

PRINCIPLES THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER.

IT IS YOUR DUTY TO FIND THE FACTS FROM ALL OF THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE. TO THOSE FACTS YOU WILL APPLY THE LAW AS I GIVE IT TO YOU AND YOU MUST FOLLOW THE LAW WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH IT OR NOT. YOU MUST NOT BE INFLUENCED BY PERSONAL LIKES OR DISLIKES, OPINIONS, PREJUDICES, OR SYMPATHY AND THAT MEANS YOU MUST DECIDE THE CASE SOLELY ON THE EVIDENCE THAT IS PRESENTED BEFORE YOU. YOU WILL RECALL JUST MOMENTS AGO, YOU TOOK AN OATH DO SO.

PLEASE DO NOT READ INTO THESE INSTRUCTIONS OR ANYTHING
THAT I MAY SAY OR DO THAT I HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING THE
EVIDENCE OR WHAT YOUR VERDICT SHOULD BE. DURING THIS CASE,
WHEN A PARTY HAS THE BURDEN OF PROVING ANY CLAIM OR
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE, IT
MEANS THAT YOU MUST BE PERSUADED BY THE EVIDENCE THAT THE
CLAIM OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE IS PROBABLY MORE TRUE THAN NOT.
THAT'S WHAT PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE MEANS. WHAT'S MORE

PROBABLY TRUE THAN NOT.

THIS IS NOT A CRIMINAL CASE WHERE THE STANDARD IS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. IT IS A CIVIL CASE, AND THE CIVIL CASE STANDARD IS PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

SO YOU SHOULD BASE YOUR DECISION ON ALL OF THE EVIDENCE REGARDLESS OF WHICH PARTY PRESENTS IT. SO WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE? THE EVIDENCE YOU ARE TO CONSIDER WITH RESPECT TO THE FACTS ARE THE FOLLOWING: ONE, THE SWORN TESTIMONY OF ANY WITNESS. TWO, THE EXHIBITS THAT ARE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. THREE, ANY FACTS TO WHICH THE LAWYERS HAVE AGREED. AND FOUR, ANY FACTS THAT I WILL INSTRUCT YOU TO ACCEPT AS PROVED.

NOW, IN REACHING YOUR VERDICT YOU CAN ONLY CONSIDER THAT
TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE. CERTAINLY THINGS ARE NOT EVIDENCE AND
YOU MAY NOT CONSIDER THEM IN DECIDING WHAT THE FACTS ARE.
THEY ARE THE FOLLOWING:

ONE. THE ARGUMENTS AND STATEMENTS BY LAWYERS ARE NOT EVIDENCE. THE LAWYERS ARE NOT WITNESSES. WHAT THEY SAY IN THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS AND AT OTHER TIMES DURING THE TRIAL, THEY SAY IT TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND OR INTERPRET THE EVIDENCE. BUT IT IS NOT EVIDENCE.

TWO. QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIONS BY THE LAWYERS ARE NOT EVIDENCE. LAWYERS HAVE A DUTY TO SHARE -- OR TO THEIR CLIENTS TO OBJECT WHEN THEY BELIEVE A QUESTION IS NOT APPROPRIATE OR IMPROPER UNDER THE RULES OF EVIDENCE, AND YOU SHOULD NOT BE INFLUENCED BY THE OBJECTION OR THE COURT'S RULING ON IT.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THREE. TESTIMONY THAT IS EXCLUDED OR STRICKEN OR THAT YOU HAVE BEEN INSTRUCTED TO DISREGARD IS NOT EVIDENCE AND YOU MUST NOT CONSIDER IT. IN ADDITION, SOMETIMES I'LL ALLOW EVIDENCE FOR A LIMITED PURPOSE. AND WHEN I HAVE INSTRUCTED YOU TO CONSIDER IT FOR THAT PURPOSE, AND I WILL EXPLAIN IT AT THE TIME, YOU MAY ONLY DO SO AND CONSIDER IT FOR THAT PURPOSE. ONE OF THE THINGS I DO WHEN I'M TAKING NOTES, IF I THEN GET AN OBJECTION AND I SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION AND STRIKE IT, I LITERALLY STRIKE IT FROM MY NOTES. SO THAT WAY I REMEMBER I'M NOT SUPPOSED TO CONSIDER IT. ANYTHING YOU HEAR OR SEE WHEN COURT IS NOT IN SESSION IS NOT EVIDENCE AND YOU ARE TO DECIDE THE CASE SOLELY ON THE EVIDENCE RECEIVED. SO EVIDENCE MAY BE DIRECT OR CIRCUMSTANTIAL. DIRECT EVIDENCE IS DIRECT PROOF OF A FACT, SUCH AS THE TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS ABOUT WHAT THAT WITNESS PERSONALLY SAW, HEARD, OR DID. CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IS PROOF OF ONE OR MORE FACTS FROM WHICH YOU COULD FIND SOME OTHER FACT. AND YOU SHOULD CONSIDER BOTH KINDS OF EVIDENCE. THE LAW MAKES NO DISTINCTION ABOUT THE WEIGHT TO BE GIVEN TO EITHER DIRECT OR CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. IT IS FOR YOU TO DECIDE HOW MUCH WEIGHT TO GIVE ANY EVIDENCE. SO LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. IMAGINE THAT YOU WAKE UP IN THE MORNING AND YOU SEE WATER ON THE SIDEWALK. WELL, THE WATER COULD BE EVIDENCE THAT IT RAINED OVERNIGHT. IT COULD

ALSO BE EVIDENCE THAT SOMEONE LEFT A GARDEN HOSE ON. SO

BEFORE YOU DECIDE WHAT A FACT IS AND WHETHER IT'S PROVED BY

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, YOU MUST CONSIDER ALL OF THE EVIDENCE

IN LIGHT OF REASON, EXPERIENCE, AND COMMON SENSE.

WITH RESPECT TO OBJECTIONS, THERE ARE RULES OF EVIDENCE
THAT CONTROL WHAT CAN BE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE. AND WHEN A
LAWYER ASKS A QUESTION OR OFFERS AN EXHIBIT INTO EVIDENCE AND
THE OTHER LAWYER ON THE OTHER SIDE THINKS IT IS NOT PERMITTED,
THAT LAWYER MAY OBJECT. IF I OVERRULE THE OBJECTION, THE
QUESTION CAN BE ANSWERED OR EXHIBIT RECEIVED. IF I SUSTAIN
THE OBJECTION, THE QUESTION CANNOT BE ANSWERED AND THE
EXHIBITS NOT RECEIVED. WHEN I SUSTAIN AN OBJECTION, YOU MUST
IGNORE THE QUESTION OR NOT GUESS WHAT THE ANSWER MIGHT HAVE
BEEN.

AND AS I SAID, IF I'VE STRICKEN IT, THEN YOU MAY NOT CONSIDER IT. IN DECIDING THE FACTS IN THIS CASE, YOU MIGHT HAVE TO DECIDE WHICH TESTIMONY TO BELIEVE AND WHICH TESTIMONY NOT TO BELIEVE.

YOU MAY BELIEVE EVERYTHING A WITNESS SAYS OR PART OF IT,
OR NONE OF IT. IN CONSIDERING THE TESTIMONY OF ANY WITNESS,
YOU MAY CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING:

THE OPPORTUNITY AND ABILITY THAT A WITNESS MAY SEE, HEAR,
OR KNOW THE THINGS THAT THEY TESTIFY TO, THE WITNESS'S MEMORY,
THE WITNESS'S MANNER WHILE TESTIFYING, THE WITNESS'S INTEREST
IN THE OUTCOME OF THE CASE, IF ANY, THE WITNESS'S BIAS OR

PREJUDICE, IF ANY, AND WHETHER OTHER EVIDENCE CONTRADICTS THAT 1 2 WITNESS'S TESTIMONY. THE REASONABLENESS OF THE TESTIMONY IN 3 LIGHT OF ALL THE OTHER EVIDENCE, AND ANY OTHER FACTS WHICH BEAR ON THAT PERSON'S BELIEVABILITY. 4 5 SOMETIMES A WITNESS MAY SAY SOMETHING THAT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH SOMETHING ELSE HE OR SHE SAID. SOMETIMES 6 7 DIFFERENT WITNESSES MAY GIVE DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF WHAT 8 HAPPENED. 9 PEOPLE OFTEN FORGET THINGS. THEY MAY MAKE MISTAKES IN WHAT THEY REMEMBER. ALSO TWO PEOPLE COULD SEE THE EXACT SAME 10 11 EVENT AND REMEMBER IT DIFFERENTLY. 12 YOU MAY CONSIDER THESE DIFFERENCES, BUT DO NOT DECIDE THE 13 TESTIMONY IS UNTRUE JUST BECAUSE IT DIFFERS FROM OTHER 14 TESTIMONY. HOWEVER, IF YOU DECIDE THAT A WITNESS HAS 15 DELIBERATELY TESTIFIED UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOMETHING IMPORTANT, 16 YOU MAY CHOOSE NOT TO BELIEVE ANYTHING THAT THAT WITNESS SAYS. 17 ON THE OTHER HAND, IF YOU THINK THE WITNESS TESTIFIED 18 UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOME THINGS BUT TRUTHFULLY ABOUT OTHERS, 19 YOU MAY ACCEPT THE PART THAT YOU BELIEVE IS TRUE AND IGNORE 20 THE REST. 21 THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE AS TO A FACT DOES NOT 22 NECESSARILY DEPEND ON THE NUMBER OF WITNESSES WHO TESTIFY. 23 WHAT IS IMPORTANT IS HOW BELIEVABLE THE WITNESSES ARE AND HOW 24 MUCH WEIGHT YOU THINK THEIR TESTIMONY DESERVES.

NOW, I URGE YOU TO PAY CLOSE ATTENTION TO THE TRIAL

25

TESTIMONY AS IT'S GIVEN BECAUSE DURING DELIBERATIONS YOU WILL NOT HAVE A TRANSCRIPT. ALL RIGHT? SO YOU NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU LISTEN CAREFULLY AND, TO THE EXTENT YOU WANT TO TAKE NOTES, YOU TAKE NOTES.

HOWEVER, IF YOU TAKE NOTES, PLEASE KEEP THEM TO YOURSELF UNTIL YOU GO TO THE JURY ROOM TO DECIDE THE CASE. DO NOT LET NOTE-TAKING DISTRACT YOU. WHEN YOU LEAVE AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOU MUST LEAVE YOUR NOTEBOOKS AND ALL YOUR NOTES IN THE JURY ROOM. NO ONE WILL READ THEM.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU TAKE NOTES, YOU SHOULD RELY ON YOUR OWN MEMORY OF THE EVIDENCE. NOTES ARE THERE TO HELP YOU REMEMBER AND ASSIST IN YOUR MEMORY. SO DO NOT BE OVERLY INFLUENCED BY YOUR NOTES OR THOSE OF OTHER JURORS.

FROM TIME TO TIME DURING THE TRIAL, IT MAY BE NECESSARY

FOR ME TO TALK TO THE LAWYERS OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE. I

RARELY IF EVER DO THIS, BUT SOMETIMES IT HAPPENS.

SO EITHER BY HAVING A CONFERENCE AT SIDEBAR OR I MAY ASK
YOU TO LEAVE THE COURTROOM AND I MAY CALL A RECESS. PLEASE
UNDERSTAND THAT WHILE YOU ARE WAITING WE ARE HERE WORKING.
THE PURPOSE OF THE CONFERENCE IS NOT TO KEEP RELEVANT
INFORMATION FROM YOU, BUT TO MAKE SURE AND DECIDE HOW EVIDENCE
IS TO BE TREATED UNDER THE RULES OF EVIDENCE AND TO AVOID
CONFUSION AND ERROR.

I WILL DO WHAT I CAN TO KEEP THE LENGTH AND NUMBER OF
THESE CONFERENCES TO A MINIMUM, AND I DON'T ALWAYS GRANT AN

ATTORNEY A REQUEST FOR A CONFERENCE BECAUSE WE MEET BEFORE YOU GET HERE. BUT IN ANY EVENT, DO NOT CONSIDER MY GRANTING OR DENYING ANY REQUEST ANY INDICATION OF MY OPINION ABOUT THE CASE OR WHAT YOUR VERDICT SHOULD BE.

IN THE FRONT POCKET OF YOUR NOTEBOOKS, THERE IS A BLANK FORM AND WE CAN GIVE YOU MORE IF NEEDED. I ALLOW JURORS TO PROPOSE QUESTIONS TO WITNESSES AFTER THE LAWYERS HAVE COMPLETED THEIR EXAMINATION.

THE PURPOSE IS TO ALLOW YOU TO CLARIFY THE TESTIMONY. YOU ARE NOT TO EXPRESS ANY OPINION ABOUT THE TESTIMONY. YOU ARE NOT TO ARGUE WITH THE WITNESS. IF YOU PROPOSE A QUESTION, REMEMBER THAT YOUR ROLE IS TO BE THE NEUTRAL FACT FINDER, RIGHT? NOT AN ADVOCATE FOR ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER. SO BEFORE I EXCUSE A WITNESS, I'LL OFFER AN OPPORTUNITY AND, IF YOU HAVE A QUESTION, EITHER AT A BREAK OR AT THE END, PLEASE LET ME KNOW, WRITE IT DOWN, AND WE WILL COLLECT IT. I WILL ALWAYS REVIEW THE QUESTION WITH THE LAWYERS TO MAKE SURE IT IS APPROPRIATE AND PROPER UNDER THE RULES OF EVIDENCE.

THERE ARE SOME PROPOSED QUESTIONS THAT I DO NOT PERMIT.

AND THERE ARE SOME WHERE I HAVE CHANGED THE WORDING SLIGHTLY

TO MAKE IT APPROPRIATE. THIS MIGHT HAPPEN, AGAIN, DUE TO THE

RULES OF EVIDENCE OR FOR SOME OTHER LEGAL REASONS OR SOMETIMES

BECAUSE WE EXPECT THE QUESTION TO BE ANSWERED WITH A DIFFERENT

WITNESS AND THIS ISN'T THE APPROPRIATE WITNESS.

SO DO NOT GIVE UNDUE WEIGHT TO QUESTIONS THAT YOU OR

OTHERS PROPOSE, BUT YOU SHOULD EVALUATE THE ANSWERS IN THE SAME MANNER AS YOU WOULD EVALUATE ALL OTHER EVIDENCE IN THE CASE.

BY GIVING YOU THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE QUESTIONS, I'M NOT SUGGESTING OR EVEN REQUESTING THAT YOU DO IT. IT'S JUST YOUR OPPORTUNITY.

LET ME MAKE -- I'LL HAVE SOME MORE INSTRUCTIONS FOR YOU

BEFORE YOU LEAVE AT THE END OF THE DAY. LET ME SAY THIS: IN

TERMS OF HOW THE TRIAL PROCEEDS, EACH SIDE IS GOING TO BE

GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE AN OPENING STATEMENT. REMEMBER

AN OPENING STATEMENT IS NOT EVIDENCE. IT'S JUST SIMPLY AN

OUTLINE TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT EACH SIDE BELIEVES THE

EVIDENCE WILL SHOW.

THE PLAINTIFF WILL, AFTER THIS, TOMORROW -- BEGINNING

TOMORROW THE PLAINTIFF WILL PRESENT EVIDENCE AND THEN COUNSEL

FOR DEFENSE MAY CROSS-EXAMINE AND THEN THE DEFENSE GETS TO

PRESENT ITS CASE. AFTER ALL THE EVIDENCE HAS BEEN PRESENTED,

I'LL INSTRUCT YOU ON THE LAW THAT APPLIES TO THE CASE AND THE

ATTORNEYS THE MAKE CLOSING ARGUMENTS, AFTER WHICH YOU WILL GO

INTO THE JURY ROOM TO DELIBERATE.

ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS I'LL DO AFTER OPENINGS IS TO READ YOU THE FACTS THAT THE PARTIES HAVE STIPULATED TO, SO YOU WILL BE INSTRUCTED TO TREAT THOSE FACTS AS PROVEN AND YOU ACTUALLY HAVE A COPY OF THEM THERE IN YOUR BINDER, WHICH I THINK SOME OF THE PARTIES MAY REFER TO. BUT IN TERMS OF KEEPING AN OPEN

MIND, LET ME SUGGEST TO YOU THE FOLLOWING:

THINK ABOUT A TRIAL LIKE A PUZZLE. DOES ANYBODY LIKE

PUZZLES? I'M KIND OF A PUZZLER, SO I LIKE PUZZLES. BUT IN A

TRIAL, THERE IS NO COVER, A LOT OF PIECES. SO THE OPENING

STATEMENTS ARE REALLY IN A WAY, EACH SIDE IS TELLING YOU WHAT

THAT COVER SHOULD LOOK LIKE. THAT'S WHAT AN OPENING STATEMENT

IS. AND THE TRIAL IS US COLLECTING ALL OF THOSE PIECES TO THE

PUZZLE AND PUTTING THEM IN THE BOX.

BUT UNTIL THEY ARE ALL IN THE BOX, WE CAN'T FIGURE OUT
WHAT THE COVER LOOKS LIKE. AND AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, YOU
WILL HAVE ALL THOSE PIECES IN THE BOX AND THEN THE LAWYERS
WILL COME BACK AND THEY WILL ARGUE ABOUT WHAT THEY THINK THAT
COVER LOOKS LIKE, BASED UPON THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE THAT YOU
HEARD.

BUT THEN YOU GET TO GO BACK AND DECIDE WHAT DID ALL THAT
EVIDENCE SHOW? WHAT DOES THE COVER OF THAT BOX LOOK LIKE,
GIVEN THE EVIDENCE THAT WE'VE HEARD? IT IS KIND OF WHY WE
TELL YOU TO WAIT, WAIT BEFORE MAKING A DECISION UNTIL YOU HAVE
ALL THE PIECES. CAN'T DECIDE WHAT THAT COVER LOOKS LIKE UNTIL
YOU KNOW WHAT ALL YOU -- WHAT YOU HAVE. SO PERHAPS THAT WILL
HELP YOU.

OKAY. AT THIS POINT I'M GOING TO ALLOW THE PARTIES TO MAKE THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS. WE WILL BEGIN WITH MR. BURSOR.

YOU MAY PROCEED. AND WE MAY HAVE A COUPLE OF MINUTES TO GET IT SET UP, OR IS IT ALL SET UP?

MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, YOU JUST MENTIONED THAT YOU 1 2 WERE GOING TO READ THE STIPULATED FACTS? 3 THE COURT: WHEN WE GET TO THE EVIDENTIARY PORTION. MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 4 5 THE COURT: BUT THEY DO HAVE IT IN THEIR BINDER IF 6 YOU WANT TO REFER TO IT, MR. BURSOR. WE ARE JUST NOT AT THE 7 EVIDENTIARY PORTION YET. 8 MR. BURSOR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 9 OPENING STATEMENT 10 MR. BURSOR: GOOD MORNING AGAIN. 11 MY NAME IS SCOTT BURSOR, AND I REPRESENT -- I'M ONE OF THE 12 LAWYERS REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS LAWSUIT. AND THE 13 PLAINTIFF IS A GENTLEMEN NAMED IGNACIO PEREZ. HE'S SITTING 14 NEXT TO ME AT THE COUNSEL TABLE RIGHT HERE. MR. PEREZ, CAN 15 YOU STAND UP FOR A MOMENT? 16 THANK YOU. 17 THE OPENING STATEMENT IS MY OPPORTUNITY TO TELL YOU ABOUT 18 THE PROOF WE ARE GOING TO PUT ON AT THE TRIAL. AND ONE OF THE 19 THINGS THAT WE'RE GOING TO PROVE IS THAT ON MAY 28TH OF 2015, 20 MR. PEREZ'S CELLPHONE RANG AND HE ANSWERED THE CALL. AND WE 21 WILL PROVE THAT, WHEN HE ANSWERED THE PHONE CALL, HE HEARD A 22 PRERECORDED MESSAGE, PRESS ONE FOR THIS, PRESS TWO FOR THAT. 23 WE WILL PROVE THAT PHONE CALL LASTED 54 SECONDS. 24 WE WILL PROVE THAT THE PARTY WHO MADE THAT PHONE CALL WAS 25 THE DEFENDANT, RASH CURTIS. WE WILL PROVE THAT THEY MADE THAT

PHONE CALL WITH A MACHINE CALLED AN AUTO DIALER. WE WILL PROVE THAT IT WAS THE GLOBAL CONNECT AUTO DIALER THAT CALLED MR. PEREZ'S CELLPHONE AND WE WILL PROVE THAT THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN JUST THAT ONE TIME ON MAY 28TH, 2015. WE WILL PROVE THAT THE DEFENDANT CALLED MR. PEREZ'S CELLPHONE AT LEAST 26 TIMES BETWEEN MAY 28TH OF 2015 AND JUNE 7 OF 2016.

WE'LL PROVE ALL THOSE THINGS BUT WE ARE GOING TO PROVE

SOMETHING ELSE AS WELL, WHICH IS MR. PEREZ DID NOT OWE ANY

DEBT TO RASH CURTIS OR TO ANY OF RASH CURTIS' CLIENTS. HE WAS

NOT THE PERSON THAT THEY WERE TRYING TO CALL. THEY WERE

TRYING TO CALL SOMEONE ELSE BUT THEY GOT MR. PEREZ'S NUMBER

INSTEAD AND CALLED HIM.

THE JUDGE MENTIONED IN YOUR WHITE BINDER YOU HAVE A SET OF STIPULATED FACTS AND STIPULATED FACT NO. 35 SAYS THAT RASH CURTIS HAS NEVER HAD AN ACCOUNT IN MR. PEREZ'S NAME AND THAT IS TRUE.

SO MR. PEREZ HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THESE PEOPLE BUT THEY CALLED HIM 26 TIMES. WE WILL PROVE THAT HE ANSWERED 14 OF THOSE PHONE CALLS AND THAT HE ANSWERED 14 CALLS THAT WERE SIX SECONDS OR LONGER IN DURATION, INDICATING THAT THE PHONE CALLS WERE MADE WITH A PRERECORDED VOICE. AND THAT IS A VERY SPECIFIC THING FOR ME TO SAY THAT WE ARE GOING TO PROVE BUT THE REASON THAT I CAN SAY SO SPECIFICALLY WHAT WE ARE GOING TO PROVE IS THAT, WHEN A PHONE CALL IS MADE, THERE'S A RECORD OF THE PHONE CALL, JUST LIKE YOU'VE SEEN ON YOUR PHONE BILLS.

AND SO WE WILL PROVE THAT ALL THESE CALLS HAPPENED, WE WILL PROVE THE EXACT DATE AND TIME THEY HAPPENED, WE WILL PROVE THE EXACT LENGTH OF EACH PHONE CALL WITHIN A 62ND MARGIN AND WE WILL PROVE ALL THOSE THINGS WITH THE DEFENDANT'S OWN CALL LOGS.

NOW, BECAUSE HE RECEIVED THESE PHONE CALLS, MR. PEREZ SUED
THE COMPANY, SUED RASH CURTIS FOR VIOLATING A STATUTE CALLED
THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, WHICH THE JUDGE
MENTIONED EARLIER.

THE COURT -- THE COURT DECIDES CERTAIN THINGS AND YOU ARE GOING TO DECIDE CERTAIN THINGS. AND ONE ISSUE THAT MR. PEREZ HAS BROUGHT TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION IS THAT HE'S NOT THE ONLY PERSON THAT RECEIVED THESE PHONE CALLS. WE WILL PROVE THAT THE DEFENDANT MADE 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS THROUGH THREE AUTO DIALERS. YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT THREE AUTO DIALERS IN THIS CASE. ONE OF THEM IS CALLED GLOBAL CONNECT. WE WILL PROVE THE DEFENDANT USED A SECOND AUTO DIALER CALLED VIC, V-I-C. WE WILL PROVE THE DEFENDANT USED A THIRD AUTO DIALER CALLED TCN. WE WILL PROVE THROUGH THOSE THREE AUTO DIALERS THE DEFENDANT MADE 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS.

NOW, SOME OF THOSE CALLS WERE MADE TO PEOPLE THAT OWED

DEBTS AND YOU HEARD A LOT OF DISCUSSION OF THAT DURING VOIR

DIRE, ABOUT DEBT COLLECTORS CALLING ABOUT DEBTS. BUT

MR. PEREZ DID NOT OWE ANY DEBT. AND THERE WERE A LOT OF

PEOPLE LIKE MR. PEREZ, WHO ALSO DID NOT OWE DEBTS, WHO ALSO

GOT A LOT OF THESE PHONE CALLS. WE WILL PROVE THAT THERE WERE 40,420 UNIQUE PHONE NUMBERS THAT THE DEFENDANT CALLED TO PEOPLE WHO WERE NOT DEBTORS ON ACCOUNTS WITH RASH CURTIS AND WE WILL PROVE THAT RASH CURTIS MADE 534,698 PHONE CALLS TO THOSE PEOPLE. AND THE WAY WE WILL PROVE THAT IS WITH THE PHONE BILL, WITH THE CALL LOGS, WITH THE AUTO DIALER CALL LOGS. WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY DID AND WE KNOW EXACTLY WHEN THEY DID IT.

NOW, BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY OF THOSE PEOPLE, THE COURT APPOINTED MR. PEREZ AS CLASS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CERTIFIED CLASSES IN THIS CASE. AND THE COURT APPOINTED MY LAW FIRM, BURSOR & FISHER, AS CLASS COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CERTIFIED CLASSES IN THIS CASE. SO OUR JOB IN THIS CASE IS TO PRESENT THE EVIDENCE, NOT JUST OF THE PHONE CALLS THAT THE DEFENDANT MADE TO MR. PEREZ, BUT ALSO THE PHONE CALLS TO THE 40,420 OTHER PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T HAVE AN ACCOUNT WITH RASH CURTIS BUT STILL GOT ALL THESE PHONE CALLS. AND I'M GOING TO EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW WE'RE GOING TO PRESENT THAT EVIDENCE AND, AT THE END OF THE CASE, YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED TO COUNT THOSE PHONE CALLS AND DETERMINE HOW MANY WERE MADE. AND SO OUR JOB WAS TO COUNT THEM, TO PRESENT YOU THE EVIDENCE OF OUR COUNT, AND —SO THAT YOU CAN EVALUATE THAT EVIDENCE AND DETERMINE HOW MANY PHONE CALLS THERE WERE.

SO, I HAVE SOME SLIDES THAT I AM GOING TO DISPLAY ON YOUR SCREEN TO SHOW YOU HOW WE COUNTED THE PHONE CALLS.

(DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 1 THE CLERK: FRANCES, CAN WE MAKE THIS ONE SHOW UP ON 2 3 THE --THE COURT: IT'S UP. 4 5 THE CLERK: IS IT ON? THE COURT: YOUR MONITOR MAY NOT BE UP BUT I SEE IT 6 7 ON THE FLAT SCREENS. DO YOU SEE LIKE THE LAVA? 8 MR. BURSOR: I SEE BLUE -- MINE IS BLUE, THOUGH. 9 THE CLERK: YOU ARE THE MIDDLE ONE CART VIDEO. DO YOU CLICK? CAN YOU CLICK? 10 11 MR. BURSOR: NO, I'M RIGHT HERE. 12 THE CLERK: THIS IS NOT MY SCREEN. I DON'T KNOW. 13 MR. BURSOR: SOMETIMES IT WORKS AND SOMETIMES IT 14 TAKES A LITTLE TIME. WE ARE GOING TO GET IT SORTED OUT. 15 THERE WE GO. 16 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 17 MR. BURSOR: OKAY. SO OUR JOB IN THIS CASE AS CLASS 18 COUNSEL WAS TO COUNT HOW MANY PHONE CALLS THE DEFENDANTS MADE 19 THAT WERE LIKE THE PHONE CALLS THAT MR. PEREZ RECEIVED. THAT 20 IS NOT AN EASY THING TO DO BECAUSE, AS I SAID, THERE WERE CALL 21 LOGS FOR 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS. IT WAS A BIG DATA CRUNCH 22 JOB. SO THE WAY WE DID THAT WAS WE HAD TO HIRE SOME EXPERTS 23 TO CRUNCH THE DATA. AND I'M GOING TO TELL YOU ABOUT THEM. 24 THE FIRST EXPERT THAT YOU WILL HEAR FROM IS A GENTLEMEN 25 NAMED COLIN WEIR. HE IS AN EXPERT IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND

DATA ANALYSIS. WE HAVE A SECOND EXPERT NAMED ANYA

VERKOVSKAYA. SHE IS AN EXPERT IN CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATION

AND DATA ANALYSIS. AND WE HAVE A THIRD EXPERT, WHOSE NAME IS

RANDALL SNYDER AND HE IS AN EXPERT IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS

TECHNOLOGY.

NOW, I WANT TO BEGIN WITH MR. SNYDER.

I MENTIONED THAT THE PHONE CALLS WERE ALL MADE WITH
TECHNOLOGY THAT IS CALLED AN AUTO DIALER. WHAT THAT MEANS IS
THERE IS NOT A HUMAN BEING PUNCHING BUTTONS ON A PHONE TO MAKE
THESE PHONE CALLS. THERE IS A MACHINE, A COMPUTER THAT DIALS
THE PHONE CALLS VERY FAST AND IN VERY HIGH VOLUME. IN OTHER
WORDS, LOTS OF CALLS ALL AT ONCE.

MR. SNYDER IS AN EXPERT IN THOSE MACHINES AND HOW THEY
WORK AND AN EXPERT IN HOW THOSE MACHINES KEEP RECORDS AND HOW
TO UNDERSTAND THOSE RECORDS. SO MR. SNYDER REVIEWED THE
MANUALS FOR THE AUTO DIALERS, LOOKED AT SAMPLES OF THE
RECORDS, AND TOLD US HOW WE COULD DO OUR JOB, WHICH WAS TO
IDENTIFY THE CALLS THAT WERE MADE, JUST THE CALLS THAT WERE
MADE TO CLASS MEMBERS LIKE MR. PEREZ WHO DID NOT OWE A DEBT.

SO, SINCE YOU ARE GOING TO BE ASKED TO DO THAT COUNT WITH US, HOW MANY PHONE CALLS THERE WERE, I'M GOING TO SHARE WITH YOU THE CRITERIA THAT WE HAD TO USE TO NARROW DOWN THE RECORDS FROM THE 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS THAT WE STARTED WITH, DOWN TO THE 534,698 CALLS TO CLASS MEMBERS THAT WE ENDED UP WITH.

THE COURT: DOES ANYBODY WANT A PEN WHO MAY NOT HAVE

ONE?

2 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

MR. BURSOR: AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR THESE NUMBERS

OVER AND OVER AGAIN, SO IF YOU MISS THE EXACT NUMBER, DON'T

WORRY TOO MUCH BECAUSE I'M GOING TO REPEAT THEM OUITE OFTEN.

SO, OUR JOB IN THIS CASE AS CLASS COUNSEL AND MR. PEREZ'S

JOB AS THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVE WAS TO IDENTIFY THE PHONE

CALLS THAT RASH CURTIS MADE TO PHONE NUMBERS THAT WERE

OBTAINED BY SKIP-TRACING, THAT WERE MADE USING EITHER AN AUTO

DIALER OR PRERECORDED MESSAGE TO CELLPHONE NUMBERS THAT

BELONGED TO NON-DEBTORS. IN OTHER WORDS, PEOPLE LIKE

MR. PEREZ, THEY DIDN'T EVEN HAVE AN ACCOUNT.

SO MR. WEIR STARTED OFF THIS JOB BY LOOKING AT THE DEBTORS ACCOUNT RECORDS. THE ACCOUNT RECORDS ARE CONTAINED IN A DATABASE. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU A SAMPLE OF THAT DATABASE. MR. WEIR ALSO LOOKED AT THE CALL LOGS FOR THE THREE AUTO DIALERS. THOSE CALL LOGS CONTAINED RECORDS OF MORE THAN 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU A SAMPLE OF WHAT THOSE CALL LOGS LOOKED LIKE. AND MR. WEIR CREATED THE SUMMARIES THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE DURING THE TRIAL BECAUSE I CAN'T SHOW YOU A LOG OF 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS. IT'S JUST TOO BIG. SO I HAVE TO SHOW YOU A SAMPLE OR AN EXCERPT SO THAT YOU CAN SEE WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE, AND THEN MR. WEIR WILL TESTIFY ABOUT THOSE DATA AND WHAT HE DID WITH THEM.

SO LET'S START WITH THE DEBTOR ACCOUNTS RECORDS. RASH

CURTIS IS A DEBT COLLECTION AGENCY. THEY HAVE ACCOUNTS OF 1 2 DEBTS THAT HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THEM BY CLIENTS AND THEY 3 STORE THOSE IN A DATABASE. THE DATABASE LOOKS LIKE THIS. THIS IS EXHIBIT 60. IT'S AN EXHIBIT THAT IS GOING TO BE 4 5 IN EVIDENCE DURING THE TRIAL. AND I EXPECT THAT IT IS PROBABLY TOO SMALL FOR YOU TO READ ON THAT SCREEN RIGHT NOW. 6 7 SO -- BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT IT'S A DATABASE THAT INCLUDES 8 DEBTORS' NAMES, DEBTORS' ADDRESSES AND DEBTORS' PHONE NUMBERS. 9 NOW, I'M GOING TO ZOOM IN ON THE TOP RIGHT-HAND PORTION OF THIS EXCERPT FROM THE DATABASE. IT'S EXHIBIT 60. YOU ARE 10 11 GOING TO SEE IT DURING THE TRIAL. THIS WILL NOT BE THE ONLY 12 TIME YOU'RE GOING TO SEE IT. YOU WILL SEE IT PLENTY. 13 THE POINT I WANT TO MAKE ABOUT THIS DATABASE THAT IS 14 IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND IS THAT THE DATABASE HAS FIELDS FOR UP 15 TO TEN PHONE NUMBERS. SO I HOPE THAT THAT IS NOW LEGIBLE. WE 16 ZOOMED IN SO YOU COULD SEE ON THIS MICROSOFT EXCEL EXCERPT 17 FROM THE DATABASE. COLUMNS H THROUGH Q ARE PHONE FIELDS ONE 18 THROUGH TEN. AND THE FIRST ROW IS THE HEADER ROW. IT SAYS 19 PHONE ONE, PHONE TWO, PHONE THREE, UP TO PHONE TEN. I SEE I INADVERTENTLY TOUCHED THE SCREEN AND MADE SOME 20 21 HIGHLIGHTING, SO PLEASE DISREGARD THAT. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO 22 CLEAR IT. I AM GOING TO LEARN HOW TO DO THAT BETTER. 23 I'M JUST SHOWING THIS TO YOU SO YOU CAN SEE THERE ARE TEN 24 PHONE FIELDS. 25 NOW, THERE IS GOING TO BE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE AND

THERE'S ALSO A STIPULATED FACT THAT WHEN RASH CURTIS OBTAINS A

PHONE NUMBER ON A DEBT THAT'S REFERRED TO IT, IT PUTS THOSE

PHONE NUMBERS INTO PHONE FIELDS ONE THROUGH FOUR.

THERE IS ALSO GOING TO BE TESTIMONY, AND IT IS A
STIPULATED FACT IN YOUR BINDER, THAT WHEN RASH CURTIS OBTAINS
A PHONE NUMBER FROM SKIP-TRACING, THAT PHONE NUMBER GOES IN
PHONE FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN.

AND YOU CAN SEE SOME OF THE FIELDS FOR SOME OF THE RECORDS

ARE EMPTY. SO, FOR SOME THEY HAVE SKIP-TRACE NUMBERS AND FOR

SOME THEY DON'T. FOR SOME THEY HAVE NUMBERS PROVIDED BY THE

CLIENT REFERRING THE DEBT AND FOR SOME THEY DON'T.

SO THE FIRST THING MR. WEIR DID WAS HE LIMITED HIS SEARCH
TO JUST THE PHONE NUMBERS APPEARING IN FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN
THAT DO NOT ALSO APPEAR IN ANY OTHER FIELD BECAUSE HE WANTED
TO GET JUST THE SKIP-TRACE NUMBERS AND THAT IS JUST A DATABASE
OPERATION THAT HE DID WITH SOME SOPHISTICATED DATABASE
SOFTWARE. YOU CAN'T DO IT IN MICROSOFT EXCEL. I KNOW A LOT
OF PEOPLE HERE HAVE SEEN EXCEL. BUT WHEN A FILE IS TOO BIG,
YOU CAN'T USE EXCEL FOR VERY LARGE FILES THAT HAVE 7 MILLION
RECORDS. SO MR. WEIR USED A PROGRAM NAMED STATA AND HE MAY
HAVE USED SOME OTHER HIGH-END DATABASE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS IN
ORDER TO DO THIS.

THE FIRST THING HE DID WAS HE LIMITED THE LIST TO THE PHONE NUMBERS THAT APPEARED ONLY IN FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN AND IN NO OTHER SOURCE.

ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE SECOND CRITERIA FOR CLASS MEMBERS IN THIS CASE IS THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE BEEN CALLED EITHER USING AN AUTO DIALER OR WITH A PRERECORDED MESSAGE. IN OTHER WORDS, NOT A MANUALLY DIALED CALL. SO, MR. WEIR MADE SURE THAT EVERY PHONE NUMBER ON HIS LIST WAS CALLED WITH AN AUTO DIALER BECAUSE EVERY NUMBER HE HAD CAME FROM A CALL LOG FOR AN AUTO DIALER.

NOW, THIS IS EXHIBIT 57B. THIS IS AN EXCERPT FROM THE GLOBAL CONNECT —— FROM THE CALL LOG FOR THE GLOBAL CONNECT AUTO DIALER. THERE WERE TENS OF MILLIONS OF PHONE CALLS ON THIS CALL LOG, AND THIS IS JUST, JUST A LIST OF 21 OF THEM THAT I'M JUST SHOWING YOU SO THAT YOU CAN SEE WHAT THE RECORDS LOOK LIKE.

SO THE CALL LOG FOR THE AUTO DIALERS SHOW THE DATE EVERY PHONE CALL WAS MADE. THEY SHOW THE NAME ON THE DEBTOR ACCOUNT, FIRST NAME, LAST NAME. THEY SHOW THE PHONE NUMBER THAT WAS CALLED. THEY HAVE CODES IN COLUMN F. YOU CAN SEE THERE ARE CODES WHETHER THE CALL WAS ANSWERED, WHETHER IT WAS ANSWERED BY A MACHINE, AND THE COLUMN ON THE RIGHT, COLUMN G, SHOWS THE DURATION OF EACH PHONE CALL IN SIX-SECOND INCREMENTS.

WE HAVE A CASE ABOUT COUNTING PHONE CALLS AND THE WAY WE ARE GOING TO PROVE HOW MANY PHONE CALLS WERE MADE IS WITH THE AUTO DIALER CALL LOGS. WE KNOW EXACTLY HOW MANY PHONE CALLS WERE MADE. THIS IS HOW WE ARE GOING TO PROVE IT.

SO THE SECOND CRITERIA FOR OUR CLASS LIST IS THAT THE CALL 1 2 HAS TO HAVE BEEN MADE BY AN AUTO DIALER OR WITH A PRERECORDED 3 MESSAGE BUT EVERY, EVERY PHONE CALL ON THE LIST CAME FROM AN AUTO DIALER CALL LOG. WE WILL PROVE THAT AND MR. WEIR IS 4 5 GOING TO TESTIFY TO THAT. THAT'S WHERE HE GOT THE PHONE 6 NUMBERS FROM, THOSE CALL LOGS. 7 SO, MR. WEIR DID THESE TWO THINGS. HE LIMITED THE LIST TO 8 JUST THE NUMBERS OBTAINED BY SKIP-TRACING IN PHONE FIELDS FIVE 9 THROUGH TEN AND JUST THE AUTO DIALER CALLS. HE MADE THOSE 10 LISTS. THERE WERE A LITTLE OVER 14 MILLION PHONE CALLS ON 11 THOSE LISTS. 12 AND THEN HE GAVE THOSE LISTS TO MS. VERKOVSKAYA, WHO IS 13 THE SECOND EXPERT THAT DID WORK FOR US ON THIS CASE. AND THE 14 REASON HE GAVE THE LIST TO MS. VERKOVSKAYA WAS SO THAT SHE 15 COULD DETERMINE WHICH TELEPHONE NUMBERS WERE CELLPHONES AND 16 SHE COULD ALSO DETERMINE WHICH TELEPHONE NUMBERS BELONGED TO 17 NON-DEBTORS. AND THE WAY THAT SHE DID THAT WAS FIRST SHE HAD THE PHONE 18 19 NUMBER. THIS IS THE SAME CALL LOG WE JUST LOOKED AT FROM THE GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER. YOU CAN SEE THE PHONE NUMBERS IN 20 21 COLUMN E. 22 MS. VERKOVSKAYA TOOK THOSE PHONE NUMBERS AND COMPARED THEM 23 TO A DATABASE, A DATABASE THAT IS MAINTAINED BY A COMPANY 24 CALLED IMS. IT IS A DATABASE OF ALL PHONE NUMBERS IN THE

UNITED STATES. IT'S -- WHEN YOU DIAL A PHONE NUMBER, YOUR

25

PHONE CALL GOES THROUGH THIS DATABASE SO THAT THE PHONE SYSTEM 1 2 KNOWS WHICH COMPANY TO CONNECT IT TO. IT KNOWS IF YOUR PHONE 3 NUMBER IS AFFILIATED WITH VERIZON OR AT&T OR SOME OTHER CARRIER. THAT IS HOW PHONE CALLS GET CONNECTED. THERE IS A 4 5 DATABASE THAT SAYS WHAT PHONE NUMBER BELONGS TO WHAT DEVICE ON WHAT NETWORK. 6 7 SO MS. VERKOVSKAYA TOOK THE 14 MILLION PHONE NUMBERS THAT 8 MR. WEIR GAVE HER AND CHECKED THEM AGAINST THE IMS DATABASE TO 9 NARROW THE LIST DOWN TO ONLY CELLPHONES AND EXCLUDE THE 10 LANDLINES. 11 THE SECOND THING MS. VERKOVSKAYA DID WAS, YOU CAN SEE ON 12 THE CALL LOG THERE'S A NAME ON THE DEBTOR'S ACCOUNT, COLUMN B 13 IS FIRST NAME, COLUMN C IS LAST NAME. SHE COMPARED THE NAME ON THE DEBTOR ACCOUNT TO THE NAME OF 14 15 THE REGISTERED OR CUSTOMARY USER OF THE CELLPHONE TO SEE IF IT 16 MATCHED. IF IT MATCHED, THEN THAT WAS A PERSON WHO WAS A 17 DEBTOR ON THE ACCOUNT AT RASH CURTIS AND THEY WERE EXCLUDED. IF IT DID NOT MATCH, THEN THEY WERE LIKE MR. PEREZ, NO-DEBTORS 18 19 WHO HAD NO ACCOUNT WITH RASH CURTIS, WHO WERE CALLED WHEN THEY 20 SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN CALLED. 21 SO, THE LAST TWO CRITERIA, MS. VERKOVSKAYA CONFIRMED THAT 22 EVERY PHONE NUMBER ON THE CLASS LIST WAS A CELLPHONE BY 23 CROSS-REFERENCING IT WITH THE IMS DATABASE FILES, AND SHE'S 24 GOING TO COME IN AND EXPLAIN THAT, AND SHE CONFIRMED THAT THE

PHONE NUMBERS BELONGED TO NON-DEBTORS BY COMPARING THE NAME,

25

THE DEBTOR NAME ON THE RASH CURTIS ACCOUNT WITH THE HISTORICAL 1 2 CUSTOMARY USER OF THE PHONE NUMBER AS REPORTED TO TWO DATABASE 3 COMPANIES, ONE IS CALLED LEXIS NEXIS AND THE OTHER ONE IS CALLED TRANSUNION. MS. VERKOVSKAYA IS GOING TO COME IN AND 4 5 EXPLAIN HOW SHE DID THAT. SO, THIS PROCESS NARROWED DOWN THE 79 MILLION PHONE CALLS 6 7 TO JUST THE CALLS TO CLASS MEMBERS THAT WERE OBTAINED BY 8 SKIP-TRACING USING AN AUTO DIALER TO A CELLPHONE TO SOMEONE 9 WHO HAD NO ACCOUNT AT RASH CURTIS AND RASH CURTIS HAD NO 10 BUSINESS CALLING. THAT'S OUR LIST. AS I SAID, THERE ARE --WE WILL PROVE THERE ARE 40,420 OF THOSE PEOPLE THAT ARE IN THE 11 CLASS THAT WE WERE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT. 12 13 NOW, MS. VERKOVSKAYA GAVE THE DATA BACK TO MR. WEIR AND 14 MR. WEIR REPORTED IT IN TABLE 4 OF HIS REPORT. I WOULD VERY 15 MUCH LIKE TO CLEAR THIS SCREEN. 16 THE CLERK: I CLEARED. THE CORNER SAYS CLEAR AND YOU 17 HIT THE GLASS. THE COURT: BOTTOM LEFT. 18 19 MR. BURSOR: BOTTOM LEFT. GOT IT. SO WE DID THAT FOUR-STEP PROCESS THROUGH MR. WEIR AND 20 21 MS. VERKOVSKAYA AND THEY -- AND MR. WEIR REPORTED THE RESULTS. 22 NOW, I TOLD YOU THERE IS THREE AUTO DIALERS IN THE CASE THAT 23 RASH CURTIS USED. THE FIRST ONE IS GLOBAL CONNECT AND 24 MR. WEIR COUNTED HOW MANY PHONE CALLS THE DEFENDANTS MADE TO 25 SKIP-TRACED NUMBERS WITH THE GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER AND THE

COUNT WAS 501,043. MR. WEIR -- NOT JUST CALLS, CALLS THAT

WERE PLACED ANSWERED AND AT LEAST SIX SECONDS IN DURATION. IF

THE CALL WAS PLACED AND WAS NOT ANSWERED, IT WAS EXCLUDED FROM

THE LIST. MR. WEIR DID THE SAME THING FOR THE TCN DIALER AND

HE FOUND THAT THERE WERE 31,064 PHONE CALLS THAT THE DEFENDANT

PLACED TO NON-DEBTORS TO NUMBERS OBTAINED BY SKIP-TRACING TO

THEIR CELLPHONES WITH THE TCN AUTO DIALER.

AND WITH RESPECT TO THE VIC DIALER, MR. WEIR DETERMINED

THAT THERE WERE -- SO THESE THREE YOU HAVE TO ADD TOGETHER AND

THE COPY OF THE SLIDE THAT I HAVE DOESN'T HAVE MY NOTES ON IT

BUT I BELIEVE IT IS 1,679 PLUS 237 PLUS 675. IF I REMEMBER

CORRECTLY, THAT ADS UP TO 2,591 BUT I'M GOING TO CHECK THE

MATH ON THAT. I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU THIS SLIDE MANY, MANY

TIMES -- THIS TABLE 4 -- MANY, MANY TIMES DURING THE TRIAL.

THAT IS WHAT THIS TRIAL IS ABOUT.

AND IT'S A LITTLE BIT -- IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT FOR ME, BECAUSE ORDINARILY AS THE PLAINTIFFS' LAWYER, I STAND UP DURING THE OPENING STATEMENT AND I SAY I'M GOING TO ASK YOU FOR MONEY. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO DO THAT HERE. I'M NOT GOING TO ASK YOU FOR MONEY. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO COUNT THE PHONE CALLS. THERE IS GOING TO BE A VERDICT FORM THAT IS GOING TO REQUIRE YOU TO COUNT THE PHONE CALLS. AND WE ARE GOING TO PRESENT THIS COUNT TO YOU AND WE'RE GOING TO ASK YOU TO WRITE CERTAIN OF THESE NUMBERS ONTO THE VERDICT FORM.

AND I EXPECT THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL DISPUTE THIS COUNT

BUT THEY DON'T HAVE A DIFFERENT COUNT. SO I BELIEVE THIS 1 2 COUNT IS GOING TO BE THE ONLY ONE YOU'RE GOING TO SEE DURING 3 THE TRIAL. SO WE WILL PROVE THAT THE PHONE CALLS LISTED ON TABLE 4 ARE THE PHONE CALLS THE DEFENDANT MADE. WE WILL PROVE 4 5 EVERY ONE OF THEM WAS MADE TO A SKIP-TRACED CELLPHONE NUMBER 6 OF A NON-DEBTOR, TO OTHER CLASS MEMBER. AFTER WE PROVE THAT, 7 WE ARE GOING TO REST AND ASK YOU FOR A VERDICT THAT CONFIRMS 8 OUR COUNT. 9 THANK YOU. 10 THE COURT: MR. ELLIS. 11 MR. ELLIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE CLERK: SO, DEFENSE, YOU WILL HAVE YOUR --13 MR. VALENTI: PLEASE. 14 THE CLERK: OKAY. 15 OPENING STATEMENT 16 MR. ELLIS: IT IS, IT IS NOW AFTERNOON SO I WILL SAY 17 GOOD AFTERNOON. SO AS I SAID IN VOIR DIRE, I GET TO GO SECOND. AND I 18 19 THINK SUFFICE IT TO SAY WE ARE HERE BECAUSE THERE IS A DISPUTE 20 IN THE EVIDENCE. OKAY? 21 SO NOW I'M GOING TO RUN THROUGH REALLY OUICKLY WHAT I 22 THINK THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW, BUT I DO WANT TO GO BACK 23 AND SAY THERE IS... THERE IS ONE THING THAT MR. BURSOR SAID 24 THAT I AGREE ABOUT. 25 THIS CASE IS REALLY GOING TO ALMOST BREAK DOWN INTO TWO

CASES. THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME FACTS ABOUT MR. PEREZ, WHO IS 1 2 SITTING HERE AT THE TABLE, AND HOW MANY PHONE CALLS CAME IN TO 3 HIM OR DIDN'T COME IN, AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO HAVE ALMOST REALLY SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT WITH RESPECT TO THE 4 5 CLASS, AND HOW MANY PHONE CALLS WENT TO THE CLASS AND HOW AND 6 WHY. ALL RIGHT? 7 SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, LET ME RIP 8 INTO MY OPENING STATEMENT. 9 AGAIN, I REPRESENT -- NEXT SLIDE? 10 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) SO, AGAIN, THIS IS WHAT I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO 11 12 SHOW. SO I'VE TRIED MORE THAN A HUNDRED CASES, AND THE ONE 13 THING I CAN SAY TO YOU FOLKS IS THAT AT THE BEGINNING WHAT I 14 THOUGHT THE EVIDENCE -- WHAT THE EVIDENCE WAS GOING TO SHOW IS 15 NEVER EXACTLY THE SAME FOR EITHER SIDE. AS IT TURNS OUT, 16 THINGS HAPPEN DURING TRIAL. BUT THIS IS WHAT WE BELIEVE THE 17 EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW. SO RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES. THEY ARE A DEBT COLLECTION 18 19 AGENCY. AND THEY SPECIALIZE IN COLLECTING ON MEDICAL DEBT. 20 SO THE DEBT GETS SENT TO THEM FROM HOSPITALS, AND DOCTOR'S OFFICES, DENTAL OFFICES, SO FORTH AND SO. YOU CAN SEE THAT IT 21 22 HAS ITS PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS THERE IN VACAVILLE, 23 CALIFORNIA. AND IT HAS ABOUT 60 EMPLOYEES, AND IT HAS 30 OR 24 40 COLLECTORS, NOT ALL OF WHICH ARE ON THE FLOOR AT THE SAME 25 TIME. AND AS I SAID, IT COLLECTS MOSTLY DEBT FOR HEALTHCARE

PROVIDERS. 1 2 AND SO WHEN AN ACCOUNT GETS ASSIGNED TO RASH CURTIS, THE 3 INFORMATION IT RECEIVES TYPICALLY COMES FROM THE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS THEMSELVES. AND SO --4 5 LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 6 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 7 SO WHAT IT TYPICALLY GETS WITH AN ASSIGNMENT IS SO-CALLED 8 DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION. OKAY? SO WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT IT 9 GETS PHONE NUMBERS, IT GETS ADDRESSES, PLACES OF EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL OF THIS IS TYPICALLY DELIVERED TO RASH CURTIS 10 11 ELECTRONICALLY, COMES OVER IN COMPRESSED FILES, AND THEN IT IS 12 DOWNLOADED INTO RASH CURTIS' SOFTWARE OF VARIOUS TYPES. 13 IT'S GOT COLLECTION SOFTWARE THAT IS PROPRIETARY THAT'S 14 MADE -- ONE OF THINGS ABOUT THIS TRIAL, BY THE WAY, LADIES AND 15 GENTLEMEN, IN TERMS OF GOING INTO A TRIAL, YOU ARE GOING TO 16 LEARN LOTS OF INFORMATION ABOUT A FIELD THAT YOU PROBABLY DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT. I HOPE YOU FIND IT INTERESTING. 17 18 BUT -- SO THIS INFORMATION COMES IN FROM THE HEALTHCARE 19 PROVIDERS, HOSPITALS, AND SO FORTH. AND WHY DOES IT GET THAT 20 INFORMATION? WELL, IT NEEDS TO HAVE A GOOD ADDRESS, A GOOD 21 PHONE NUMBER, TO REACH OUT AND CONTACT THE DEBTOR, AND BEGIN 22 THE PROCESS OF ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT THE DEBT. 23 ONE OF THE FACTS THAT IS NOT ON THE SLIDE, BUT THAT YOU 24 WILL HEAR IS THAT IS TYPICALLY THE HARDEST THING FOR A 25 COLLECTION AGENCY TO DO IS TO ACTUALLY CONNECT WITH THE DEBTOR

AND THEN BEGIN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO GET IT PAID OR INTO A 1 2 PAYMENT PLAN, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND THAT'S ONE OF THE 3 REASONS WHY THERE ARE SO MANY PHONE CALLS THAT GET MADE. THAT'S BECAUSE MOST OF THEM NEVER CONNECT. NEVER CONNECT. 4 5 SO WHERE DOES THIS INFORMATION COME FROM? THE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS THAT RASH CURTIS GETS. WELL, IT COMES FROM -- THINK 6 7 ABOUT GOING TO THE DENTIST. YOU GO IN, THERE'S AN ADMISSION 8 FORM. THERE'S A, YOU KNOW, AGREEMENT TO PAY. YOU KNOW, IT 9 MAY SAY WE WILL BILL YOUR INSURANCE, BUT BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. 10 DOWN AT THE BOTTOM IT SAYS, GIVE US THE CONTACT INFORMATION, GIVE US YOUR BEST ADDRESS. 11 12 THAT'S WHERE THE INFORMATION COMES FROM. AND IT COMES 13 WITH THAT ORIGINAL ASSIGNMENT ELECTRONICALLY INTO RASH CURTIS 14 AND IT BEGINS TO AUTO POPULATE IN THE COLLECTION SOFTWARE. 15 UNDERSTAND? MAKE SENSE? OKAY. 16 SO IN DOING THIS, YOU CAN SEE THIS LAST BULLET PART --17 BULLET POINT IS THAT RASH, YOU KNOW, THESE ARE ALL PART OF ITS 18 EFFORTS TO COLLECT A DEBT. AND, AGAIN, MOST OF THESE -- AND 19 THIS WILL HAVE SOME INTERESTING -- YOU'LL SEE WITH RESPECT TO 20 THE FACTS AS THEY COME IN, WITH RESPECT TO MR. PEREZ, IN TERMS 21 OF THE ACTUAL COMMUNICATIONS WITH HIM. 22 NEXT SLIDE. 23 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 24 SO THIS CASE, AS MR. BURSOR SAID THOUGH, REALLY COMES DOWN 25 TO THAT -- REMEMBER HE GAVE YOU FOUR THINGS THAT HE SAID THAT

HE WAS GOING TO PROVE? I TOOK THE NOTES. BUT THE VERY FIRST 1 2 THING THAT HE SAID THAT HIS EXPERTS WERE GOING TO SHOW WAS 3 THAT THE NUMBERS THAT RASH CURTIS CALLED WERE OBTAINED THROUGH SKIP-TRACING. OKAY? 4 5 HERE IS WHAT SKIP-TRACING IS. SKIP-TRACING IS A SOFTWARE THAT IS SOLD BY VARIOUS VENDORS THAT ARE ANCILLARY WITH THE 6 7 DEBT COLLECTION INDUSTRY. SO LEXIS NEXIS MAY HAVE IT. THERE 8 MAY BE EXPERIAN, WHICH IS -- TYPICALLY ACTS AS A DEBT REPORTER 9 AS TO... AS TO YOUR CREDIT SCORE. BUT YOU CAN SEE WHAT THEY -- WHAT SKIP-TRACING IS FOR 10 11 RIGHT HERE. THIS IS WHAT OUR EVIDENCE IS GOING TO PROVE. 12 THEY DON'T JUST SKIP-TRACE FOR TELEPHONE NUMBERS. OKAY? THEY 13 DON'T. THEY SKIP-TRACE FOR CURRENT ADDRESSES, PLACES OF 14 EMPLOYMENT, WORK NUMBERS, FAX NUMBERS, ADDITIONAL ADDRESSES, 15 SPOUSE SOMETIMES. 16 AGAIN, WHY DO THEY TRY TO GATHER ALL THIS INFORMATION? 17 BECAUSE THEY WANT TO MAKE A CONNECTION WITH THE DEBTOR SO THEY CAN BEGIN THE COLLECTION PROCESS. 18 19 AND SO YOU CAN SEE HERE, THEY ALSO GO IN AND THEY FIND 20 THINGS LIKE THIS. HAVE YOU FILED FOR BANKRUPTCY? BECAUSE IF 21 YOU ARE IN BANKRUPTCY, A COLLECTION AGENCY CAN'T COLLECT 22 AGAINST YOU. IT'S AGAINST THE LAW. 23 THEY LOOK FOR THE EMPLOYMENT. THEY SOMETIMES LOOK FOR ASSET INFORMATION TO SEE IF YOU'VE GOT CREDIT CARDS, YOU KNOW, 24 25 AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

SO RASH CURTIS, TO SUM UP, USES THE SKIP-TRACING TO OBTAIN 1 2 INFORMATION PERTINENT TO HELPING IT WITH ITS COLLECTIONS. 3 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) NOW, IN RASH CURTIS, IT MAINTAINS A DATABASE WHICH 4 5 CONTAINS ALL THE DEBTOR INFORMATION OF DEBTORS THAT HAVE BEEN 6 DENT TO IT TO BE COLLECTED AGAINST. YOU CAN SEE, THIS IS 7 WHAT'S KNOWN AS BEYOND. SO BEYOND IS A CUSTOM MADE SOFTWARE 8 PLATFORM WHICH IS MANUFACTURED BY A COMPANY NAMED DAKCS, WHICH 9 IS BACK EAST, WHICH CREATES THE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS. 10 NOW, THIS IS WHERE MR. BURSOR AND I AGREE. SO BEYOND HAS 11 TEN PHONE FIELDS IN IT. AND THESE ARE USED FOR STORING PHONE 12 NUMBERS ASSOCIATED WITH A DEBTOR ACCOUNT AT RASH CURTIS. AND 13 SO THE -- THESE PHONE FIELDS BREAK DOWN INTO -- MR. BURSOR AND 14 I AGREE ON THIS -- INTO TWO SECTIONS. THERE IS ONE THROUGH 15 FOUR, AND THEN THERE IS FIVE THROUGH TEN. ONE THROUGH FOUR 16 ARE THE NUMBERS THAT COME IN FROM RASH'S CREDITOR CLIENTS. 17 YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING? SO THESE HAVEN'T BEEN -- WHEN IT OBTAINS THESE NUMBERS, 18 19 THEY HAVEN'T BEEN SKIP-TRACED. THEY ARE GETTING THEM FROM 20 THEIR CREDITOR CLIENTS. AND THEY PUT THOSE INTO FIELDS ONE 21 THROUGH FOUR, OKAY? AND THESE ARE TYPICALLY CELLPHONE 22 NUMBERS. THEY COULD BE LAND LINES, BUT TYPICALLY THEY ARE 23 CELLPHONE NUMBERS. ARE YOU WITH ME SO FAR? 24 NOW, THEY START CALLING THOSE NUMBERS. RIGHT? BUT MAYBE 25 THE NUMBER HAS BEEN CHANGED. MAYBE IT'S NO LONGER GOOD. AND

SO THEY WILL GO OUT AND THEY WILL BEGIN TO SKIP-TRACE. 1 2 NEXT SLIDE. 3 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) SO THIS IS A KEY SLIDE. AND THE COURT -- THE COURT SAID, 4 5 YOU KNOW, IF YOU WANT TO TAKE NOTES, YOU CAN. IF YOU DON'T WANT TO TAKE NOTES, DON'T. BUT IF THERE'S EVER A TIME TO TAKE 6 7 SOME NOTES, THIS IS -- BECAUSE IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT TO 8 UNDERSTAND THIS FOR THE REST OF THE CASE. IT IS RASH CURTIS' POLICY AND PROCEDURE TO PLACE ONLY 9 CELLPHONE NUMBERS IN PHONE FIELDS THAT THEY HAVE -- LET ME GO 10 11 BACK. 12 IT'S RASH CURTIS' POLICY AND PROCEDURE TO TAKE THE 13 CELLPHONE NUMBERS THAT THEY HAVE OBTAINED BY THEIR CLIENTS WHO 14 HAVE -- WHO IN TURN HAVE OBTAINED THOSE WITH THE CONSENT OF 15 THE DEBTORS, THE PATIENTS, AND PUT THOSE IN PHONE FIELDS ONE 16 THROUGH FOUR. OKAY? 17 THOSE NUMBERS, CELLPHONE NUMBERS THAT THEY HAVE OBTAINED 18 FROM THEIR CLIENTS, RASH THEN LOADS INTO ITS AUTO DIALERS AND 19 CALLS THOSE NUMBERS WITH AUTO DIALERS. WHY? BECAUSE THEY 20 HAVE GOT THE CONSENT OF THE PATIENT AS PROVIDED THROUGH THE 21 CREDITOR CLIENT TO DO SO. OKAY? 22 NOW, RASH CURTIS' POLICY AND PROCEDURE IS TO PLACE PHONE 23 NUMBERS THAT THEY HAVE GOTTEN FROM OTHER PLACES, EVEN FROM --24 EVEN FROM THE PATIENTS THEMSELVES THAT DON'T FIT INTO ONE 25 THROUGH FOUR, PHONE FIELDS ONE THROUGH FOUR; THEY PLACE THOSE

IN PHONE FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN. 1 2 NOW, THIS IS WHERE I THINK THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO PART 3 WAYS AND WHERE I -- WHERE OUR CASE PARTS WAYS WITH THE PLAINTIFFS' CASE. BECAUSE PLAINTIFFS' POSITION AND EVIDENCE 4 5 THROUGH THEIR EXPERTS, WHO I WILL COME BACK TO AND TALK ABOUT 6 AT THE VERY END, THEIR EXPERTS CLAIM THAT EVERY ONE OF THOSE 7 501 AND SOME THOUSAND PHONE CALLS WERE SKIP-TRACED. 8 AND I'M GOING TO TELL YOU WRITE RIGHT NOW I DO NOT BELIEVE 9 THAT IS WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW. YOU'VE HEARD FROM ME DURING VOIR DIRE ABOUT BURDEN OF 10 PROOF. YOU'VE HEARD FROM THE COURT, SHE READ AN INSTRUCTION 11 12 ON BURDEN OF PROOF. IT IS THE PLAINTIFFS' BURDEN OF PROOF TO 13 DEMONSTRATE TO YOU THAT THOSE NUMBERS THAT WERE CALLED BY RASH 14 CURTIS WERE OBTAINED BY RASH CURTIS THROUGH SKIP-TRACING. 15 I'M GOING TO TELL YOU I DO NOT BELIEVE AT THE END OF THE DAY

NOW, I WILL SAY THIS, AND LOOK AT THE FIRST BULLET POINT.

NUMBERS THAT WERE OBTAINED BY SKIP-TRACING WERE PUT IN PHONE

FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN, BUT NOT ALL PHONE NUMBERS IN PHONE

FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN ARE SKIP-TRACED. DO YOU UNDERSTAND MY

POINT THERE?

THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW THAT.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO WHO KNOWS HOW MANY SKIP-TRACED NUMBERS ARE IN THERE?

I'M GOING TO TELL YOU THAT I DON'T THINK THAT MR. SNYDER, OR

MR. WEIR, OR MS. VERKOVSKAYA IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO TELL YOU.

AND, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS PLAINTIFFS' BURDEN TO

PROVE THAT EVERY SINGLE NUMBER, AND YOU JUST HEARD IT, THAT 1 2 WAS PUT IN THOSE PHONE FIELDS WAS SKIP-TRACED. IT'S NOT MY 3 BURDEN. I DON'T HAVE TO PUT ON A PIECE OF EVIDENCE IF PLAINTIFF HASN'T MET THEIR BURDEN. 4 5 SO THE NUMBERS IN PHONE FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN UNDER RASH CURTIS' POLICIES AND PROCEDURES SHOULD BE DIALED MANUALLY. 6 7 WHY? BECAUSE THE NUMBERS THAT GO INTO THOSE FIELDS WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE CONSENT TO CALL THOSE NUMBERS. 8 9 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) THIS IS ANOTHER KEY PIECE OF EVIDENCE THAT I THINK THAT 10 11 I'M GOING TO PROVE ON THIS SLIDE RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU. 12 THAT IS, THE PHONE NUMBERS PLACED BY RASH CURTIS IN PHONE 13 FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN ARE OBTAINED BY A VARIETY OF SOURCES, 14 AND FROM A VARIETY OF SOURCES. SO WHAT'S THE FLIP SIDE OF 15 THAT COIN? MEANS ONLY ONE OF THE SOURCES OUT OF MANY MAY BE 16 SKIP-TRACING. 17 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) AS PART OF ITS TCPA COMPLIANCE, IN THE COURSE OF 18 19 COLLECTING DEBTS, RASH CURTIS CALLED CONSUMERS WHOSE NUMBERS 20 WERE IN PHONE FIELDS ONE THROUGH FOUR, THAT IS, NUMBERS THAT 21 HAD BEEN GIVEN TO ITS CREDITOR CLIENTS WITH CONSENT AND IN 22 TURN PASSED ON TO RASH CURTIS, THOSE WERE THE ONLY NUMBERS, 23 ACCORDING TO THE POLICY, THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO BE CALLED WITH

SO PROGRESSIVE OR AUTOMATIC DIALER IS A TYPE OF TELEPHONE

24

25

AN AUTOMATIC DIALER.

EOUIPMENT WHICH AUTOMATICALLY INITIATES PHONE CALLS. THERE I 1 2 GO WITH MY DENTAL SURGERY. I APOLOGIZE. AY-AY-AY. 3 THE GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER IS NO LONGER USED AT RASH CURTIS. THAT FACT PROBABLY DOESN'T MAKE ANY IMPRESSION ON YOU 4 5 AT ALL. BY THE END OF THE CASE WHEN I COME BACK AND SAY THAT 6 THAT'S WHAT THE EVIDENCE SHOWED, IT WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE. 7 NEXT SLIDE. 8 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 9 THE VIC DIALER. AS MR. BURSOR SAID, THERE WERE THREE 10 DIALING PLATFORMS USED AT RASH. THERE WAS THE VIC DIALER. THAT'S THE EARLIEST ONE AND PROBABLY THE -- FOR YOU FOLKS THAT 11 ARE SO TECHNOLOGICALLY SAVVY, IT IS PROBABLY THE MOST 12 13 PRIMITIVE DIALER. AND IT WAS USED IN 2012, I THINK, THROUGH 14 2013, MAYBE EARLY INTO 2014. IT'S NO LONGER USED BY RASH 15 CURTIS ALSO. NOT BECAUSE THE EQUIPMENT IS INHERENTLY EVIL, 16 AND -- OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT, BUT IT JUST GOT TOO OLD. 17 AND, AGAIN, WHILE THIS FACT MAY NOT MAKE ANY IMPRESSION ON 18 YOU RIGHT NOW, REMEMBER IT IF YOU CAN BECAUSE AT THE END OF 19 THE CASE THE FACT THAT THE VIC DIALER IS NO LONGER IN USE IS 20 GOING TO BE IMPORTANT. 21 NEXT SLIDE. 22 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 23 AND NOW THE LAST DIALER THAT RASH USES AND THIS -- IT USED 24 IT IN 2016, 2017, IS THIS SO-CALLED TCN DIALER. JUST ANOTHER 25 DIALING PLATFORM.

CAN YOU GO BACK FOR A SECOND, ANTHONY? 1 2 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 3 AGAIN, THIS IS GOING TO BE IMPORTANT. LET ME GIVE YOU WHAT I THINK THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW. I THINK THE 4 EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW THAT MR. PEREZ, WHEN HE WAS 5 ATTEMPTED TO BE CONTACTED THIS 26 TIMES THAT MR. BURSOR 6 7 ALLUDED TO, THAT WAS ONLY WITH THE GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER. WASN'T WITH THE VIC DIALER AND IT WASN'T WITH THE TCN DIALER. 8 9 I BELIEVE AT THE END OF THE CASE THAT'S GOING TO BE IMPORTANT. 10 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) SO LET'S TALK ABOUT MR. PEREZ SPECIFICALLY. RASH CURTIS' 11 12 CALL LOGS INDICATE THAT IT ATTEMPTED 26 PHONE CALLS TO THE 13 CELLPHONE NUMBER IN 5193, THAT ENDED IN 5193. AGAIN, YOU CAN 14 SEE NONE OF THESE CALLS WERE PLACED WITH THE VIC OR TCN 15 DIALERS. 16 YOU CAN SEE I'M NOT TRYING TO HIDE THE ATTEMPTS, RIGHT? 17 THERE WERE 26 ATTEMPTS. NOW HERE'S WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE 18 ASKED TO EVALUATE. HOW MANY OF THOSE ATTEMPTS ACTUALLY LED 19 THIS GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER AT RASH CURTIS TO REACH OUT AND 20 ACTUALLY CONNECT WITH MR. -- WITH THE PLAINTIFFS' PHONE 21 SYSTEM? LET ME GO BACK AND SAY THAT BECAUSE THAT WASN'T THE 22 GREATEST THING I EVER STATEMENT THAT I EVER MADE. 23 SO THE IDEA -- WHAT YOU HEARD WAS THAT MR. BURSOR SAID 24 THERE WAS A -- THAT FIRST PHONE CALL, REMEMBER HIM SAYING 25 THAT, IN MAY OF 2015, AND HE SAYS WE REACHED OUT AND TALKED

WITH MR. PEREZ.

I WANT YOU TO EVALUATE THAT FROM THE RECORDS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE AND FROM THE TESTIMONY THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE.

AND I -- ONE OF THE THINGS AT THE END OF THE CASE, AS

MR. BURSOR SAID, IS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED TO LIKE

TALLY UP THE NUMBERS. YOU'RE GOING TO TALLY UP THE ACTUAL

PHONE CALLS THAT CONNECTED WITH MR. PEREZ, AND THEN SEPARATELY

YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED TO FIGURE OUT OF THESE 540,000 PHONE

CALLS, ONE, SOME, ANY OF THOSE ACTUALLY GO THROUGH, GET

CONNECTED, AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE EVALUATION OF THE EXPERTS'

TESTIMONY IN A SECOND, BUT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO REALLY,

REALLY SCRUTINIZE THE THREE EXPERTS FOR PLAINTIFF'S TESTIMONY.

HERE IS WHAT I THINK THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW.

THE FIRST 25 PHONE CALLS ATTEMPTED BY RASH CURTIS WENT UNANSWERED. WHY DID THEY KEEP CALLING? BECAUSE RASH CURTIS HAS NOTHING BETTER TO DO THAN TO ANNOY PEOPLE? NO. THEY WERE TRYING TO GET HOLD OF MR. PEREZ. AND HERE'S WHAT I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW. THE FIRST TIME HE ACTUALLY PICKED UP THE PHONE AND SPOKE WITH THEM WAS ON JUNE 7TH, 2016.

(DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

DURING THAT PHONE CALL PEREZ -- MR. PEREZ TOLD RASH CURTIS

HE WAS NOT THE DEBTOR THEY WERE LOOKING FOR. AFTER TALKING TO

MR. PEREZ, PURSUANT TO COMPANY POLICY, THEY TOOK THE 5193

NUMBER OUT OF THE DIALER. THEY TOOK IT OUT SO IT COULDN'T BE

CALLED AGAIN.

AND THE TESTIMONY AND THE EVIDENCE WILL BE UNDISPUTED THAT 1 2 THEY NEVER DARKENED HIS DOORWAY AGAIN ONCE THEY FINALLY 3 CONNECTED WITH HIM AND THEY TOLD -- THEY WERE TOLD THAT HE WAS NOT THE PERSON THEY WERE LOOKING FOR. 4 5 SO, HERE ARE THE TWO KEY ISSUES. 6 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 7 IN THE SMALL CASE, THE PEREZ CASE, WHETHER MR. PEREZ'S 8 CELLPHONE NUMBER ENDING IN 5193 WAS OBTAINED BY SKIP-TRACING. 9 BECAUSE REMEMBER WHAT MR. BURSOR SAID TO YOU? THAT'S THE 10 FIRST CRITICAL OUESTION IN THE FOUR OUESTIONS THAT HE SAID 11 THAT YOU'RE GOING TO LOOK AT. 12 THEY LOSE ON THAT ONE. THAT PART IS OVER. 13 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 14 SECOND KEY ISSUE IS WHETHER THERE IS GOING TO BE EVIDENCE, 15 CREDIBLE, RELIABLE, DEPENDABLE EVIDENCE THAT DEMONSTRATES THAT 16 ANY ONE -- ANY ONE OF THE CLASS MEMBERS CAN SHOW THAT RASH 17 CURTIS OBTAINED THEIR TELEPHONE NUMBER BY SKIP-TRACING AND 18 CALLED IT. 19 WHY IS THAT IMPORTANT? BECAUSE IT'S THE FIRST ELEMENT OF 20 THEIR CASE. AND IF THEY CAN'T PROVE IT TO YOU, IT FALLS, THE CASE FALLS. 21 22 (DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 23 SO HERE ARE THE WITNESSES THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR. SO 24 BOB KEITH YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT HIM -- HE INTRODUCED HIMSELF IN 25 THE COURTROOM. HE'S SITTING AT COUNSEL TABLE BY THE SCREEN.

BOB, CAN YOU STAND UP? 1 2 SO HE'S THE VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS AT RASH CURTIS. 3 CHRIS PAFF IS ALSO AN EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS AT RASH CURTIS, AND HE'LL COME IN. 4 5 NICK KEITH IS THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, THE IT GUY THERE, AND HE WILL TALK TO YOU ABOUT RASH CURTIS' POLICIES AND 6 7 PROCEDURES AND SKIP-TRACING. 8 AND SO WILL DAN CORREA SINCE HE IS THE MANAGER OF THE 9 COLLECTION FLOOR. HE'S THE COLLECTION MANAGER. 10 STEVE KIZER IS AN EX-EMPLOYEE OF RASH CURTIS. HE IS NO 11 FAN OF RASH CURTIS. BUT I BELIEVE YOU WILL GET VALUABLE 12 INFORMATION FROM HIM. HOPEFULLY HE WILL SHOW UP. 13 MR. PEREZ WILL BE ON THE STAND TOMORROW AS I UNDERSTAND 14 IT, AND YOU WILL HEAR FROM MR. BURSOR. HE WILL ELICIT 15 TESTIMONY, AND THEN YOU WILL SEE ME CROSS-EXAMINE MR. PEREZ. 16 NOW, THE LAST THREE WITNESSES, WHICH ARE REALLY THE HEART 17 OF THE CASE, THESE ARE THE EXPERTS FOR PLAINTIFF. 18 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO 19 SHOW THIS; THEY ARE PROFESSIONAL EXPERTS. THE EVIDENCE WILL 20 SHOW SIMPLY IN THIS CASE, BETWEEN THE THREE OF THEM, THEY 21 HAVE -- HAD BILLED THROUGH THE END OF 2018 MORE THAN \$150,000. 22 AND YOU WILL BE ASKED TO EVALUATE THE CREDIBILITY AND 23 RELIABILITY OF THESE EXPERTS, BUT YOU WILL SEE THAT AT LEAST TWO OF THEM ARE REPEATED PLAYERS ON BEHALF OF THIS LAW FIRM. 24 25 SO, \$70,000 FOR -- FOR EXAMPLE, MR. WEIR, WAS PAID THROUGH

THE END OF 2018. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OTHER CASES THE

EVIDENCE WILL SHOW -- WE'LL FIND OUT OVER THE COURSE OF THE

NEXT TWO OR THREE DAYS, WE'LL FIND OUT -- AND YOU WILL SEE AN

EMAIL THAT WILL -- THAT WILL COME IN, JUST AS MR. BURSOR SAID

THERE ARE CERTAIN EXHIBITS THAT WILL COME IN, THERE'S AN EMAIL

FROM ONE OF THE EXPERTS SAYING, WHY DIDN'T YOU INVITE ME TO

YOUR CHRISTMAS PARTY? I'VE MADE YOU ENOUGH MONEY THIS YEAR,

OR WORDS TO THAT EFFECT.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT SOMETIMES JURORS WHO ARE NOT

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT SOMETIMES JURORS WHO ARE NOT TECHNOLOGICALLY SAVVY -- AND LET ME JUST SAY I AM NOT TECHNOLOGICALLY SAVVY, BUT THEY SOMETIMES DEFER OR DON'T WANT TO SECOND-GUESS EXPERTS WHO TELL YOU THAT THERE WERE 541,000 PEOPLE THAT WERE SKIP-TRACED, OR THAT THEY WERE ALL NON-DEBTORS, OR SO FORTH AND SO ON, OR THAT THERE WERE MESSAGES LEFT BECAUSE THE TIME PERIOD IS MORE THAN SIX SECONDS ON THE PHONE BILLS.

YOU WILL NEED TO LOOK AT THAT OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT FOUR OR FIVE DAYS VERY, VERY CAREFULLY TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT YOU BELIEVE THAT EVIDENCE IS CREDIBLE. BECAUSE YOU, AS THE COURT SAID, ARE THE SOLE JUDGES OF THE CREDIBILITY AND THE FACTS.

(DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

SO, THIS REALLY SIMPLY REPEATS. THIS JUST GIVES YOU SOME SPECIFIC INFORMATION HERE. YOU CAN SEE WHEN THE LAWSUIT WAS FILED. YOU CAN SEE WHEN MR. PEREZ WAS APPOINTED CLASS REP.

YOU CAN SEE, AS MR. BURSOR SAID, HIS LAW FIRM WAS APPOINTED AS 1 2 CLASS COUNSEL. 3 THEN WE GET BACK TO THE \$64,000 QUESTION IN THIS CASE, WHICH IS, EACH OF THE CERTIFIED CLASSES REQUIRES, AS A 4 5 PRECONDITION TO MEMBERSHIP, THAT THE CLASS MEMBER'S PHONE NUMBER WHICH WAS CALLED BY RASH CURTIS WAS OBTAINED BY RASH 6 7 CURTIS THROUGH SKIP-TRACING AS OPPOSED TO ONE OF THE OTHER 8 MYRIAD SOURCES THAT IT OBTAINS PHONE NUMBERS, AND PUT IN PHONE 9 FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN. THANK YOU, ALL. I KNOW IT'S BEEN -- IT HAS ONLY BEEN HALF 10 11 A DAY. I KNOW IT HAS BEEN A LONG AND EXHAUSTING DAY TO PICK 12 THE JURY. I WON'T HAVE A CHANCE TO TALK WITH YOU FOLKS AGAIN 13 DIRECTLY UNTIL THE END OF THE CASE, WHETHER IT'S FRIDAY 14 AFTERNOON OR MONDAY, HOWEVER IT TURNS OUT, BUT I HOPE YOU DO 15 ENJOY IT. 16 I THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION. REMEMBER, AS I GO AND SIT 17 DOWN, THAT EVERY TIME PLAINTIFF PUTS A WITNESS ON THE STAND, I 18 WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO CROSS-EXAMINE THAT PERSON SO WAIT UNTIL 19 YOU HEAR ALL THE EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU MAKE A DECISION. AND 20 REMEMBER THE SCALES OF JUSTICE. 21 AND HAVE A NICE DAY. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. ELLIS. 23 OKAY. LET'S -- IF YOU WILL DO A COUPLE OF THINGS BEFORE I 24 LET YOU GO FOR THE DAY. 25 SO GO AHEAD AND GRAB YOUR BINDER. AND BEHIND THE

TELEPHONE REMINDERS THERE IS A CALENDAR. I THINK THAT YOU
WILL PROBABLY, AT THE LATEST, GET THE CASE FOR DELIBERATIONS
BY MONDAY. SO YOU SEE WHERE IT SAYS "DELIBERATIONS TO PROCEED
ALL DAY"? THAT'S REALLY PROBABLY STARTING ON TUESDAY. YOU
WILL PROBABLY BE DELIBERATING BY TUESDAY. ALL RIGHT? JUST
FOR PLANNING PURPOSES.

SO THAT'S ONE THING.

THE NEXT IS I AM GOING TO GO AHEAD, NOW THAT WE'VE HAD THE OPENING STATEMENTS, AND READ TO YOU WHAT ARE THE STIPULATED FACTS. BELIEVE IT OR NOT, THIS IS JUST GOING TO MAKE EVERYTHING GO FASTER, WHICH IS WHY I'M GOING TO READ THEM TO YOU. I UNDERSTAND THAT IT CAN BE TAXING, WHICH IS WHY YOU HAVE THEM IN YOUR BINDER SO YOU CAN FOLLOW ALONG. YOU DON'T HAVE TO TAKE NOTES, BUT THE FOLLOWING FACTS ARE DEEMED TO BE PROVED, AND THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. PLAINTIFF PEREZ FILED A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT ON JUNE 17TH, 2016.
- 2. THE COURT APPOINTED PLAINTIFF PEREZ AS THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CERTIFIED CLASSES IN THIS CASE ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2017.
- 3. THE COURT APPOINTED BURSOR & FISHER AS CLASS COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CERTIFIED CLASSES IN THIS CASE ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2017.
- 4. DEFENDANT RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES IS A NATIONWIDE DEBT COLLECTION AGENCY SPECIALIZING IN THE COLLECTION OF

HEALTHCARE DEBT.

- 5. RASH CURTIS' PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IS VACAVILLE, CALIFORNIA.
- 6. RASH CURTIS OBTAINS DEBTOR ACCOUNTS FROM HEALTHCARE
 PROVIDERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF COLLECTING DEBTS AND MAY INCLUDE
 ONE OR MORE TELEPHONE NUMBERS.
- 7. AS PART OF ITS EFFORTS TO COLLECT DEBT, RASH CURTIS REGULARLY CALLS DEBTORS AND OTHER PEOPLE RELATED TO THEM.
- 8. EACH OF THE CERTIFIED CLASSES REQUIRES, AS A
 PRECONDITION TO MEMBERSHIP, THAT THE CLASS MEMBERS' TELEPHONE
 NUMBERS CALLED BY RASH CURTIS WERE OBTAINED THROUGH
 SKIP-TRACING.
- 9. SKIP-TRACING IS A METHOD OF OBTAINING DEMOGRAPHIC
 INFORMATION ABOUT INDIVIDUALS. SKIP-TRACING IS USED FOR A
 NUMBER OF PURPOSES INCLUDING OBTAINING CONTACT INFORMATION
 SUCH AS HOME TELEPHONE NUMBERS, CURRENT HOME ADDRESSES, LAST
 KNOWN ADDRESSES, PLACES OF EMPLOYMENT, WORK PHONE NUMBERS, FAX
 NUMBERS, SPOUSE PHONE NUMBERS, CELLULAR OR LAND LINE, FAMILY
 MEMBER PHONE NUMBERS, ADDITIONAL ADDRESSES OR PHONE NUMBERS
 SUCH AS NEIGHBORS OR FRIENDS, ASSETS, CREDIT SCORES, AND
 SEARCHING FOR BANKRUPTCY FILINGS. SKIP-TRACING MAY BE
 PERFORMED VIA DATA ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL INFORMATION OBTAINED
 FROM A VARIETY OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE DATABASES. THROUGH
 SKIP-TRACING, A COMPANY LIKE RASH CURTIS MAY OBTAIN NEW
 TELEPHONE NUMBERS, ADDRESSES, AND/OR EMPLOYMENT OR ASSET

INFORMATION ON A DEBTOR OR THIRD PARTIES.

- 10. RASH CURTIS USES SKIP-TRACING TO OBTAIN VARIOUS INFORMATION ABOUT DEBTORS SUCH AS TELEPHONE NUMBERS FOR THE FILES IT RECEIVES WHEN IT DOES NOT CONTAIN TELEPHONE NUMBERS FOR DEBTORS, ADDITIONAL TELEPHONE NUMBERS FOR ACCOUNTS IT RECEIVES THAT DO NOT CONTAIN TELEPHONE NUMBERS, EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION AND, AMONG OTHER THINGS, LOCATION INFORMATION. RASH CURTIS MAY ALSO USE SKIP-TRACING TO OBTAIN OTHER INFORMATION PERTINENT TO ITS COLLECTION ACTIVITY.
- 11. RASH CURTIS STORES THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS IT OBTAINS FROM SKIP-TRACING IN CERTAIN AREAS OF ITS COLLECTION DATABASE.
- 12. RASH CURTIS MAINTAINS A DATABASE CONTAINING ALL OF

 ITS COLLECTION ACCOUNTS. EACH ACCOUNT RECOVERED -- I'M SORRY.

 EACH ACCOUNT RECORD HAS TEN TELEPHONE NUMBER FIELDS USED FOR

 STORING PHONE NUMBERS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ACCOUNT.
- 13. IT IS RASH CURTIS' POLICY AND PROCEDURE TO PLACE
 TELEPHONE NUMBERS THAT IT RECEIVES FROM ITS CREDITOR CLIENTS
 IN PHONE FIELDS ONE THROUGH FOUR.
- 14. IT IS RASH CURTIS' POLICY AND PROCEDURE TO PLACE THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS THAT IT OBTAINS FROM SKIP-TRACING IN PHONE FIELDS FIVE THROUGH TEN.
- 15. IN THE COURSE OF COLLECTING DEBTS, RASH CURTIS
 REGULARLY CALLS CONSUMERS USING GLOBAL CONNECT, A PROGRESSIVE
 DIALER.
 - 16. A PROGRESSIVE DIALER IS A TYPE OF AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE

DIALER WHEREBY THE EQUIPMENT INITIATES OUTBOUND TELEPHONE
CALLS BY PROGRESSIVELY DIALING THROUGH A STORED LIST OF
TELEPHONE NUMBERS.

17. AT THE TIME RASH CURTIS WAS USING GLOBAL CONNECT,

- 17. AT THE TIME RASH CURTIS WAS USING GLOBAL CONNECT,
 GLOBAL CONNECT COULD MAKE TEN SIMULTANEOUS CALLS PER EACH OF
 RASH CURTIS' AVAILABLE DEBT COLLECTION AGENTS.
- 18. GLOBAL CONNECT COULD MAKE THOUSANDS OF CALLS WITHIN MINUTES.
- 19. THE GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER ALLOWS FOR CALLING TELEPHONES USING A PRERECORDED VOICE.
- 20. RASH CURTIS' EMPLOYEES WOULD LOAD LISTS OF NUMBERS
 FOR GLOBAL CONNECT TO CALL PRIOR TO THE GLOBAL CONNECT PLACING
 CALLS.
- 21. RASH CURTIS' GLOBAL CONNECT DIALER IS AN AUTOMATIC
 TELEPHONE DIALING SYSTEM UNDER THE TCPA. THAT'S TITLE 47 OF
 THE UNITED STATES CODE, AT SECTION 227(A)(1).
- 22. IN THE COURSE OF COLLECTING DEBTS, RASH CURTIS
 REGULARLY CALLED CONSUMERS USING VIC, A PREDICTIVE DIALER, OR
 VIC.

A PREDICTIVE DIALER IS A TYPE OF AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE

DIALER THAT PROVIDES THE CAPABILITY TO PREDICT THE

AVAILABILITY OF CALLS CENTER AGENTS THAT CAN RESPOND TO THE

OUTBOUND CALLS THAT HAVE BEEN DIALED BY THE PREDICTIVE DIALING

SYSTEM AND ANSWERED BY THE CALLED PARTY. THE VIC DIALER

UTILIZES DEBT COLLECTION BUSINESS SOFTWARE SOLD BY A COMPANY

CALLED DAKCS. 1 2 AT THE TIME RASH CURTIS WAS USING VIC, VIC COULD MAKE A 3 MINIMUM OF THREE SIMULTANEOUS CALLS FOR EACH OF RASH CURTIS' AVAILABLE DEBT COLLECTION AGENTS. 4 5 THE VIC DIALER ALLOWS FOR CALLING TELEPHONES USING A PRERECORDED VOICE. 6 7 RASH CURTIS' --8 27. RASH CURTIS' EMPLOYEES WOULD LOAD LISTS OF NUMBERS 9 FOR VIC TO CALL PRIOR TO VIC PLACING CALLS. 28. THE COURT HAS ALREADY DETERMINED THAT RASH CURTIS' 10 11 VIC DIALER IS AN AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE DIALING SYSTEM UNDER THE 12 TCPA, TITLE 47, U.S. CODE, SECTION 227(A)(1). 13 29. IN THE COURSE OF COLLECTING DEBTS, RASH CURTIS 14 REGULARLY CALLS CONSUMERS USING TCN, A PREDICTIVE DIALER. 15 TCN CAN MAKE TEN SIMULTANEOUS CALLS PER EACH OF RASH 16 CURTIS' AVAILABLE DEBT COLLECTION AGENTS. 17 31. RASH CURTIS' EMPLOYEES LOAD LISTS OF NUMBERS FOR TCN 18 TO CALL PRIOR TO TCN PLACING CALLS. 19 32. RASH CURTIS' TCN DIALER IS AN AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE 20 DIALING SYSTEM UNDER THE TCPA SECTION 47 -- TITLE 47, U.S. 21 CODE, SECTION 227(A)(1). 33. RASH CURTIS' CALL LOGS SHOW THAT IT MADE 26 CALLS TO 22 23 PLAINTIFF PEREZ'S CELLPHONE, ALL OF WHICH WERE PLACED THROUGH ITS DIALING SYSTEM GLOBAL CONNECT AND NONE OF WHICH WERE 24

PLACED THROUGH THE VIC OR TCN DIALER. THE CALLS WERE PLACED

25

```
ON THE FOLLOWING DATES: (1) JUNE 3RD, 2015, (2) FEBRUARY 24,
 1
 2
       2016, (3) MARCH 2, 2016, (4) MARCH 9TH, 2016, (5) MARCH 15TH,
 3
       2016, (6) MARCH 28TH, 2016, (7) APRIL 5TH, 2016, (8) APRIL 6,
       2016, (9) APRIL 13TH, 2016, (10) APRIL 18TH, 2016, (11)
 4
 5
      APRIL 20TH, 2016, (12), APRIL 22ND, 2016, (13), APRIL 25TH,
       2016, (14) APRIL 27TH, 2016, (15) MAY 12TH, 2016, (16)
 6
 7
      MAY 16TH, 2016, (17) MAY 20TH, 2016, (18) MAY 24TH, 2016, (19)
 8
      MAY 25TH, 2016, (20) MAY 26TH, 2016, (21), MAY 27TH, 2016,
 9
       (22) MAY 22ND (SIC), 2016, (23) MAY 31ST, 2016, (24) JUNE 1ST,
       2016, (25) JUNE 3RD, 2016, AND (26) JUNE 7TH, 2016.
10
11
           34. RASH CURTIS' CALL LOGS SHOW THAT IT MADE 14 CALLS TO
12
       PLAINTIFF PEREZ WHERE THE CALL LASTED SIX SECONDS OR LONGER
13
       INDICATING POSSIBLE USE OF AN ARTIFICIAL OR PRERECORDED VOICE.
14
           NO. 35. RASH CURTIS HAS NEVER HAD AN ACCOUNT IN PLAINTIFF
15
      PEREZ'S NAME.
16
           36. PLAINTIFF PEREZ TOLD RASH CURTIS TO STOP CALLING HIS
17
       CELLPHONE ON JUNE 7TH, 2016.
18
           37. RASH CURTIS LACKED PRIOR EXPRESS CONSENT TO CALL
19
      PLAINTIFF PEREZ.
20
           THOSE FACTS ARE DEEMED PROVED.
21
           I'M GOING TO RELEASE YOU TO GO INTO THE JURY ROOM AT WHICH
22
       POINT MY COURTROOM DEPUTY WILL GIVE YOU YOUR JURY BADGE,
23
       SHE'LL GIVE YOU BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT HOW TO GET INTO THE
       JURY ROOM AT THE BEGINNING OF EACH DAY. AND WE WILL TAKE YOUR
24
25
       CELLPHONE NUMBERS.
```

SHE'LL ALSO GIVE YOU A TELEPHONE NUMBER THAT YOU CAN GIVE
TO YOUR FAMILY IN THE EVENT OF AN EMERGENCY. WE ARE CONNECTED
ELECTRONICALLY, MY COURTROOM DEPUTY AND I. SO THE PHONE
NUMBERS SHE GIVES YOU IS A PHONE NUMBER THAT IS PICKED UP
WHILE WE ARE IN SESSION. IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY, I CAN
ASSURE YOU THAT SOMEONE WILL PICK UP THE PHONE, LET FRANCES
KNOW WHO WILL LET ME KNOW, AND I WILL STOP THE PROCEEDINGS.
SO I DON'T WANT YOU TO WORRY ABOUT THAT. SO THAT YOU KNOW,
THAT IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY, WE WILL GET THAT INFORMATION TO
YOU. OKAY?

NOW, A REMINDER TO PLEASE KEEP AN OPEN MIND THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL. DO NOT DECIDE WHAT THE VERDICT SHOULD BE UNTIL I'VE SENT IT TO YOU FOR DELIBERATIONS.

A REMINDER THAT YOU CAN ONLY DECIDE THE CASE ON THE EVIDENCE THAT'S HERE IN THE COURTROOM.

YOU ARE INSTRUCTED THAT YOU MAY NOT COMMUNICATE WITH
ANYONE IN ANY WAY AND DO NOT LET ANYONE COMMUNICATE WITH YOU
IN ANY WAY ABOUT THE MERITS OF THIS CASE OR ANYTHING TO DO
WITH IT. THIS INCLUDES DISCUSSING THE CASE IN PERSON, IN
WRITING, BY PHONE, OR ELECTRONIC MEANS, VIA EMAIL, TEXT
MESSAGING, OR ANY INTERNET CHAT ROOM, BLOG, WEBSITE OR
APPLICATION INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO FACEBOOK, YOUTUBE,
TWITTER, INSTAGRAM, LINKEDIN, SNAPCHAT, OR SOME OTHER SOCIAL
MEDIA FORM. I SHOULD UPDATE MY LIST. THEY ARE CHANGING ALL
THE TIME. EVERYTHING APPLIES. YOU CANNOT USE IT.

THIS APPLIES TO COMMUNICATING WITH YOUR FELLOW JURORS

UNTIL I GIVE YOU THE CASE FOR DELIBERATION. IT APPLIES TO

COMMUNICATING WITH EVERYONE ELSE, INCLUDING FAMILY MEMBERS,

EMPLOYERS, THE MEDIA, THE PRESS, ANYBODY INVOLVED IN THE

TRIAL. YOU CAN NOTIFY YOUR FAMILY AND EMPLOYER THAT YOU HAVE

BEEN SEATED AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND HOW LONG IT'S EXPECTED

TO PROCEED.

BUT IF YOU ARE ASKED OR APPROACHED IN ANY WAY ABOUT YOUR SERVICE OR ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, YOU MUST TELL THEM THAT YOU HAVE BEEN ORDERED NOT TO RESPOND, AND PLEASE REPORT THAT CONTACT TO ME SO THAT I CAN INVESTIGATE.

BECAUSE YOU WILL RECEIVE ALL THE EVIDENCE AND LEGAL
INSTRUCTION THAT YOU MAY CONSIDER TO RETURN A VERDICT, DO NOT
READ, WATCH, OR LISTEN TO ANY NEWS OR MEDIA ACCOUNTS OR
COMMENTARY ABOUT THE CASE OR ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT. DO NOT
DO ANY RESEARCH. DO NOT GO FIGURE OUT ANYTHING ABOUT THESE
DIALERS. DO NOT FIGURE OUT ANYTHING ABOUT THE TCPA. DO NOT
CONSULT DICTIONARIES. DO NOT SEARCH THE INTERNET OR USE ANY
OTHER REFERENCE MATERIALS. DO NOT MAKE ANY INVESTIGATION.

IF YOU GET A PHONE CALL ON YOUR PHONE, DO NOT COUNT HOW MANY SECONDS. OKAY? DO NOT DO ANY OF THAT.

DO NOT RESEARCH THE LAW, OR THE PEOPLE, OR THE WITNESSES,
OR THE LAWYERS. YOU CAN DO ALL OF THAT WHEN YOU ARE EXCUSED
BUT YOU CANNOT DO IT NOW.

REALLY, THE REASON THAT I HAVE ALL THESE RULES IS BECAUSE

IT'S CRITICALLY IMPORTANT THAT EACH SIDE GET A FAIR TRIAL AND YOU ALL SEE THE EXACT SAME EVIDENCE AND CONSIDER THE EXACT SAME THINGS WHEN YOU GO BACK THERE TO DECIDE THE CASE.

SO ALL OF THESE RULES ARE HERE TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE

FOLKS GET A FAIR TRIAL. AND ANY JUROR WHO VIOLATES THESE RULES REALLY DOES JEOPARDIZE THE FAIRNESS OF ALL OF THESE PROCEEDINGS AND A MISTRIAL COULD RESULT. SO WE DON'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN.

THAT SAID, SOMETIMES THINGS HAPPEN INADVERTENTLY. IF

SOMETHING HAPPENS, JUST LET ME KNOW AND THAT WAY I CAN MAKE

SURE THAT IT'S OKAY. ALL RIGHT? THAT'S MY JOB. SO DON'T BE

AFRAID TO SAY IF SOMETHING HAPPENS.

DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE YOU GO BACK?
YES, SIR.

JUROR: 8:30 IS WHEN WE ARE TO BE --

THE COURT: WE WILL CALL YOU IN RIGHT AT 8:30. SO
YOU CAN -- DEPENDING ON WHEN YOU GET HERE, THE COURTHOUSE
OPENS EARLY. YOU CAN GO SIT IN THE JURY ROOM IF YOU GET HERE
EARLY. THEY WILL SHOW YOU THE DOOR TO USE. AND THAT DOOR IS
OPEN TO YOU AT 8:00 A.M.

SO I HAVE LAW CLERKS, AND THEY WILL BUZZ YOU IN. BUT I
KIND OF WORK MY LAW CLERKS PRETTY HARD. THEY WORK LATE INTO
THE NIGHT. SO 8:00 O'CLOCK IS WHEN THEY HAVE TO BE HERE. IF
YOU BUZZ BEFORE THEN, SOMEONE MAY NOT BE HERE. AT
8:00 O'CLOCK, YOU CAN BUZZ AND THEY WILL LET YOU IN.

OTHER OUESTIONS? NO? OKAY. 1 2 WE'LL SEE YOU TOMORROW. THANK YOU. 3 (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) THE COURT: OKAY. WE ARE BACK ON THE RECORD. THE 4 5 RECORD WILL REFLECT THE JURY HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM. THERE ARE JUST A COUPLE OF THINGS I WANT TO TIE UP LOOSE ENDS BEFORE 6 7 YOU LEAVE FOR THE DAY. 8 ONE IS, AS YOU KNOW, YOU'VE GOT YOUR 11 HOURS LESS 9 OPENINGS AND CLOSINGS. DOES ANYBODY -- YOU ARE GOING TO GET DAILY TIME SHEETS. DOES ANYBODY WANT ME TO RESERVE TIME FOR 10 11 YOUR CLOSINGS SO YOU DON'T RUN OUT AT THE END? 12 IF YOU'VE GOT A GOOD HANDLE ON IT, THAT'S FINE. I DO 13 OFFER THAT SO PEOPLE DON'T GET -- PLAINTIFF, ANY? 14 MR. BURSOR: YEAH. I WOULD LIKE TO RESERVE AN HOUR 15 FOR CLOSING. 16 THE COURT: DEFENSE? 17 MR. ELLIS: AND FOR ME, YOUR HONOR, 45 MINUTES. THE COURT: OKAY. 18 19 THE OTHER THING WAS, MR. ELLIS, YOU WERE GOING TO TELL ME 20 WHETHER YOU WERE ASKING FOR EXTRA TIME ON THE ISSUES OF... THE 21 BIFURCATED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO --MR. ELLIS: WITH RESPECT TO THE TREBLE DAMAGES. 22 23 THE COURT: RIGHT. 24 MR. ELLIS: I THINK WHERE WE LEFT IT IS YOU WERE 25 INDICATING THAT YOU THOUGHT WHEN THE JURY WAS OUT WE COULD --

THE COURT: THAT IS WHERE I LEFT IT. BUT THE 1 2 QUESTION IS HOW MUCH TIME, IF ANY -- PLAINTIFFS' PERSPECTIVE 3 IS THEY ARE ABLE TO PUT THAT EVIDENCE ON WITHIN THEIR FRAMEWORK OF 11 HOURS. YOU WANTED TIME TO THINK ABOUT IT. 4 5 YOU'VE BEEN GIVEN TIME, SO --MR. ELLIS: I WOULD LIKE AN EXTRA HALF AN HOUR. 6 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 8 OKAY. IT'S IN MY STANDING ORDER. I KNOW EVERYBODY HAS 9 BEEN BUSY. MR. BURSOR, YOU NEED TO COME IN AND FIGURE OUT HOW TO USE THAT SCREEN. THERE ARE DIRECTIONS THERE. 10 THEY ARE 11 INTERACTIVE. FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO IT. OKAY? 12 MR. BURSOR: YES. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. TONIGHT I'LL LOOK AT THE VERDICT 14 FORM. WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT TOMORROW. 15 DOES ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO TALK ABOUT ANYTHING OR PUT 16 ANYTHING ON THE RECORD? 17 MR. BURSOR: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THERE IS THE ISSUE OF OUR -- THE THREE WITNESSES THAT WE INTEND TO PRESENT BY VIDEO 18 TOMORROW. I DON'T KNOW HOW OR WHEN THAT'S --19 20 THE COURT: I HAVE TO TELL YOU THE RULE SAYS -- YOU 21 HAVE A LOT OF LAWYERS SITTING AT THAT TABLE. 22 THE RULE PROVIDES THAT YOU CAN ONLY USE DEPOSITION 23 TESTIMONY UNDER RULE 32 IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS. AND 32(A)(3), 24 IN TERMS OF A PARTY OPPONENT, DEFINES WHAT A PARTY OPPONENT 25 IS, AND THEY HAVE TO BE UNAVAILABLE.

SO YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW THE RULES UNLESS YOU HAVE SOME EVIDENTIARY BASIS FOR SAYING THAT YOU CAN GET IT IN IN SOME OTHER FORM.

MR. BURSOR: SO MY UNDERSTANDING WAS ANY OBJECTION TO THE USE OF THOSE VIDEOS WAS WAIVED WHEN IT WAS NOT MADE. IF THERE WAS AN OBJECTION, THESE VIDEOS CAN'T BE PLAYED BECAUSE THE WITNESS IS AVAILABLE OR THE WITNESS ISN'T AN AGENT, THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DEALT WITH IN JANUARY AND FEBRUARY WHEN WE WERE EXCHANGING THE PRETRIAL MATERIALS.

THE DEFENDANTS HAVE KNOWN SINCE, I THINK, JANUARY THAT WE HAVE THESE THREE WITNESSES BY VIDEO. THAT'S WHY WE DESIGNATED THE TESTIMONY. THEY WERE REQUIRED TO MAKE OBJECTIONS BY A CERTAIN DATE. THEY HAD NO OBJECTIONS. IF THE OBJECTION WAS RULE 32(A), YOU DON'T MEET THOSE CRITERIA, THE OBJECTION SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE. IT WAS NOT, AND WHEN IT WAS WAIVED, WE RELIED ON THAT AND EXPECTED THAT WE WERE GOING TO BE ABLE TO PRESENT THE TESTIMONY BY VIDEO.

THE COURT: RESPONSE.

MR. ELLIS: SO NO RULE 32 WAIVER.

WHAT I WAS ASKED TO DO IS DID I AGREE WITH THE CLIPS

COMING IN. YES, I AGREED WITH THE CLIPS COMING IN. BUT THERE

WAS A REPRESENTATION IN THE PRETRIAL STATEMENT -- I DON'T

HAVE -- I PROBABLY DO HAVE THE ECF NUMBER, THAT THESE PEOPLE

WERE GOING TO COME IN AND TESTIFY. THESE WERE GOING TO BE THE

WITNESSES.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AND THERE WERE 9 OR 11 OF THEM. THAT REPRESENTATION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT FROM MY EXPERIENCE IN FEDERAL COURT AND FROM CASE LAW THAT I'VE SEEN, IS A REPRESENTATION THAT THOSE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO SHOW UP. AND IF THEY ARE NOT GOING TO SHOW UP AND IT'S ONLY GOING TO BE BY VIDEO, THEN THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE CLEAR TO EVERYBODY. I DON'T THINK IT WAS MADE CLEAR TO COURT. IT CERTAINLY WASN'T MADE CLEAR TO ME. FOR THE FIRST TIME I GOT SOME KIND OF IDEA DURING OUR CONFERENCE CALL ON FRIDAY WHEN YOU ASKED WAS MR. KIZER GOING TO TESTIFY, AND THERE WAS A PAUSE ON THE OTHER LINE. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO MR. KIZER, I DID GO AHEAD AND SUBPOENA HIM FOR FRIDAY. SO HE'S GOING TO SHOW UP. AND AT THAT POINT IN TIME IF THEY WANT TO PUT VIDEO CLIPS IN, THAT'S FINE. BUT WITH RESPECT TO PEOPLE THAT ARE -- IF THEY WANT TO PUT THEM IN WITH RESPECT TO DAN CORREA, TO THE EXTENT THAT HE WAS A RULE 30(B) WITNESS, THEY ARE ABLE TO DO THAT. BUT OTHERWISE, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO SHOW THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE UNAVAILABLE. HOW DO WE FIGURE OUT WHETHER THEY ARE UNAVAILABLE FOUR MONTHS BEFORE? YOU DO IT DURING TRIAL. AND SO THAT'S WHY I DON'T THINK MR. BURSOR'S ARGUMENT HOLDS ANY WATER AT ALL. MR. BURSOR: SO, YOUR HONOR, CAN I EXPLAIN WHY THAT MAKES NO SENSE AT ALL?

THE COURT: LET ME TELL YOU, MR. BURSOR, I HAVE TRIED

A LOT OF CASES IN FEDERAL COURT, AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME 1 2 THIS HAS COME UP. TYPICALLY WE ARE FIGHTING OVER WHETHER 3 SOMEONE IS AVAILABLE OR NOT. MR. BURSOR: WELL, I'VE NOT TRIED AS MANY AS YOUR 4 5 HONOR, BUT I HAVE TRIED CASES IN FEDERAL COURT, TOO. YOUR 6 HONOR'S RULES REOUIRE --7 THE COURT: I'M PULLING THEM UP RIGHT NOW. 8 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 9 MR. BURSOR: IT MAKES NO SENSE TO DESIGNATE VIDEO FOR SOMEONE WHO IS GOING TO BE HERE. 10 11 THE COURT: OF COURSE IT DOES. 12 MR. BURSOR: YOU CAN'T PLAY VIDEO OF A WITNESS WHEN 13 THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND UNLESS YOU ARE IMPEACHING THEM, 14 WHICH YOU DON'T HAVE TO DESIGNATE FOR IN THE FIRST PLACE. THE 15 ONLY REASON TO MAKE A DESIGNATION --16 THE COURT: WHY -- LOOK, WHY ISN'T HE COMING LIVE? 17 MR. BURSOR: KIZER? 18 THE COURT: YES. 19 MR. BURSOR: BECAUSE WE HAVE WHAT WE NEED ON THE 20 VIDEO. WE DON'T NEED TO BRING HIM LIVE. IT IS HARDER TO 21 PRESENT THE EVIDENCE LIVE WHEN I HAVE A VIDEOTAPE THAT SAYS 22 EXACTLY WHAT I NEED. 23 THE COURT: HE CAN'T BE CROSS-EXAMINED. 24 MR. BURSOR: MR. --25 THE COURT: HE CAN'T BE CROSS-EXAMINED. THAT'S THE

PROBLEM. WE DON'T -- THIS IS AN ALTERNATIVE MECHANISM FOR PRESENTING EVIDENCE TO -- IN TRIAL.

MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S NOT CORRECT BECAUSE

HE CAN BE CROSS-EXAMINED. THE DEFENDANT HAD NOTICE OF THE

DEPOSITION AND THEY COULD ATTEND AND CROSS-EXAMINE HIM AT THE

DEPOSITION. THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE

COUNTERDESIGNATIONS, WHICH THEY DID NOT DO. AND THEY HAD AN

OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE THESE OBJECTIONS, WHICH THEY DID NOT

OBJECT. SO ALL OF THIS SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED MONTHS AGO. IT

WAS ALL WAIVED.

AND IF THEY WANT TO SUBPOENA HIM FOR FRIDAY, THAT DOESN'T HELP ME BECAUSE I WANT TO PUT HIM ON TOMORROW BY VIDEO. NOW, IF THEY WANT TO CROSS-EXAMINE HIM ON FRIDAY, IF THEY CAN GET HIM HERE, FINE. BUT I DON'T HAVE TO GET HIM HERE. I CAN PLAY THE VIDEO.

MR. ELLIS: SO MY RESPONSE IS, AND, AGAIN, LET ME

JUST ADD TO THE LITANY HERE. I'VE TRIED A LOT OF CASES IN

FEDERAL COURT, NEVER -- AND STATE COURT. IF THERE HAD BEEN

SOME SORT OF INDICATION THAT THIS TRIAL WAS GOING TO BE PUT ON

IN ABSENTIA WITH ALL THE WITNESSES, THEN IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN

DISCLOSED.

THE COURT: IT'S NOT AS IF YOU DIDN'T KNOW. THOSE
WERE THERE. I HAVE ALSO HAD PEOPLE SAY, HEY, WE OBJECT
BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T MADE A SHOWING. THIS HAS BEEN THE
PROBLEM IN PART WITH THIS CASE.

MR. BURSOR: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY? 1 2 THE COURT: NO. WAIT. 3 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) THE COURT: WHAT? MR. BURSOR, YOU WANT TO SAY 4 5 SOMETHING? MR. BURSOR: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 YOUR HONOR HAS RULES ABOUT WHEN THINGS GET DISCLOSED PRIOR 8 TO TRIAL, AND WE FOLLOWED THOSE RULES. THE RULES SAY DISCLOSE 9 YOUR DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS AT A CERTAIN TIME. WE DID THAT. 10 THE RULES SAY OBJECTIONS HAVE TO COME IN AT A CERTAIN TIME. 11 AND THERE WERE NO OBJECTIONS. 12 AND SO TO SAY NOW THAT WE CAN'T PLAY THE VIDEO OF WHAT WAS 13 DESIGNATED AND NOT OBJECTED TO WOULD BE THE SAME THING AS 14 STRIKING OUR -- THE DESIGNATIONS THAT WE MADE BACK IN JANUARY. 15 AND THAT'S NOT FAIR. 16 WE FOLLOWED THE RULES. WE TIMELY MADE THE DESIGNATIONS. 17 THERE CAN BE NO REASON TO MAKE THOSE DESIGNATIONS OTHER THAN TO PLAY THE VIDEO AT THE TRIAL. YOU DON'T NEED DESIGNATIONS 18 19 IF THE WITNESS IS HERE. YOU CAN IMPEACH HIM WITH ANYTHING ON 20 THE VIDEO. AND IF THE WITNESS IS HERE, YOU CAN'T PLAY 21 ANYTHING THAT DOESN'T IMPEACH HIM. SO IF YOU SAY WE CAN'T PLAY THE VIDEO --22 23 THE COURT: THIS IS A REPEAT OF WHAT YOU JUST SAID. 24 MR. BURSOR: OKAY. 25 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING NEW?

MR. BURSOR: THAT'S IT. 1 2 THE COURT: ANY RESPONSE? 3 MR. ELLIS: SAME ARGUMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. 4 5 I'M LOOKING AT MY RULE. YOU SHOULD HAVE RAISED THE ISSUE. 6 THEY CAN PLAY THEM. 7 NEXT. YOU CAN BRING 'EM IN LIVE, TOO, OBVIOUSLY. 8 MR. ELLIS: RIGHT. 9 THE COURT: I SAID TO DESIGNATE THEM. I SAID TO MEET 10 AND CONFER. IF YOU HAD ISSUES, YOU SHOULD HAVE RAISED IT. 11 MR. ELLIS: I JUST WANT TO MAKE THIS CLEAR FOR THE 12 RECORD THOUGH. 32(A), IN TERMS OF WHETHER SOMEONE IS GOING TO 13 BE AVAILABLE, I JUST DON'T KNOW HOW I WAS SUPPOSED TO KNOW SIX 14 MONTHS AGO. SO I DON'T AGREE WITH YOUR RULING, BUT I'VE MADE 15 MY RECORD. 16 THE COURT: AND YOU COULD HAVE EASILY SAID -- YOU 17 KNOW, HE'S NOT A PARTY. SO YOU COULD HAVE EASILY SAID THAT 18 YOU WOULD OBJECT TO THE EXTENT -- LAWYERS HAVE DONE THIS. 19 OBJECT TO THE EXTENT THAT THE RULE -- THAT THEY HAVEN'T SHOWN UNAVAILABILITY. I DON'T KNOW WHERE HE LIVES. I DON'T KNOW 20 21 WHERE --MR. ELLIS: HE LIVES 48 MILES AWAY. SO HE'S WITHIN 22 23 THE HUNDRED MILES. 24 THE COURT: WELL, LIKE I SAID, I HAVEN'T -- YOU GUYS 25 HAVE HAD LOTS OF ISSUES, AND WE NEED TO GET THIS THING JUST

1	TRIED.
2	MR. ELLIS: IT DOES NEED TO BE TRIED. BUT THE
3	FAIRNESS GOES BOTH WAYS.
4	THE COURT: I DON'T DISAGREE THAT THE FAIRNESS NEEDS
5	TO GO BOTH WAYS, MR. ELLIS. I'M NOT TRYING TO PICK ON YOU.
6	BUT THE RULING IS WHAT THE RULING IS.
7	MR. ELLIS: RIGHT. I GET IT.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
9	MR. BURSOR: SO ARE WE EXCUSED UNTIL 8:00 A.M.?
10	THE COURT: YES.
11	MR. BURSOR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: WE'LL SEE YOU THEN. THANK YOU.
13	WE ARE ADJOURNED FOR THE DAY.
14	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 1:18 P.M.)
15	
16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
17	I, DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTER FOR THE
18	UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY
19	CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE
20	RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
21	
22	Disne E. Skillman
23	DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR
24	MONDAY, MAY 6, 2019
25	